

Studies in Education Policy

FRASER
INSTITUTE

May 2010

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

by Peter Cowley, Stephen Easton, and Michael Thomas



MAY 2010

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

By Peter Cowley, Stephen Easton, and Michael Thomas

Contents

<i>Introduction</i>	3
<i>Key academic indicators of school performance</i>	5
<i>Other indicators of school performance</i>	8
<i>Notes</i>	10
<i>Detailed school reports</i>	11
<i>Ranking the schools</i>	61
<i>Appendix 1: Calculating the Overall rating out of 10</i>	71
<i>About the authors</i>	73
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	74
<i>About this publication</i>	75
<i>Supporting the Fraser Institute</i>	76
<i>About the Fraser Institute</i>	77
<i>Editorial Advisory Board</i>	78

Introduction

The *Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010* (hereafter, *Report Card*) collects a variety of relevant, objective indicators of school performance into one, easily accessible public document so that anyone can analyze and compare the performance of individual schools. By doing so, the *Report Card* assists parents when they choose a school for their children and encourages and assists all those seeking to improve their schools.

The Report Card helps parents choose

Where parents can choose among several schools for their children, the *Report Card* provides a valuable tool for making a decision. Because it makes comparisons easy, it alerts parents to those nearby schools that appear to have more effective academic programs. Parents can also determine whether schools of interest are improving over time. By first studying the *Report Card*, parents will be better prepared to ask relevant questions when they visit schools under consideration and speak with the staff.

Of course, the choice of a school should not be made solely on the basis of a single source of information. Web sites maintained by Ontario's Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO),¹ the provincial ministry of education, and local school boards may also provide useful information.² Parents who already have a child enrolled at the school provide another point of view.

Naturally, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*. Nevertheless, the *Report Card* provides a detailed picture of each school that is not easily available elsewhere.

The Report Card facilitates school improvement

The act of publicly rating and ranking schools attracts attention and this can provide motivation. Schools that perform well or show consistent improvement are applauded. Poorly performing schools generate concern, as do those whose performance is deteriorating. This inevitable attention provides an incentive for all those connected with a school to focus on student results.

However, the *Report Card* offers more than just incentive. It includes a variety of indicators, each of which reports results for an aspect of school performance that may be improved. School administrators who are dedicated to their students' academic success accept the *Report Card* as another source of opportunities for improvement.

Some schools do better than others

To improve a school, one must believe that improvement is achievable. This *Report Card*, like other report cards from the Fraser Institute, provides evidence about what can be accomplished. It demonstrates clearly that even when we take into account factors such as the students' family background—which some believe dictate the degree of academic success that students can enjoy in school—some schools do better than others. This finding confirms the results of research carried out in other countries.³ Indeed, it will come as no great surprise to experienced parents and educators that the data consistently suggest that what goes on in the schools makes a difference to academic results and that some schools make a greater difference than others.

Comparisons are at the heart of the improvement process

By comparing a school's latest results with those of earlier years, we can see if the school is improving. By comparing a school's results with those of neighbouring schools or schools having similar school and student characteristics, we can identify more successful schools and learn from them. Reference to overall provincial results places an individual school's level of achievement in a broader context.

There is great benefit in identifying schools that are particularly effective. By studying the techniques used in schools where students are successful, less effective schools may find ways to improve. This advantage is not lost on the United Kingdom's Department of Education and Skills. Its "Leading Edge" program⁴ helps educators connect with others who have expertise in particular areas of instruction and school administration.

Comparisons are at the heart of improvement: making comparisons among schools is made simpler and more meaningful by the *Report Card's* indicators, ratings, and rankings.

What's new in this Edition?

New indicator of value added by the school

An addition has been made to the socioeconomic indicator. The indicator now reports the difference between each school's actual *Overall rating* for the year and the overall rating predicted based on the average income of the school's parents. The indicator reflects the size of the contribution the school has made to the success of its students.

ESL added as a student characteristic indicator

The detailed tables now include an indicator of the percentage of each school's students who are enrolled in an ESL program.

You can contribute to the development of the *Report Card*

The *Report Card* program benefits from the input of interested parties. We welcome your suggestions, comments, and criticisms. Please contact Michael Thomas at 416.363.6575.

Key academic indicators of school performance

The foundation of the *Report Card* is an overall rating of each school's academic performance. We base our *Overall rating out of 10* on the school's performance on six indicators, all of which are derived from province-wide tests of literacy and mathematics skills that are administered by the province's Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO).⁵ They are:

- (1) the average level of achievement on the grade-9 EQAO assessment in academic mathematics;
- (2) the average level of achievement on the grade-9 EQAO assessment in applied mathematics;
- (3) the percentage of these grade-9 EQAO assessments in mathematics that did not meet the provincial standard;
- (4) the percentage of Ontario Secondary School Literacy Tests (OSSLT) that were not successfully completed;
- (5) the difference between male and female students in their average levels of achievement on the grade-9 EQAO assessment in academic mathematics; and,
- (6) the difference between male and female students attempting the OSSLT for the first time in their rate of successful completion of the test.

We have selected this set of indicators because they provide useful insight into a school's performance. As they are based on annually generated data, we can assess not only each school's performance in any given year but also its improvement or deterioration over time.

Indicators of effective teaching

Average results on grade-9 mathematics tests

Fundamental to the mission of secondary schools is ensuring that students are equipped with sound skills in literacy and mathematics. Differences among students in abilities, motivation, and work habits will inevitably have an impact upon the final results. There are, however, recognizable differences from school to school within a district in the average results on both of these tests. There is also variation within schools in the average results obtained on these tests. Such differences in outcomes cannot be explained simply by the individual and family characteristics of the school's students. We believe that teaching makes a difference to student outcomes and it therefore seems reasonable to include the average levels of achievement in these critical subject areas as indicators of effective teaching.

The indicators in mathematics—in the tables, *Average level Gr 9 Math (Acad)* and *Average level Gr 9 Math (Apld)*—show the average level of proficiency achieved by the school's students on the uniform assessments by the EQAO at the grade-9 level. Generally, each grade-9 student will write only one of the two tests, depending on the mathematics program—academic or applied—in which he or she is enrolled.

The EQAO converts the raw score on each test into a level of achievement from 1 to 4. Achievement at Levels 1 and 2 suggest that the student has not yet met the provincial standard. Level 3 is considered the provincial standard and Level 4 represents achievement well above the expected level. Achievement at Level 3 or 4 suggests that students are prepared for work at the next grade.

In order to calculate the average level achieved by the students at a school on each test, a numerical value was given to each level of achievement. Thus, Level 1 was given a value of 1 for purposes of determining the average; Level 2, a value of 2; Level 3, a value of 3; and Level 4, a value of 4. A value of 0 was given in those cases where a student completed the test but did not demonstrate sufficient understanding to be assigned achievement Level 1.

Percentage of OSSLTs successfully completed

In most cases, students must pass the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test (OSSLT) in order to graduate. It is first written in grade 10. Students who do not pass the test in grade 10 may write the test again in subsequent school years. The OSSLT indicators report the success rate on the OSSLT by students who have not previously attempted the test—in the tables *OSSLT passed (%)*-FTE—and students who have unsuccessfully attempted the test in the past—in the tables *OSSLT passed (%)*-PE.

Since results on the OSSLT are expressed by the EQAO as simply successful or unsuccessful, the indicators of success rate are not used in the calculation of the *Overall rating*. Instead, the full weight assigned to the OSSLT results is given to the OSSLT fail rate described below.

Percentage of grade-9 mathematics and OSSLT tests below standard

Presented in the tables as *Tests below standard (%)*, this indicator combines the results of all the OSSLT and grade-9 mathematics tests written by the students at the school. However, for the purposes of the calculation of the *Overall rating*, the percentage of tests below standard for these two test series are calculated separately.

For each school, this indicator reports the combined rate of failure on the grade-9 math tests and the OSSLT. It was derived by dividing the total number of all the above tests that provided enough information to enable the calculation of a score but did not meet the provincial standard by the total number of such tests written by the students at the school.

Since literacy and mathematical skills are critical to students' further intellectual and personal development, students should, at the minimum, demonstrate that they meet the accepted standard of performance for their grade in these subject areas. Schools have the responsibility of ensuring that their students are adequately prepared to do so.

How well do the teachers take student differences into account? The *Gender gap* indicators

The *Gender gap* indicators—in the tables *Gender gap (level) Math* and *Gender gap (% passed)-OSSLT*—use the result in grade-9 academic mathematics and the OSSLT result for “first-time-eligible” (FTE) students to determine how successful the school has been in narrowing the achievement gap between male and female students in literacy and mathematics.⁶ These indicators are determined, for each subject area, by calculating the absolute value of the difference between male and female students in their average level of achievement (in mathematics) or success rate (in the OSSLT). The more successful sex is reported along with the difference in the detailed tables.

Undoubtedly, some personal and family characteristics, left unmitigated, can have a deleterious effect on a student's academic development. The *Report Cards* provide evidence that successful teachers overcome such impediments. By comparing the results of male and female students in two skills areas—literacy and mathematics—in which one group or the other has enjoyed a historical advantage, we are able to gauge the extent to which schools provide effective teaching to all of their students.

In general, how is the school doing, academically? The *Overall rating* out of 10

While each of the indicators is important, it is almost always the case that any school does better on some indicators than on others. So, just as a teacher must

make a decision about a student's overall performance, we need an overall indicator of school performance—in the tables *Overall rating out of 10*. Just as teachers combine test scores, homework, and class participation to rate a student, we have combined all the indicators to produce an overall rating. The overall rating of school performance answers the question, "In general, how is the school doing academically compared to the other schools in the Report Card?"

To derive this rating, the results for each of the six indicators, for each school year, were first standardized. Standardization is a statistical procedure whereby sets of raw data with different characteristics are converted

into sets of values sharing certain statistical properties. Standardized values can readily be combined and compared. The standardized data were then weighted and combined to produce an overall standardized score. Finally, this score was converted into an overall rating out of 10. It is from this *Overall rating out of 10* that the school's provincial rank is determined.

For schools in which there were fewer than 15 test results for boys or for girls, no values for the *Gender gap* indicators can be provided. In these cases the *Overall rating out of 10* is derived using the remaining indicators. (See Appendix 1 for an explanation of the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10*.)

Other indicators of school performance

The *Report Card* includes other indicators that, while they are not used to derive the *Overall rating out of 10*, add more information about the school's effectiveness.

The *Tests not written* indicator

Schools that administer the assessments provided by the Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) are expected to ensure that all their students write the tests. Higher participation rates provide the benefit of objective assessment of learning to more students and parents. They also provide a more accurate reflection of the level of achievement at the school. A reader can have more confidence that the test results are a true reflection of the school's average achievement level if all, or almost all, of its students write the tests.

The participation rate indicator—in the tables *Gr 9 Tests not written (%)*—was determined by first summing, for both of the grade-9 math tests, the total number of students for whom no test data were submitted or who were exempt from testing. This result was then divided by the total number of these tests that could have been completed had all students fully participated.

The principal of a school at which a relatively large percentage of students did not complete the tests should be able to provide good reasons for the students' failure to do so and a well-developed plan to increase participation in future test sittings.

As the OSSLT is a compulsory component of Ontario's graduation program and must be successfully completed by all students prior to graduation, it is unnecessary to consider it in the calculation of this indicator.

The *Trend* indicator

Is the school improving academically? The *Report Card* provides five years of data for most schools. Unlike a snapshot of one year's results, this historical record provides evidence of change (or lack thereof) over time. To detect trends in the performance indicators, we developed the *Trends* indicator. This indicator uses statistical analysis to identify those dimensions of school performance in which there has likely been real change rather than a fluctuation in results caused by random occurrences. To calculate the trends, the standardized scores rather than raw data are used. Standardizing makes historical data more comparable and the trend measurement more reliable. Because calculation of trends is uncertain when only a small number of data points are available, a trend is indicated only in those circumstances where five years of data are available and where the trend is statistically significant. For this indicator, we have defined the term "statistically significant" to mean that, nine times out of 10, the trend that is noted is real; that is, it did not happen just by chance.

The socioeconomic indicator

When they design their lesson plans and deliver the curriculum, educators can and should take into account the abilities, interests, and backgrounds of their students. By doing so, educators can overcome disadvantages that their students may have. The socioeconomic indicator enables us to identify schools that are successful despite adverse conditions faced by their students at home. Similarly, it identifies schools where students with a relatively positive home situation appear not to be reaching their presumed potential.

The socioeconomic indicator was derived as follows. First, using enrolment data from the Ministry of Education sorted by postal code and 2006 census data⁷ provided by Statistics Canada, we determined the average level of parental income from wages and salaries and from self-employment. We then used regression analysis to determine the relationship between parental income and variations in school performance as measured by the *Overall rating out of 10*. The analysis determined that, on average, when a school had more parents with higher incomes, the *Overall rating* at the school was likely to be higher.

As a measure of the success with which each school took into account the socioeconomic characteristics of the student body, we used the formula derived from the regression analysis to predict the *Overall rating* for each school. We then reported the difference (in the tables *Actual rating vs predicted rating based on parents' avg. inc.*) between the actual *Overall rating* and this predicted value in each school's results table.

For example, during the 2008/2009 school year, Martingrove Collegiate Institute, a public secondary school in Toronto, achieved an *Overall rating* of 7.6 and yet, when the parental income of the student body was taken into account, the school was expected to achieve a rating of only about 6.4. The difference of 1.2 is reported in the tables. On the other hand, the actual *Overall rating* of Vaughn Road Academy, another Toronto public secondary school, was 5.3, although its predicted rating was 6.6. The reported difference for Somerset Drive PS is -1.3. This measurement suggests that Martingrove CI is more successful than Vaughn Road Academy in enabling all of its students to reach their potential.

This measure of the effect of the socioeconomic background of a school's student body is presented with two important notes of caution. First, less than 25% of the variation among Ontario secondary schools in the overall rating is associated with the level of parental employment income. Clearly, many other factors—including good teaching, counselling, and school administration—contribute to the effectiveness of schools. Second, the statistical measures used describe past relationships between a socioeconomic characteristic and a measure of school effectiveness. These relationships may not remain static. The more effectively the school enables all of its students to succeed, the weaker will be the relationship between the home characteristics of its students and their academic success. Thus, this socioeconomic indicator should not be used as an excuse or rationale for poor school performance. The effective school will produce good results, regardless of the family background of its students.

The student characteristics indicators

For each public school, the *Report Card* notes the percentage of its students who are enrolled in ESL programs or who have certain identified special needs. As was noted in the Introduction, it is sometimes useful to compare a school's results to those of similar schools. These two indicators, along with the average parental employment income, can be used to identify schools with similar student-body characteristics.

Notes

- 1 The Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) is an arm's-length agency of the provincial government. It provides parents, teachers, and the public with information about student achievement. For more information, see the EQAO's web site at <<http://www.eqao.com/>>.
- 2 See, for instance, the EQAO's web site at <<http://www.eqao.com/>>, the Ministry of Education's web site at <<http://www.edu.gov.on.ca>>, or the web site of the Toronto Catholic District School Board at <<http://www.tcdsb.org/>>.
- 3 See, for instance, Michael Rutter et al., *Fifteen Thousand Hours: Secondary Schools and Their Effects on Children* (Harvard University Press, 1979) and Peter Mortimore et al., *School Matters: The Junior Years* (Open Books, 1988).
- 4 See the website of *Leading Edge* at <<http://www.standards.dfes.gov.uk/leadingedge/>> (as of March 5, 2008).
- 5 The EQAO's test results, student enrollment data, and school information used or reported in this publication were provided by the Ontario Ministry of Education. The results or views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and are not those of the Ontario Ministry of Education.
- 6 For a discussion of gender-based differentials in academic achievement, see Peter Cowley and Stephen Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*. Public Policy Sources 22 (Fraser Institute, 1999).
- 7 A Dissemination Area (DA) is a small geographic area comprising one or more neighbouring blocks, and having a population of 400 to 700 persons. The Dissemination Area is the smallest standard geographic area for which all census data are disseminated. All of Canada is divided into Dissemination Areas.

Detailed school reports

How to read these tables

Use the sample table and the explanation of each line below to help you interpret the detailed results for individual schools. Families choosing a school for their children should seek to confirm the *Report Card*'s findings by visiting the school and interviewing teachers, school administrators, and other parents. The complete *Report Card* can be downloaded free of charge from the Fraser Institute's web site at <<http://www.fraserinstitute.org>>. More information regarding test results at individual schools can be found on the Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) web site at <<http://www.eqao.com>> and on the web sites of local school districts and schools. Of course, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*.

Important note

Private schools are not required to administer the grade-9 EQAO tests. Since the *Report Card* is based, in part, on results achieved on these tests, only those few private schools that chose to administer the EQAO tests could be included.

IMPORTANT — In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.

A — GEOGRAPHIC AREA						
B — School name [Affiliation] City					OSSLT count: 695	
C — ESL (%): 6.5					Special needs (%): 20.9	
D — Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,400: -0.4			Rank:	2008-09 493/722	Last 5 Years 489/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
E — Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.4	▼
F — Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.1	—
G — OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	74.2	77.7	77.6	81.1	88.1	▲
H — OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	48.5	48.5	46.1	47.3	n/a
I — Tests below standard (%)	31.5	32.8	36.6	37.7	32.8	▼
J — Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.1	—
K — Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.5	F 12.3	F 9.4	F 11.5	F 2.0	—
L — Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	1.0	1.5	2.1	2.3	▼
M — Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.1	5.1	4.7	5.5	—

A — The schools are grouped into geographical areas. To find a school's results, find its location in the "List of cities and geographical areas" and note its geographical area. Find the geographical area in the "Index of geographical areas" and note the page on which its results begin. Within each geographical area, the schools are listed in alphabetical order.

B (left) — The school name, its affiliation—public, Catholic, or private—and the city in which the school is located.

B (right) — The number of students at the school who were eligible to write the OSSLT.

C — These statistics report the percentage of the students at the school, who are eligible to write the OSSLT, who are enrolled in ESL programs, and the percentage who have an identified special need. Use this statistic to identify schools at which the students share some personal characteristics.

D (left) — This statistic compares the school's actual *Overall rating out of 10* with the rating that is predicted by the average parental employment income in each school's family. A positive difference suggests that the school is effective in enabling its students to succeed regardless of their family's characteristics.

D (right) — The school's overall academic rank in the province for 2008/2009 and for the most recent five years. The rankings show how the school has done academically compared to the other schools in the report card. A high ranking over five years indicates consistently strong results at the school.

E and F — The average level achieved by the students on the grade-9 academic (Acad) and applied (Apld) mathematics tests. The EQAO assigns a level of achievement to each completed test. Achievement at Levels 1 and 2 suggest that the student has not yet met the provincial standard. Level 3 is considered the provincial standard and Level 4 represents achievement well above the expected level. Thus, achievement at Level 3 or 4 suggests that students are well prepared for work at the next grade. In order to calculate the average level, a numerical value was given to each level of achievement. Thus, Level 1 was given a value of 1 for purposes of determining the average; Level 2, a value of 2; Level 3, a value of 3; and Level 4, a value of 4. In those cases where a student completed the test but did not demonstrate sufficient understanding to be assigned achievement Level 1, the test was given a value of 0.

G & H — The percentage of eligible OSSLT writers who successfully completed the test, either on their first attempt (FTE) or on a subsequent attempt (PE).

I — The percentage of all the completed tests written by students at the school that were judged to be either

unsuccessful (OSSLT) or below Level 3 (grade-9 math tests). A low percentage of *Tests below standard (%)* indicates that the school is successful in ensuring that most of its students are meeting or exceeding the provincial standard of performance.

J and K — The difference (in average level of achievement) between girls and boys in the grade-9 academic mathematics test and the OSSLT (FTE students). Where the difference favours the girls, the value is preceded by an F. Where boys are favoured, the value is preceded by an M. An E means that there is no difference between the girls and the boys on this measure. Smaller differences indicate that the school is doing a good job for all its students.

L — The percentage of the grade-9 mathematics tests that could have been completed by the school's students but which were not assigned an overall score. The percentage, *Gr 9 Tests not written (%)*, takes into account the total number of students for whom no test data were submitted or who were exempt from testing.

Important note: Schools that administer these tests are expected to ensure that all their students participate. For this reason, you should take note of the *Tests not written* percentage when you consider each school's results in the *Report Card*. The principal of a school with a high *Tests not written* percentage should be able to provide good reasons for the students' failure to complete the tests.

M — The *Overall rating out of 10* takes into account the indicators described in E through K above to answer the question, "In general, how is the school doing academically compared to other schools in the report card?"

Important note: The *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its *Overall rating out of 10*, it must improve more rapidly than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

N — An upward-pointing arrow at the end of an indicator row means that the school is probably improving on that indicator. A downward-pointing

arrow means that the school is probably getting worse. The researchers had to be at least 90% sure that the changes were not just random before indicating a trend. A dash indicates that there is no significant change. Where insufficient data were available, “n/a” appears in the column. Note that for the two *Gender gap* indicators and *Tests below standard* a downward trend in the data will lead to an upward-pointing arrow in the trend column. For example, a decreasing *Tests below standard (%)* indicates improvement and so an upward-pointing arrow is displayed.

Other notes

Note 1

Not all the province’s secondary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. In order to be included, schools must have had, in the school year 2008/2009, at least 15 students who wrote either of the two versions of the grade-9 EQAO mathematics test and at least 15 “first-time-eligible” (FTE) or “previously eligible” (PE) writers of the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test. Private schools and federally funded schools operated by First Nations are not required to administer the grade-9 mathematics tests. Since the results of these tests form the basis for this *Report Card*, only those schools that administer them could be included. Finally, also excluded are schools that did not generate a sufficiently large set of student data to enable the calculation of an *Overall rating out of 10*.

The exclusion of a school from the *Report Card* is not a judgement of the school’s effectiveness.

Note 2

The EQAO’s test results, student enrollment data, and school information used or reported in this publication were provided by the Ontario Ministry of Education. The results or views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and are not those of the Ontario Ministry of Education.

Note 3

In accordance with its regulations regarding the privacy of personal information, where the results in a test involved fewer than 15 students, the EQAO provided no data.

Note 4

Where there were insufficient data available with which to calculate an indicator or where a school was not in operation during a specific year, “n/a” appears in the tables.

Note 5

You can compare a school’s results with these all-schools average results in the table below.

Note 6

If you have questions about the *Report Card*, contact Michael Thomas, Associate Director of School Performance Studies at the Fraser Institute at 416.363.6575.

Average values for all schools, 2008/2009							OSSLT count: 279
ESL (%): 5.6							Average special needs (%): 20.9
<i>Parents' avg. income: \$74,400</i>							
Academic Performance	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.7	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	76.5	78.9	81.6	81.3	81.3	83.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	61.1	65.2	57.4	54.5	54.5	57.4	▲
Tests below standard (%)	33.7	31.7	29.3	29.6	29.6	28.3	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math*	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	▼
Gender gap (% passed) OSSLT*	7.9	7.9	7.8	7.7	8.1	6.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.7	3.3	3.9	3.5	2.7	2.5	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.0	n/a

* These results reflect the average size of the gender gaps in 2008/2009. The Gr 10 OSSLT FTE gender gap favoured females at 83.3% of schools, males at 15.9% of schools, and was even at 0.8% schools. The Gr 9 Math gender gap favoured males at 54.3% of schools, females at 10.6% of schools, and was even at 25.1% of schools.

List of cities and geographical areas

City	Geographical area	City	Geographical area
Acton	Halton Area	Carleton Place	Upper Canada Area
Ajax	Durham Area	Casselman	Upper Canada Area
Alexandria	Upper Canada Area	Cayuga	Grand Erie Area
Alliston	Simcoe County Area	Chapleau	Algoma Area
Almonte	Upper Canada Area	Chatham	Lambton Kent Area
Amherstburg	Greater Essex Area	Chelmsford	Rainbow Area
Ancaster	Hamilton-Wentworth Area	Chesley	Bluewater Area
Arnprior	Renfrew County Area	Chesterville	Upper Canada Area
Arva	Thames Valley Area	Clinton	Avon Maitland Area
Athens	Upper Canada Area	Cobourg	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Atikokan	Kenora Area	Cochrane	Ontario North East Area
Aurora	York Area	Collingwood	Simcoe County Area
Avonmore	Upper Canada Area	Cornwall	Upper Canada Area
Aylmer	Thames Valley Area	Courtice	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Baden	Waterloo Area	Deep River	Renfrew County Area
Bancroft	Hastings-Limestone Area	Delhi	Grand Erie Area
Bangalore	Overseas	Desbarats	Algoma Area
Barrie	Barrie	Dorchester	Thames Valley Area
Barry's Bay	Renfrew County Area	Douglas	Renfrew County Area
Beamsville	Niagara Area	Dresden	Lambton Kent Area
Belle River	Greater Essex Area	Dryden	Kenora Area
Belleville	Hastings-Limestone Area	Dundas	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Blackstock	Durham Area	Dunnville	Grand Erie Area
Blenheim	Lambton Kent Area	Dunrobin	Ottawa
Blind River	Algoma Area	Elgin	Upper Canada Area
Bolton	Peel Area	Elliot Lake	Algoma Area
Bowmanville	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Elmira	Waterloo Area
Bracebridge	Trillium Lakelands Area	Elmvale	Simcoe County Area
Bradford	Simcoe County Area	Embrun	Upper Canada Area
Brampton	Peel Area	Englehart	Ontario North East Area
Brantford	Brantford	Erin	Upper Grand Area
Brighton	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Espanola	Rainbow Area
Brockville	Upper Canada Area	Essex	Greater Essex Area
Brunssum	Overseas	Exeter	Avon Maitland Area
Burlington	Halton Area	Fenelon Falls	Trillium Lakelands Area
Caledon	Peel Area	Fergus	Upper Grand Area
Caledon East	Peel Area	Flesherton	Bluewater Area
Caledonia	Grand Erie Area	Fonthill	Niagara Area
Cambridge	Waterloo Area	Forest	Lambton Kent Area
Campbellford	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Fort Erie	Niagara Area
Cannington	Durham Area	Fort Frances	Kenora Area

List of cities and geographical areas

City	Geographical area	City	Geographical area
Gananoque	Upper Canada Area	Lively	Rainbow Area
Georgetown	Halton Area	London	London
Geraldton	Superior-Greenstone Area	Madoc	Hastings-Limestone Area
Glencoe	Thames Valley Area	Manotick	Ottawa
Gloucester	Ottawa	Maple	York Area
Goderich	Avon Maitland Area	Marathon	Superior-Greenstone Area
Gravenhurst	Trillium Lakelands Area	Markham	York Area
Grimsby	Niagara Area	M'Chigeeng	Rainbow Area
Guelph	Upper Grand Area	Meaford	Bluewater Area
Hagersville	Grand Erie Area	Metcalfe	Ottawa
Haliburton	Trillium Lakelands Area	Midland	Simcoe County Area
Hamilton	Hamilton-Wentworth Area	Milton	Halton Area
Hammond	Upper Canada Area	Mississauga	Mississauga
Hanmer	Rainbow Area	Mitchell	Avon Maitland Area
Hanover	Bluewater Area	Mount Forest	Upper Grand Area
Harrow	Greater Essex Area	Napanee	Hastings-Limestone Area
Hawkesbury	Upper Canada Area	Nepean	Ottawa
Hearst	Ontario North East Area	New Liskeard	Ontario North East Area
Huntsville	Trillium Lakelands Area	Newcastle	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Ignace	Kenora Area	Newmarket	York Area
Ingersoll	Thames Valley Area	Niagara Falls	Niagara Area
Innisfil	Simcoe County Area	Niagara-on-the-Lake	Niagara Area
Iroquois	Upper Canada Area	North Bay	Near North Area
Iroquois Falls	Ontario North East Area	Norwich	Thames Valley Area
Kanata	Ottawa	Norwood	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Kapuskasing	Ontario North East Area	Oakville	Halton Area
Kemptville	Upper Canada Area	Odessa	Hastings-Limestone Area
Kenora	Kenora Area	Orgeville	Upper Grand Area
Keswick	York Area	Orillia	Simcoe County Area
Kincardine	Bluewater Area	Orléans	Ottawa
King City	York Area	Oshawa	Durham Area
Kingston	Kingston	Ottawa	Ottawa
Kingsville	Greater Essex Area	Owen Sound	Bluewater Area
Kirkland Lake	Ontario North East Area	Pain Court	Lambton Kent Area
Kitchener	Kitchener	Palmerston	Upper Grand Area
Lakefield	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Paris	Grand Erie Area
Langton	Grand Erie Area	Parkhill	Thames Valley Area
LaSalle	Greater Essex Area	Parry Sound	Near North Area
Leamington	Greater Essex Area	Pembroke	Renfrew County Area
Lindsay	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Penetanguishene	Simcoe County Area
Listowel	Avon Maitland Area	Perth	Upper Canada Area

List of cities and geographical areas

City	Geographical area	City	Geographical area
Petawawa	Renfrew County Area	Stoney Creek	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Peterborough	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Stouffville	York Area
Petrolia	Lambton Kent Area	Stratford	Avon Maitland Area
Pickering	Durham Area	Strathroy	Thames Valley Area
Picton	Hastings-Limestone Area	Sturgeon Falls	Near North Area
Plantagenet	Upper Canada Area	Sudbury	Rainbow Area
Port Colborne	Niagara Area	Sutton West	York Area
Port Dover	Grand Erie Area	Sydenham	Hastings-Limestone Area
Port Elgin	Bluewater Area	Tecumseh	Greater Essex Area
Port Hope	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Terrace Bay	Superior-Greenstone Area
Port Perry	Durham Area	Thornhill	York Area
Prescott	Upper Canada Area	Thorold	Niagara Area
Rainy River	Kenora Area	Thunder Bay	Lakehead Area
Red Lake	Kenora Area	Tilbury	Lambton Kent Area
Red Rock	Lakehead Area	Timmins	Ontario North East Area
Renfrew	Renfrew County Area	Toronto	Toronto
Richmond	Ottawa	Tottenham	Simcoe County Area
Richmond Hill	York Area	Trenton	Hastings-Limestone Area
Ridgetown	Lambton Kent Area	Unionville	York Area
Ridgeway	Niagara Area	Uxbridge	Durham Area
Rockland	Upper Canada Area	Val Caron	Rainbow Area
Russell	Upper Canada Area	Vankleek Hill	Upper Canada Area
Sarnia	Lambton Kent Area	Walkerton	Bluewater Area
Sault Ste. Marie	Algoma Area	Wallaceburg	Lambton Kent Area
Sharbot Lake	Hastings-Limestone Area	Waterdown	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Shelburne	Upper Grand Area	Waterford	Grand Erie Area
Simcoe	Grand Erie Area	Waterloo	Waterloo Area
Sioux Lookout	Kenora Area	Wawa	Algoma Area
Smiths Falls	Upper Canada Area	Welland	Niagara Area
Smithville	Niagara Area	West Lorne	Thames Valley Area
South Porcupine	Ontario North East Area	Whitby	Durham Area
South River	Near North Area	Wiarton	Bluewater Area
St Catharines	St Catharines	Williamstown	Upper Canada Area
St Marys	Avon Maitland Area	Windsor	Greater Essex Area
St Thomas	Thames Valley Area	Wingham	Avon Maitland Area
Stayner	Simcoe County Area	Woodbridge	York Area
Stittsville	Ottawa	Woodstock	Thames Valley Area

List of cities and geographical areas

Geographical area	Page	Geographical area	Page
Algoma Area	52	Near North Area	56
Avon Maitland Area	33	Niagara Area	39
Barrie	52	Ontario North East Area	56
Bluewater Area	53	Ottawa	46
Brantford	33	Overseas	60
Durham Area	18	Peel Area	22
Grand Erie Area	34	Rainbow Area	57
Greater Essex Area	34	Renfrew County Area	49
Halton Area	19	Simcoe County Area	58
Hamilton-Wentworth Area	36	St Catharines	41
Hastings-Limestone Area	45	Superior-Greenstone Area	59
Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	53	Thames Valley Area	41
Kenora Area	55	Toronto	24
Kingston	46	Trillium Lakelands Area	59
Kitchener	37	Upper Canada Area	49
Lakehead Area	55	Upper Grand Area	42
Lambton Kent Area	37	Waterloo Area	43
London	38	York Area	30
Mississauga	21		

Toronto and surrounding area

DURHAM AREA

Ajax [Public] Ajax		OSSLT count: 470						
ESL (%): 4.0		Special needs (%): 21.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,500: -0.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$84,500: -0.6	Rank:	445/722	419/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.6	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.9	84.7	84.5	78.7	83.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	84.1	86.0	72.4	62.9	52.6	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	31.8	29.2	31.1	32.7	30.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	F 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.8	F 3.2	F 2.5	F 16.5	F 8.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.7	2.7	1.6	0.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.3	6.4	5.3	5.8	—		

Cartwright [Public] Blackstock		OSSLT count: 35						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$89,500: 2.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$89,500: 2.6	Rank:	7/722	103/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	100.0	100.0	96.8	95.5	100.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	12.9	32.8	18.6	8.8	3.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	E	E	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	4.6	7.1	9.0	9.1	—		

Father Leo J Austin [Catholic] Whitby		OSSLT count: 353						
ESL (%): 2.5		Special needs (%): 12.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$101,700: 0.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$101,700: 0.2	Rank:	168/722	396/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.6	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.6	2.1	1.7	1.9	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.2	87.5	87.9	83.2	91.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	78.8	67.5	45.5	51.5	48.9	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	33.6	26.9	33.3	31.3	18.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	F 0.2	E	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.5	F 9.7	F 2.0	F 18.2	F 9.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.3	2.9	2.3	0.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.3	5.6	5.5	7.2	—		

All Saints [Catholic] Whitby		OSSLT count: 446						
ESL (%): 1.8		Special needs (%): 14.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$95,600: -0.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$95,600: -0.6	Rank:	366/722	324/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.8	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.1	91.3	87.3	89.8	89.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	63.6	52.4	69.7	66.0	60.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	29.6	25.9	27.6	21.5	24.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.6	F 9.3	F 16.9	F 6.7	F 9.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	1.4	2.6	1.4	0.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.6	6.1	7.2	6.2	—		

Donald A. Wilson [Public] Whitby		OSSLT count: 364						
ESL (%): 1.6		Special needs (%): 16.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,500: 0.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$103,500: 0.5	Rank:	119/722	173/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.1	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	93.6	91.9	93.0	84.7	90.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	74.2	89.3	65.2	78.3	77.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	25.1	23.0	20.8	25.9	16.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.0	F 6.5	F 5.4	F 6.4	F 3.5	▲		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.4	7.7	6.4	7.5	—		

G. L. Roberts [Public] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 243						
ESL (%): 0.8		Special needs (%): 26.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,200: -1.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$43,200: -1.1	Rank:	643/722	591/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.7	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	69.5	72.0	68.7	66.0	64.0	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	79.2	50.0	55.3	57.4	37.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	40.6	41.6	44.1	41.8	34.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.2	F 13.3	F 18.4	F 28.2	F 8.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.2	11.8	4.2	2.3	0.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	5.3	5.0	4.8	6.3	—		

Archbishop Denis O'Connor [Catholic] Ajax		OSSLT count: 271						
ESL (%): 4.8		Special needs (%): 18.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,700: -0.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$80,700: -0.2	Rank:	402/722	473/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.8	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.6	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.1	87.2	77.0	86.4	82.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	72.2	71.1	50.0	65.8	46.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	37.6	31.6	36.4	27.0	26.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.9	F 2.9	F 20.2	F 3.2	F 8.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.6	3.9	0.0	0.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.6	5.0	6.4 </td				

Monsignor Paul Dwyer [Catholic] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 348						
ESL (%): 2.0		Special needs (%): 19.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,000: 0.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 307/722: Rank:		307/722 489/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.8	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.3	2.0	1.7	1.9	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.0	89.8	85.1	83.9	85.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	68.5	57.9	36.6	62.5	66.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	40.4	33.9	35.4	31.9	25.9	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	—	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.8	M 2.3	F 10.1	F 2.5	F 0.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	3.4	5.6	4.5	1.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	5.6	5.4	5.6	6.5	▲		

Port Perry [Public] Port Perry		OSSLT count: 342						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 24.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,700: 0.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 269/722: Rank:		269/722 299/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.7	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.5	87.3	87.8	85.0	80.4	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	78.8	67.7	58.1	71.1	60.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	27.1	29.8	29.3	25.8	24.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.6	F 11.2	F 4.7	F 7.1	F 6.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.4	2.7	0.7	1.0	1.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.3	6.5	6.8	6.7	—		

Uxbridge [Public] Uxbridge		OSSLT count: 374						
ESL (%): 0.3		Special needs (%): 19.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,100: 0.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 168/722: Rank:		168/722 152/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.2	94.8	90.9	94.4	89.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	81.3	73.1	68.4	58.3	76.2	—		
Tests below standard (%)	19.9	19.2	22.1	24.1	16.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	▼		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.5	F 0.8	F 6.1	F 3.4	F 12.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	2.0	2.5	0.3	0.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.8	7.2	6.8	7.2	—		

Notre Dame [Catholic] Ajax		OSSLT count: 464						
ESL (%): 4.3		Special needs (%): 15.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,300: 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 350/722: Rank:		350/722 396/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.6	2.1	2.2	1.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.3	83.7	85.2	84.7	86.3	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	68.9	50.9	58.6	60.7	66.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	38.8	34.1	25.8	26.3	27.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	F 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.7	F 12.3	F 0.3	F 19.3	F 0.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.6	1.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.3	7.2	6.3	6.3	—		

R S McLaughlin [Public] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 330						
ESL (%): 1.2		Special needs (%): 12.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,200: 0.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 493/722: Rank:		493/722 438/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.5	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.6	2.1	1.6	1.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.1	91.0	90.5	85.1	89.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	78.3	69.7	56.0	53.6	40.0	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	24.9	29.6	27.7	35.1	30.7	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	M 0.4	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.6	F 2.9	F 8.3	F 6.2	F 2.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	1.3	1.8	2.9	0.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	5.8	6.7	5.0	5.5	—		

Abbey Park [Public] Oakville		OSSLT count: 301						
ESL (%): 1.3		Special needs (%): 10.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$157,200: 0.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 26/722: Rank:		26/722 18/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.6	2.7	2.2	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.8	94.7	95.9	95.1	95.9	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	88.0	76.2	100.0	90.3	90.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	17.1	12.5	10.1	9.7	9.8	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 4.7	F 3.7	F 7.6	F 3.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.1	1.3	0.7	0.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	8.5	8.9	9.0	8.4	▲		

O'Neill [Public] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 406						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,100: 0.8		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 402/722: Rank:		402/722 530/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	1.7	2.1	1.9	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.3	2.3	2.4	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.9	86.0	79.2	78.8	79.8	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	87.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	—	
Tests below standard (%)	43.5	45.8	41.2	40.3	28.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.4	M 0.2	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.2	F 5.6	F 7.1	F 0.4	F 3.1	F 4.7	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.9	17.5	1.5	2.5	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	3.7	5.7	5.5	6.0	—		

Saint Charles-Garnier [Catholic] Whitby		OSSLT count: 76						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,200: 0.9		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 134/722: Rank:		134/722 489/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007					

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

Burlington Central [Public] Burlington		OSSLT count: 201						
ESL (%): 12.9		Special needs (%): 13.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,400: 0.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$85,400: 0.3	Rank:	269/722 199/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.3	91.5	89.5	87.6	92.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	85.7	64.3	59.0	67.9	70.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	28.8	22.0	18.7	25.2	22.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.4	F 0.1	F 1.9	F 3.5	F 10.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.0	5.5	4.2	6.0	0.7	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	7.4	8.1	7.0	6.7	—		

Iroquois Ridge [Public] Oakville		OSSLT count: 338						
ESL (%): 0.9		Special needs (%): 6.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$124,400: 0.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$124,400: 0.6	Rank:	26/722 29/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.5	95.8	93.8	92.0	94.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.1	66.7	72.7	81.8	75.8	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	18.6	15.3	12.3	12.6	10.2	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.2	F 2.9	F 5.4	F 6.6	F 0.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	0.7	0.3	1.3	0.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.0	8.6	8.5	8.4	▲		

Oakville Trafalgar [Public] Oakville		OSSLT count: 327						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 7.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$228,500: -2.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$228,500: -2.3	Rank:	7/722 15/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.8	3.1	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.0	97.1	97.5	96.6	97.7	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	88.9	n/a	n/a	91.7	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	12.6	12.8	10.4	11.8	6.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.8	F 1.5	F 1.4	F 3.5	M 0.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.9	8.6	8.9	8.2	9.1	—		

Christ the King [Catholic] Georgetown		OSSLT count: 428						
ESL (%): 0.5		Special needs (%): 19.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$128,100: 0.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$128,100: 0.1	Rank:	65/722 115/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.8	89.7	88.0	92.1	95.1	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	75.0	68.4	53.8	81.3	67.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	26.8	18.4	25.8	19.5	15.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.2	F 4.9	M 1.0	F 4.0	F 4.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	▲	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	8.1	6.9	7.6	8.0	—		

Lester B Pearson [Public] Burlington		OSSLT count: 193						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,500: 0.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$102,500: 0.4	Rank:	105/722 64/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.7	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.6	95.4	92.1	94.3	93.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	78.9	77.8	n/a	70.0	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	17.9	18.6	15.4	15.4	17.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	M 0.2	E	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.3	F 1.2	F 3.3	F 3.7	F 4.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.5	1.2	1.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	7.6	7.8	8.6	7.6	—		

Robert Bateman [Public] Burlington		OSSLT count: 354						
ESL (%): 0.6		Special needs (%): 45.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$96,800: 0.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$96,800: 0.4	Rank:	168/722 358/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	69.6	76.2	78.1	79.9	83.2	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.4	45.9	44.8	50.7	62.7	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	35.7	29.3	24.8	25.8	22.2	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.3	F 17.1	F 7.3	F 8.6	F 2.2	▲		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	7.6	4.4	1.1	1.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.8	6.9	6.3	7.2	▲		

Corpus Christi [Catholic] Burlington		OSSLT count: 226						
ESL (%): 1.3		Special needs (%): 12.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$n/a: n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$n/a: n/a	Rank:	55/722 n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	96.5	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88.9	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	31.9	23.0	29.8	25.4	27.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.7	F 11.7	F 10.2	F 7.0	F 16.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	3.9	3.1	0.5	2.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	7.5	5.8	7.3	5.6	—		

Milton [Public] Milton		OSSLT count: 266						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 10.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,000: 1.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$86,000: 1.4	Rank:	82/722 215/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.1	85.7	88.6	89.0	95.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	76.7	80.0	89.5	72.4	59.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	23.2	28.3	25.6	20.7	14.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.0	F 7.1	F 9.0	F 5.7	F 6.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	3.4	0.8	0.0	0.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	6.4	6.8	7.2	7.8	—		

St Thomas Aquinas [Catholic] Oakville		**OSSLT count: 226**						

<tbl_r cells="2" ix="1" maxcspan

MISSISSAUGA

Applewood Heights [Public] Mississauga						OSSLT count: 321
ESL (%): 10.0		Special needs (%): 12.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,100:-0.9		2008-09 Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	307/722		380/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	2.2	2.0	1.5	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.4	83.9	85.4	82.2	87.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	62.7	58.2	51.4	67.3	58.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	33.5	26.4	28.7	34.3	25.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.4	F 5.7	F 3.2	F 8.6	F 6.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	1.8	3.2	1.6	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.8	6.6	5.2	6.5	—

Ascension of Our Lord [Catholic] Mississauga						OSSLT count: 323
ESL (%): 19.5		Special needs (%): 19.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,100:-0.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	593/722		642/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.6	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	68.5	66.4	65.9	72.7	78.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.8	33.3	34.1	52.4	42.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	45.7	55.4	53.1	46.3	36.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.1	F 2.9	F 14.1	F 7.7	F 0.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.0	4.8	4.7	3.6	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	2.7	2.8	3.5	4.6	—

Cawthra Park [Public] Mississauga						OSSLT count: 347
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 10.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,300: 1.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	94/722		29/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.2	2.3	1.9	2.0	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	94.6	95.9	95.7	96.7	96.0	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	85.7	78.3	71.4	94.1	75.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	14.2	13.3	16.5	12.5	13.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.6	F 2.1	F 5.1	F 4.6	F 0.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	1.1	1.2	2.1	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.9	8.6	7.8	8.4	7.7	—

Clarkson [Public] Mississauga						OSSLT count: 278
ESL (%): 6.8		Special needs (%): 14.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,700: 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	366/722		438/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.6	1.8	2.2	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.5	85.7	83.6	79.8	87.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.7	46.3	52.2	47.2	47.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	35.7	35.7	30.9	32.4	27.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.4	F 6.7	F 11.1	F 2.4	F 4.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	2.5	4.7	2.9	1.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.2	6.2	6.1	6.2	—

Erindale [Public] Mississauga						OSSLT count: 386
ESL (%): 3.6		Special needs (%): 15.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,700: -0.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	252/722		173/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.7	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.9	92.0	86.0	88.1	89.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.1	65.1	68.9	69.1	66.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.9	21.4	25.4	22.6	22.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.7	F 0.9	F 7.3	F 5.4	F 3.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	2.0	1.4	0.9	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.8	6.9	7.2	6.8	—

Father Michael Goetz [Catholic] Mississauga						OSSLT count: 597
ESL (%): 19.6		Special needs (%): 16.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,800: 1.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	350/722		324/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	2.3	1.8	1.9	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.5	82.8	84.3	84.3	84.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	53.8	48.0	59.1	63.8	76.1	▲
Tests below standard (%)	29.8	26.0	28.8	28.7	26.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 14.8	F 7.9	F 3.3	F 7.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.3	2.5	1.7	2.8	4.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.6	6.5	6.5	6.3	—

Glenforest [Public] Mississauga						OSSLT count: 413
ESL (%): 16.1		Special needs (%): 5.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,200: 2.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	105/722		29/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.0	2.2	2.7	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.9	91.8	92.0	92.4	88.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.6	63.8	66.7	57.9	62.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.2	18.2	13.1	18.8	18.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.6	F 2.4	F 0.9	F 7.4	F 0.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.1	1.2	1.5	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	8.4	9.3	8.0	7.6	—

Lincoln M Alexander [Public] Mississauga						OSSLT count: 616
ESL (%): 12.8		Special needs (%): 20.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,800: -1.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	643/722		601/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.4	2.7	2.8	2.8	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.7	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	71.1	75.8	69.3	64.6	64.8	▼
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	44.9	50.3	43.5	35.4	36.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	47.4	44.8	38.7	41.7	43.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.0	M 2.9	F 12.7	F 12.5	F 9.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.2	15.8	6.8	7.0	8.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	4.4	5.6	4.6	3.8	—

Gordon Graydon Memorial [Public] Mississauga						OSSLT count: 370
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 46.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,700: 1.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	105/722		152/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.5	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.1	80.9	78.6	96.8	89.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	43.8	32.1	30.0	31.9	25.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	24.6	23.2	21.4	16.5	15.7	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.9	F 7.2				

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

Philip Pocock [Catholic] Mississauga										OSSLT count: 413	
ESL (%): 21.8					Special needs (%): 14.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$70,200: 1.3					Rank: 168/722					258/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.9	▲					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.0	2.1	2.5	2.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.8	83.0	87.3	89.8	88.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	72.2	46.2	63.8	56.7	63.9	—					
Tests below standard (%)	30.2	30.3	22.7	20.3	20.6	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.8	F 0.5	F 0.8	F 5.9	F 5.6	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.6	2.0	2.7	2.5	▼					
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	5.9	7.3	7.4	7.2	—					

St Joseph [Catholic] Mississauga										OSSLT count: 533	
ESL (%): 10.8					Special needs (%): 11.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$79,800: 0.7					Rank: 234/722					258/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	▼					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.0	2.3	2.1	2.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.7	86.7	84.8	90.8	90.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	69.0	54.4	50.6	62.0	75.6	—					
Tests below standard (%)	26.4	26.8	27.5	24.1	21.7	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.4	F 5.8	F 7.9	M 1.4	F 2.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	2.3	3.3	2.9	2.4	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.6	6.6	7.1	6.9	—					

Streetsville [Public] Mississauga										OSSLT count: 317	
ESL (%): 7.3					Special needs (%): 12.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$58,400: 0.9					Rank: 155/722					299/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.0	2.1	1.8	2.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.2	93.1	92.0	92.4	91.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.8	53.0	62.5	60.6	63.6	—					
Tests below standard (%)	38.6	28.5	20.4	24.7	18.5	▲					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.9	F 1.0	F 6.6	F 8.6	F 3.7	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	2.9	1.9	1.7	2.4	—					
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	6.5	7.4	6.6	7.3	▲					

Port Credit [Public] Mississauga										OSSLT count: 365	
ESL (%): 14.0					Special needs (%): 17.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$78,900: 1.2					Rank: 134/722					152/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.6	88.8	89.5	88.8	88.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.5	66.7	51.1	54.1	64.3	—					
Tests below standard (%)	27.4	21.1	22.9	23.2	18.6	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	F 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.5	F 1.3	F 5.0	F 4.7	F 5.0	▼					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	2.1	0.4	0.4	1.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.9	7.3	7.3	7.4	—					

St Marcellinus [Catholic] Mississauga										OSSLT count: 362	
ESL (%): 4.4					Special needs (%): 17.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$84,400: 1.4					Rank: 82/722					27/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.7	92.5	88.7	88.0	88.3	▼					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	64.7	61.1	70.7	72.2	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	16.7	14.6	20.9	18.4	15.8	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	F 7.7	F 19.1	F 17.2	M 4.8	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	0.9	1.5	1.9	0.9	—					
Overall rating out of 10	9.2	9.0	7.9	7.7	7.8	—					

The Woodlands [Public] Mississauga										OSSLT count: 433	
ESL (%): 14.5					Special needs (%): 7.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$72,400: 2.0					Rank: 70/722					75/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.2	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.7	2.0	2.1	2.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.0	91.0	88.5	87.1	92.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.3	76.2	44.3	57.5	71.4	—					
Tests below standard (%)	18.4	20.2	21.2	21.8	18.0	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.3	F 1.7	M 4.5	F 2.0	M 0.1	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.5	1.8	0.3	1.3	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	7.5	7.7	8.0	7.9	—					

Sainte-Famille [Catholic] Mississauga										OSSLT count: 191	
ESL (%): 5.2					Special needs (%): 16.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$90,100: -0.1					Rank: 307/722						

Central Peel [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 429						
ESL (%): 31.7		Special needs (%): 8.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,900: -1.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 614/722 Rank: 580/690								
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.3	2.5	2.3	2.8	2.4	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		1.5	2.1	2.0	2.4	1.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		72.8	66.0	76.9	79.4	75.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE		49.7	59.3	50.0	52.7	47.1	—	
Tests below standard (%)		51.8	41.9	44.4	34.9	45.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math		M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT		F 14.9	F 5.7	F 10.9	F 7.6	M 0.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		6.7	2.3	6.4	3.7	3.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10		3.8	4.8	4.7	6.6	4.3	—	

Mayfield [Public] Caledon		OSSLT count: 555						
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 10.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$90,900: 1.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 55/722 Rank: 29/690								
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		2.3	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		91.2	95.6	95.4	96.3	95.9	▲	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE		77.8	80.0	88.0	57.7	76.9	—	
Tests below standard (%)		18.3	14.0	13.8	15.3	11.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math		E	E	E	E	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT		F 12.6	F 2.6	F 4.7	F 4.0	F 4.0	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		0.4	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.7	—	
Overall rating out of 10		8.0	8.6	8.6	8.3	8.1	—	

St Edmund Campion [Catholic] Brampton		OSSLT count: 695						
ESL (%): 6.5		Special needs (%): 20.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$572,400: -0.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 493/722 Rank: 489/690								
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.7	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.4	—	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		2.3	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		74.2	77.7	77.6	81.1	88.1	▲	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE		n/a	48.5	48.5	46.1	47.3	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)		31.5	32.8	36.6	37.7	32.8	—	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math		M 0.1	E	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT		F 9.5	F 12.3	F 9.4	F 11.5	F 2.0	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		0.6	1.0	1.5	2.1	2.3	—	▼
Overall rating out of 10		6.8	6.1	5.1	4.7	5.5	—	

Chinguacousy [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 499						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 12.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,300: -1.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 593/722 Rank: 530/690								
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.5	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.4	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		1.8	1.9	2.1	1.9	1.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		83.0	85.4	84.0	84.0	80.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE		72.7	54.5	59.5	60.6	65.2	—	
Tests below standard (%)		35.3	40.3	36.5	32.4	34.2	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math		M 0.1	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.3	—	
Gender gap OSSLT		F 6.4	F 5.1	F 7.0	F 8.1	F 10.5	▼	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		4.0	4.7	4.7	2.8	4.9	—	
Overall rating out of 10		6.2	4.9	5.3	5.5	4.6	—	

North Park [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 399						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 11.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,100: 0.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 350/722 Rank: 358/690								
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		1.9	2.2	2.0	1.8	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		86.2	83.8	87.1	89.4	86.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE		68.0	55.0	54.0	53.2	59.2	—	
Tests below standard (%)		31.2	29.3	29.6	28.0	24.4	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math		M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT		F 0.4	F 5.0	F 11.8	F 7.2	n/a	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		0.2	3.1	1.4	5.3	0.5	—	
Overall rating out of 10		6.6	6.3	6.5	5.9	6.3	—	

St Augustine [Catholic] Brampton		OSSLT count: 532						
ESL (%): 5.1		Special needs (%): 15.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,500: 0.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 402/722 Rank: 438/690								
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.6	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		1.9	2.2	2.0	1.8	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		81.3	82.4	86.3	82.1	88.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE		63.3	38.7	60.0	54.4	48.7	—	
Tests below standard (%)		35.7	31.5	37.1	33.3	32.0	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math		E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT		M 0.2	F 10.0	F 6.7	F 4.7	F 4.7	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		0.7	1.0	1.4	1.7	0.9	—	
Overall rating out of 10		6.1	6.2	5.7	5.7	6.0	—	

Harold M. Brathwaite [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 514						
ESL (%): 9.7		Special needs (%): 10.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,900: -0.8		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 555/722 Rank: 538/690</td								

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

TORONTO

Agincourt [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 496						
ESL (%): 15.7		Special needs (%): 8.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,300: 2.7		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	70/722		54/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.1	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.7	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.1	93.5	91.2	88.2	89.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	54.2	71.4	47.1	48.8	53.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	26.7	15.8	18.8	19.0	16.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.0	F 7.7	M 1.2	F 1.9	F 3.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	0.9	2.4	1.4	1.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	8.6	8.4	8.3	7.9	—		

Albert Campbell [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 686						
ESL (%): 35.4		Special needs (%): 14.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,800: 1.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	329/722		299/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.4	1.8	1.9	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	71.9	90.5	87.3	82.3	87.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	82.7	55.2	48.1	36.2	42.6	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	28.1	27.1	27.2	33.1	28.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.9	F 5.2	F 3.2	M 1.4	M 1.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.5	2.6	1.3	1.4	0.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.8	7.2	5.9	6.4	—		

Archbishop Romero [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 359						
ESL (%): 20.3		Special needs (%): 36.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,000: -0.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	614/722		617/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.3	1.8	2.1	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	59.0	57.6	64.7	58.9	70.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.8	43.8	43.1	39.2	48.8	—		
Tests below standard (%)	47.1	43.9	49.9	49.0	41.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.7	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.8	F 8.2	F 19.1	M 2.4	F 5.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	4.7	2.0	5.0	3.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	4.0	3.8	4.1	4.3	—		

Bendale [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 349						
ESL (%): 1.4		Special needs (%): 63.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,500: -0.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	713/722		688/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.2	2.0	1.0	1.3	0.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	35.1	22.9	24.7	18.1	21.5	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	31.9	17.4	27.2	23.0	17.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	71.5	78.4	76.6	81.4	86.2	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 10.0	M 3.6	M 4.7	M 2.4	M 1.0	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	22.7	14.1	23.5	23.8	42.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		

Birchmount Park [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 378						
ESL (%): 10.3		Special needs (%): 21.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,300: -0.9		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	582/722		591/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.6	2.0	2.5	2.4	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.2	1.8	1.8	1.5	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.6	84.4	80.3	75.9	76.8	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.3	67.5	47.7	55.6	56.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	46.3	34.3	44.8	38.5	36.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.2	F 12.3	F 1.4	F 3.9	F 8.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.6	5.4	5.2	2.6	4.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	3.9	5.7	4.3	4.5	4.7	—		

Bishop Allen [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 439						
ESL (%): 6.8		Special needs (%): 12.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$89,800: 1.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	105/722		115/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.4	2.4	2.0	1.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.0	92.6	92.9	93.3	94.9	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.7	87.0	37.0	69.2	73.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	27.1	17.5	17.7	18.7	16.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.7	M 0.9	F 1.2	F 5.4	M 2.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.0	5.9	2.6	0.8	0.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	8.0	7.7	7.7	7.6	—		

Bishop Marrocco/Thomas Merton [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 311						
ESL (%): 20.3		Special needs (%): 27.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,400: 0.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	509/722		660/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.6	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.6	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	51.9	60.8	66.7	55.6	81.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	51.3	41.0	42.3	39.5	80.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	62.7	53.9	53.1	56.9	37.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	E	M 0.6	M 0.1	F 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.9	F 15.2	F 1.5	M 0.5	M 1.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	13.7	18.8	9.1	9.0	8.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.1	5.4	—		

Cardinal Newman [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 328						
ESL (%): 3.4		Special needs (%): 17.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,300: 1.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	252/722		343/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.0	1.8	2.1	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.0	92.5	89.5	88.2	88.6	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	91.4	90.9	71.4	73.2	57.6	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	27.1	22.5	33.9	29.5	23.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.6	F 3.5	F 7.4	F 8.8	F 1.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.2	2.8</td						

Crawford Adventist Academy [Private] Toronto			OSSLT count: 45	
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	2.2	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a		2008-09	2008-09	Last 5 Years
		Rank:	694/722	n/a
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.9
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld.)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	82.2
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	42.4
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.1
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 9.7
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.3

Don Mills [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 367				
ESL (%)	19.3	Special needs (%)		6.5		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,900: 1.3		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	252/722	115/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.2	▼
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.3	91.4	90.8	91.6	89.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.4	63.5	59.2	60.7	62.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.1	18.6	22.4	20.7	22.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.0	M 1.9	F 5.0	F 7.0	F 10.4	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.7	3.3	0.0	1.3	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	8.1	7.9	7.7	6.8	—

Emery [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 431						
ESL (%)	18.8	Special needs (%)			18.1			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$35,100: -1.4		Rank		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.2	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	0.9	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	51.6	65.9	71.4	73.0	75.4	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	47.3	43.4	38.6	38.6	42.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	60.6	51.3	43.9	50.8	49.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.6	F 9.5	M 0.7	F 4.5	M 4.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.4	7.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	1.6	3.2	4.2	3.1	3.2	—		

Danforth [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 383					
ESL (%): 5.0		Special needs (%): 46.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,900: -1.8		2008-09			Last 5 Years		
		653/722			667/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.5	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.6	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.2	1.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	65.3	65.7	72.4	70.2	68.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	43.1	34.7	42.1	40.2	39.4	—	
Test below standard (%)	57.6	59.3	53.5	47.3	45.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.5	M 0.1	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 10.1	M 11.1	F 7.9	M 5.4	M 4.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	23.9	24.1	31.8	25.1	17.5	—	
Overall rating out of 10	1.9	2.1	2.3	3.3	3.6	▲	

Downsway [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 251						
ESL (%)	19.1				Special needs (%)		26.3	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$38,900: -3.1			2008-09		Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.9	1.9	2.3	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld.)	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	47.5	46.8	46.1	53.9	49.0	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	37.3	21.6	24.1	28.8	42.4	—	▲	—
Tests below standard (%)	66.0	73.2	73.6	67.0	62.1	—	—	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.7	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.3	E	—	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.6	F 27.1	F 1.8	M 10.2	F 2.2	—	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.9	15.0	10.2	9.0	7.5	—	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.1	1.7	—	—	—

Étienne-Brûlé [Public] Toronto		OSLT count: 93						
ESL (%)	n/a				Special needs (%)		n/a	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,600: 1.2		Rank:		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.7	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.3	2.4	1.3	2.3	—		
OSSSLT passed (%)-FTE	72.9	81.1	83.5	81.3	89.2	▲		
OSSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	64.7	n/a	93.3	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	27.0	19.8	19.1	26.2	21.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.3	F 0.3	F 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSSLT	F 1.0	F 15.6	F 8.6	F 25.0	M 12.2	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.9	0.0	1.7	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	8.1	8.0	6.7	7.2	—		

Dante Alighieri [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 468		
ESL (%): 5.3		Special needs (%): 34.8		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,200: -0.3		2008-09		Last 5 Years
Rank:		569/722		550/690
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.7	2.7	2.8
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.4	2.3	2.4	2.4
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	76.6	71.9	72.2	72.7
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	64.5	53.5	42.0	37.2
Test below standard (%)	43.8	34.9	36.1	34.9
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.6	F 4.9	F 6.3	F 16.1
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	4.7	1.7	0.0
Overall rating out of 10	4.6	5.6	5.4	5.2
				4.9

Dr Norman Bethune [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 477						
ESL (%)	33.1	Special needs (%)			9.6			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,200: 2.2		2008-09			Last 5 Years			
		Rank:	119/722		173/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.9	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.1		—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid.)	1.7	2.9	2.6	2.1	2.6		—	
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	77.7	80.4	78.7	83.0	90.6		▲	
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	73.5	58.1	33.3	29.9	35.2		▼	
Test below standard (%)	24.3	22.3	24.8	25.1	17.8		—	
Gender gap (level) - Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	F 0.1		—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.5	F 9.2	F 4.6	F 2.9	F 6.6		—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.1	1.7	1.2	0.9		—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.2	7.4	7.4	7.5		▲	

Etobicoke [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 369						
ESL (%)	11.7	Special needs (%)			16.5			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$122,500: -1.4		Rank:		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.8	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Ap/d)	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.4	▼	
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	80.6	88.3	86.2	84.5	83.4	83.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	71.4	68.1	56.9	60.0	62.7	62.7	—	
Tests below standard (%)	32.8	29.6	31.0	28.3	25.4	25.4	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.5	F 7.2	F 2.0	F 8.2	F 3.1	F 3.1	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.8	2.5	3.8	3.2	4.3	4.3	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.8	6.0	6.2	6.3	6.3	▲	

David and Mary Thomson [Public] Toronto			OSSLT count: 464		
ESL (%): 20.7			Special needs (%): 6.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$40,300:	-0.7	Rank:	2008-09	2009	Last 5 Years
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.3
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.7	2.0	1.7	1.9
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	76.8	73.0	72.4	74.8	74.5
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	71.1	50.4	51.1	38.3	47.9
Test below standard (%)	39.9	47.9	45.9	50.6	47.5
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	E
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.5	F 4.2	F 1.3	F 4.8	F 4.2
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	5.5	6.7	6.9	5.8
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	4.0	4.6	3.3	4.1

Earl Haig [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 600				
ESL (%)	26.2	Special needs (%)		6.8		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,900: 2.3		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
Rank:	26/722	26/722	26/722	26/722	26/722	26/722
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.2	3.2	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.4	2.1	1.8	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	90.3	93.7	89.1	92.1	92.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	65.0	71.1	56.5	57.6	60.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	16.9	15.3	16.1	13.6	13.0	▲
Gender gap (level) - Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.8	F 7.0	F 9.3	F 2.7	F 1.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	3.5	1.6	1.7	1.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.1	8.2	8.8	8.4	▲

Etobicoke School of the Arts [Public] Toronto				OSSLT count: 226			
ESL (%)	n/a			Special needs (%)		n/a	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$114,500:		1.4	Rank:	2008-09		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	n/a	1.8	2.4	2.8	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	96.2	96.8	98.1	95.6	98.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	11.1	12.7	13.3	12.2	7.0	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.4	M 2.3	F 0.1	F 7.0	F 0.3	—	
Gender gap tests not written (%)	2.2	0.5	1.4	1.7	0.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	9.0	8.0	8.4	8.2	8.8	—	

Delphi Secondary Alternative [Public] Toronto			OSSLT count: 22	
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,000: 1.6	2008-09		Last 5 Years	
	Rank:	168/722	n/a	n/a
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.7	n/a	2.5
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	n/a	100.0	100.0	93.8
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	16.3	0.0	18.9
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	16.1	n/a	8.7
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	7.8	n/a	6.4
				7.2

East York [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 613						
ESL (%)		Special needs (%)			2008-09		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,200:-1.7		Rank:			653/722		633/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.3	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.3	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.5	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	73.3	72.3	75.0	70.6	73.2	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	52.3	50.0	52.1	41.1	42.6			
Tests below standard (%)	46.4	39.3	44.8	51.0	44.4	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.6	F 5.2	F 5.0	F 0.9	F 1.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.1	11.2	7.5	8.6	9.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	4.5	4.1	2.5	3.6	—		

Father Henry Carr [Catholic] Toronto			OSSLI count: 370		
ESL (%): 29.2			Special needs (%): 13.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$37,300: -2.3			2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Rank:	692/722	673/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.5
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Ap/dip)	1.8	2.4	1.6	1.5	1.3
OSSLI passed (%) - FTE	48.4	64.7	61.5	58.3	59.7
OSSLI passed (%) - PE	42.6	27.1	22.9	26.1	34.7
Tests below standard (%)	60.6	55.3	62.8	59.5	57.8
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.3	F 0.2	F 0.2	F 0.1
Gender gap OSSLI	F 4.8	F 13.2	F 23.0	F 10.7	M 1.2
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.3	4.6	2.0	0.0	1.0
Overall rating out of 10	2.5	2.6	1.7	1.5	2.4

Don Bosco [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 298				
ESL (%): 6.7		Special needs (%): 28.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,800: -1.9		2008-09 Last 5 Years				
Rank:		671/722		649/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.4	1.8	2.3	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.9	1.7	1.8	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	70.2	71.0	70.2	62.6	54.8	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.6	29.0	35.5	32.4	39.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	41.5	46.6	52.3	52.3	46.2	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M0.1	M0.1	F0.2	F0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.0	F 14.3	F 15.1	F 17.1	F 27.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	5.7	4.4	9.0	3.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	3.6	2.8	2.4	3.2	—

Eastern Commerce [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 220				
ESL (%): 19.1		Special needs (%): 35.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$36,300: -4.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years				
Rank:	711/722	2009	681/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.6	1.6	1.2	2.0	2.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Ap/d)	1.5	1.8	1.1	1.4	1.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	40.5	53.1	59.7	51.4	52.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	35.8	35.1	20.2	32.1	29.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	67.9	62.7	73.4	61.6	60.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	F 0.1	M 0.1	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	F 25.5	F 31.8	F 19.4	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	12.5	16.8	13.3	18.7	31.5	▼
Overall rating out of 10	0.8	1.2	0.0	1.0	0.6	—

Father John Redmond [Catholic] Toronto			OSSLI count: 292			
ESL (%)	10.3		Special needs (%)		18.5	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,300: 1.5			Rank:	2008-09	Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Adad)	2.5	2.6	2.3	2.9	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	1.4	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.5	▲
OSSLI passed (%) - FTE	79.8	88.7	85.0	90.7	93.0	▲
OSSLI passed (%) - PE	71.8	56.8	51.9	71.9	71.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.1	31.4	39.6	17.7	20.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLI	F 5.5	F 9.2	F 10.2	F 7.2	F 0.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.6	0.9	0.0	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	5.8	4.7	7.9	7.3	—

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

Forest Hill [Public] Toronto										OSSLT count: 261	
ESL (%): 20.3					Special needs (%): 9.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$176,200: -1.7					2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$176,200: -1.7					Rank: 70/722 135/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.0	▲					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.3	2.6	1.6	1.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.5	92.1	90.7	93.9	94.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	86.4	79.7	82.5	67.3	77.5	▲					
Tests below standard (%)	26.0	19.6	15.6	18.8	15.2	▲					
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	F 5.7	F 2.5	F 8.0	M 5.3	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	0.9	1.3	1.5	0.5	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	7.4	8.2	7.5	7.9	—					

Humberside [Public] Toronto										OSSLT count: 268	
ESL (%): 0.7					Special needs (%): 9.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$124,100: 0.4					2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$124,100: 0.4					Rank: 45/722 54/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.6	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.4	1.9	1.9	2.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.1	79.7	79.8	85.3	83.0	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	86.8	56.4	40.4	46.7	50.9	—					
Tests below standard (%)	26.0	29.4	40.0	32.2	33.7	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	E	M 0.4	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.0	F 5.9	F 13.1	F 1.3	F 5.7	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	3.1	1.7	1.8	1.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.2	8.3	8.6	8.2	—					

Lakeshore [Public] Toronto										OSSLT count: 271	
ESL (%): 10.7					Special needs (%): 30.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,400: -1.6					2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$54,400: -1.6					Rank: 651/722 654/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.4	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.9	1.4	1.6	1.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	74.4	68.3	69.6	64.1	69.5	▼					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	47.6	37.0	40.8	41.0	48.1	▲					
Tests below standard (%)	48.2	49.1	49.7	55.0	47.9	▼					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.4	F 0.1	E	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.2	F 5.7	F 8.1	F 16.2	F 13.4	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.9	12.4	7.8	7.9	5.5	—					
Overall rating out of 10	3.7	3.3	3.7	2.3	3.7	—					

Francis Libermann [Catholic] Toronto										OSSLT count: 273	
ESL (%): 15.4					Special needs (%): 17.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,900: 0.3					2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$49,900: 0.3					Rank: 493/722 458/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.6	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.4	1.9	1.9	2.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.1	79.7	79.8	85.3	83.0	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	86.8	56.4	40.4	46.7	50.9	—					
Tests below standard (%)	26.0	29.4	40.0	32.2	33.7	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.7	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.6	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.9	F 15.4	M 2.6	M 1.8	M 9.9	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	13.4	35.2	56.4	32.3	5.4	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.5	4.8	5.1	4.1	—					

Islamic Foundation [Private] Toronto										OSSLT count: 29	
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 0.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$1/a: n/a					2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$1/a: n/a					Rank: 134/722 n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	2.3	3.0	2.6	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	1.1	1.3	1.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.7	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.6	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.9	F 13.1	F 1.3	F 5.7	F 5.5	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	3.1	1.7	1.8	1.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.5	4.8	5.1	4.1	—					

L'Amoreaux [Public] Toronto										OSSLT count: 396	
ESL (%): 45.2					Special needs (%): 11.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,300: 1.2					2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$43,300: 1.2					Rank: 385/722 396/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.4	2.0	2.1	2.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.1	74.8	80.3	79.0	84.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.7	57.9	46.8	44.8	48.6	—					
Tests below standard (%)	32.9	32.1	40.9	33.5	32.5	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.2	F 14.4	M 3.3	F 11.0	M 3.0	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	3.1	1.8	1.2	3.9	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.5	5.4	5.9	6.1	—					

George Henry [Public] Toronto										OSSLT count: 340	
ESL (%): 34.1					Special needs (%): 12.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,300: -1.1					2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$42,300: -1.1					Rank: 643/722 611/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.2	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	73.5	74.9	74.7	71.4	75.1	▼</td					

Loretto Abbey [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 221						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$90,400: 1.2		2008-09		Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	82/722		87/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.5	2.1	1.8	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	96.0	95.3	96.9	95.3	94.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	75.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	18.0	15.4	18.5	20.5	13.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	2.1	1.5	0.0	0.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.2	7.8	6.9	7.8	—		

Mary Ward [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 306						
ESL (%): 8.2		Special needs (%): 5.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,600: 3.1		2008-09		Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	26/722		173/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.7	2.2	2.6	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.0	2.4	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.2	91.1	92.7	93.0	93.6	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	83.3	66.7	45.8	83.3	87.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	30.6	23.6	23.0	20.8	11.0	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	E	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.2	F 6.1	F 5.6	F 3.1	M 0.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	2.5	1.4	4.0	0.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.8	7.5	7.3	8.4	▲		

Nelson A Boylen [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 158						
ESL (%): 16.5		Special needs (%): 18.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,700: 4.7		2008-09		Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	713/722		688/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.7	1.2	0.8	1.4	1.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	25.0	52.6	38.1	45.5	39.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	47.5	32.0	23.1	34.1	40.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	73.6	71.2	77.3	74.5	73.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.4	F 11.7	F 7.6	M 10.0	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.3	17.6	14.3	13.0	15.8	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		

Madonna [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 202						
ESL (%): 31.2		Special needs (%): 13.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,500: 0.7		2008-09		Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	620/722		656/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.6	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.1	1.7	1.5	1.8	1.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	58.5	68.4	58.1	70.0	78.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	42.9	52.9	42.9	75.0	65.0	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	56.4	48.8	60.2	44.4	47.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	19.4	20.7	2.9	0.0	0.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	2.0	3.2	2.2	4.2	4.2	▲		

Michael Power/St Joseph [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 560						
ESL (%): 6.4		Special needs (%): 13.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$101,400: 0.8		2008-09		Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	82/722		54/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.1	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.3	91.8	91.6	90.8	92.1	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	78.3	55.2	86.1	72.9	50.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	17.9	19.1	13.8	14.8	14.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	▲		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.5	F 18.8	F 5.0	F 4.8	F 7.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.6	8.3	8.5	7.8	—		

Newtonbrook [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 452						
ESL (%): 36.9		Special needs (%): 10.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,900: 1.1		2008-09		Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	350/722		343/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.9	89.9	84.4	84.8	82.5	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.0	72.7	59.2	50.0	55.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	51.3	52.9	49.8	52.8	50.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	M 0.4	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.8	F 9.9	F 8.5	F 12.5	F 4.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	2.1	3.6	4.5	5.4	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	6.8	6.0	5.5	6.3	—		

Marshall McLuhan [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 313						
ESL (%): 12.1		Special needs (%): 18.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,800: 0.6		2008-09		Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc.	Rank:	291/722		324/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.6	2.1	2.4	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.4	88.2	88.2	82.0	86.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	86.0	63.2	40.0	33.3	58.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	30.0	22.5	32.4	34.4	24.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.5	M 0.1	F 4.4	F 6.5	F 1.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	4.1	1.4	1.9	1.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	7.3	6.2	5.6	6.6	—		

Monsignor Percy Johnson [Catholic] Toronto	
---	--

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

Notre Dame [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 196				
ESL (%): 5.6		Special needs (%): 18.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,800: 1.5	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc. of \$61,800: 1.5	Rank: 184/722	42/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.8	2.4	2.3	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	93.2	92.1	96.4	94.3	89.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	75.0	62.5	47.4	n/a	63.2	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	20.5	13.6	19.2	16.6	20.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	5.3	1.2	0.6	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	9.1	8.2	8.3	7.1	—

Riverdale [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 393				
ESL (%): 8.1		Special needs (%): 17.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,100: 1.5	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc. of \$52,100: 1.5	Rank: 269/722	473/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.2	1.1	1.6	2.3	1.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.4	81.1	82.0	89.4	89.7	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.6	38.2	42.9	47.9	48.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	37.8	39.5	31.5	26.9	22.3	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.1	M 0.7	M 4.0	F 0.7	F 3.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.3	5.2	4.5	2.3	2.7	▲
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	4.3	5.9	6.4	6.7	▲

Sir John A Macdonald [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 433				
ESL (%): 15.2		Special needs (%): 11.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,500: 1.7	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc. of \$49,500: 1.7	Rank: 252/722	135/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.8	87.8	87.7	86.1	87.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.8	65.9	52.8	45.6	45.8	▼
Tests below standard (%)	20.0	17.0	21.3	22.2	20.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.4	M 1.1	F 4.4	F 2.2	F 2.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	1.6	3.0	2.2	2.7	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	8.2	7.5	7.1	6.8	▼

Oakwood [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 194				
ESL (%): 0.5		Special needs (%): 21.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,300: -0.2	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc. of \$59,300: -0.2	Rank: 520/722	633/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	1.6	2.0	2.4	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.4	1.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.7	81.0	82.7	78.3	83.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	72.1	59.0	51.3	52.2	47.4	▼
Tests below standard (%)	45.4	49.1	41.9	38.7	33.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.9	F 9.0	F 7.0	F 1.4	M 7.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.2	11.0	9.3	2.5	3.8	▲
Overall rating out of 10	3.5	2.1	3.9	4.2	5.3	—

Rosedale Heights School of the Arts [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 272				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 23.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$91,300: 0.8	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc. of \$91,300: 0.8	Rank: 134/722	271/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.7	2.3	2.0	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.0	95.3	92.8	94.3	94.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	77.8	87.0	n/a	84.6	87.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	28.6	25.4	29.6	22.4	17.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.0	F 1.2	M 3.5	F 0.6	F 3.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.8	2.1	0.4	0.9	2.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.7	5.9	7.1	7.4	—

Sir Oliver Mowat [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 325				
ESL (%): 3.1		Special needs (%): 18.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$91,200: 0.4	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc. of \$91,200: 0.4	Rank: 212/722	215/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.6	▼
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.7	91.9	88.8	93.9	92.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	92.5	79.2	57.7	53.7	58.3	▼
Tests below standard (%)	21.4	24.3	29.4	22.0	23.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.7	M 1.7	F 1.7	F 5.4	F 1.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	4.2	1.1	0.0	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.1	6.3	7.1	7.0	—

Parkdale [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 254				
ESL (%): 22.8		Special needs (%): 23.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$37,200: 0.0	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc. of \$37,200: 0.0	Rank: 582/722	614/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.5	1.9	n/a	2.0	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	60.8	72.6	75.9	74.0	77.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.4	32.7	35.7	40.3	53.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	52.8	45.6	45.8	31.8	35.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.7	M 1.8	F 17.9	F 4.4	F 5.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.9	5.3	2.8	0.5	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	4.8	5.5	4.7	5.4	▲

Scarlett Heights Entrepreneurial [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 185				
ESL (%): 6.7		Special needs (%): 13.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,400: -0.9	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc. of \$52,400: -0.9	Rank: 614/722	633/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.3	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.0	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.2	77.2	84.3	67.2	80.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.1	67.9	63.2	71.4	45.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	46.8	43.4	46.3	46.9	41.1	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	F 0.2	M 0.6	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 30.0	F 2.9	F 7.5	M 0.1	F 8.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.6	9.0	1.5	6.6	6.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.6	3.9	4.3	3.0	4.3	—

Sir Sandford Fleming [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 190				
ESL (%): 18.9		Special needs (%): 29.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,100: -5.0	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
parents' avg. inc. of \$45,100: -5.0	Rank: 688/722	682/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend

St Joseph's [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 196						
ESL (%): 15.8		Special needs (%): 11.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,300: 2.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 134/722 Rank:		258/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.9	2.6	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.3	1.7	2.1	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.3	83.9	90.1	89.1	87.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	72.9	64.3	69.2	48.0	78.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	28.0	24.4	20.6	31.9	21.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	2.1	6.0	1.7	2.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.4	8.1	5.6	7.4	—		

Thistletown [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 262						
ESL (%): 7.6		Special needs (%): 28.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,300: -1.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 653/722 Rank:		653/722 670/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.7	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.5	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	0.7	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	65.8	60.0	69.9	68.4	66.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	45.8	54.8	34.7	44.3	45.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	58.5	59.5	55.3	53.2	48.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	E	E	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	F 16.5	F 14.0	F 6.3	F 2.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.0	7.1	5.7	5.3	4.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	1.9	1.6	2.5	2.9	3.6	▲		

West Hill [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 377						
ESL (%): 8.0		Special needs (%): 17.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,300: -1.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 620/722 Rank:		620/722 622/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.0	2.4	2.5	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.3	0.9	1.7	1.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	74.2	74.0	81.5	82.5	80.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	63.8	56.6	50.6	45.1	39.7	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	43.7	41.5	45.3	40.5	43.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.5	F 7.1	F 12.7	M 4.7	F 12.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	7.6	3.3	2.5	4.2	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	3.7	3.6	4.6	4.2	—		

St Joseph's Morrow Park [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 238						
ESL (%): 32.8		Special needs (%): 14.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,300: 0.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 419/722 Rank:		358/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.0	87.7	87.6	85.3	86.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.7	75.0	66.7	62.8	80.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	31.7	24.2	25.8	29.1	27.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	3.1	3.1	2.2	1.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.9	6.8	6.0	5.9	—		

Timothy Eaton [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 114						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 78.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$44,800: -5.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 713/722 Rank:		n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	95.3	100.0	98.1	97.9	96.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	17.7	85.6	84.7	90.0	88.4	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	17.6	8.8	11.6	13.2	10.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.4	F 5.1	F 0.9	F 5.0	F 10.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.7	17.5	16.1	8.0	5.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	3.9	3.3	4.0	2.3	2.2	▼		

West Humber [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 348						
ESL (%): 13.2		Special needs (%): 33.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,200: -2.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 690/722 Rank:		660/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.7	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.2	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.1	1.9	1.2	1.2	1.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	66.5	72.4	75.4	77.8	73.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	53.9	49.5	38.4	41.6	47.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	54.6	47.4	54.5	46.5	50.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.3	E	M 0.2	M 0.4	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.5	F 4.1	F 5.2	M 1.1	M 3.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.0	3.6	6.7	7.6	3.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	2.7	3.5	2.8	3.3	2.5	—		

St Michael's Choir [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 20						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 0.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,300: -1.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 134/722 Rank:		n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.2	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.1	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.0	1.2	1.5	1.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	52.6	62.0	61.3	56.6	71.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	43.1	51.5	45.3	43.1	56.7	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	66.3	53.7	57.8	53.6	47.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 4.3	F 23.6	F 15.6	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.2	9.6	17.0	8.8	9.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	1.1	2.6	2.0	2.0	3.7	—		

Vaughan Road [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 221						
ESL (%): 8.6		Special needs (%): 10.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,300: -1.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 520/722 Rank:		438/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)</								

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

Wexford Collegiate School for the Arts [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 344
ESL (%): 4.7		Special needs (%): 14.8		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,100:-0.3		Rank: 445/722		458/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.4	84.0	84.2	89.3	83.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.1	55.7	52.9	58.3	46.8	—	
Tests below standard (%)	37.4	31.7	35.9	28.6	30.5	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	E	F 0.2	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 8.5	F 6.6	F 9.2	F 9.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	14.2	20.6	3.8	6.0	4.3	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.9	5.8	6.1	5.8	—	

Aurora [Public] Aurora							OSSLT count: 372
ESL (%): 3.0		Special needs (%): 25.0		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$140,200:-0.6		Rank: 94/722		42/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	98.7	97.9	97.3	96.2	93.7	▼	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	88.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	75.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	12.7	14.9	13.0	14.4	14.5	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.6	F 3.3	F 3.0	F 4.2	F 4.9	▼	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.9	7.9	8.4	8.1	7.7	—	

Dr G W Williams [Public] Aurora							OSSLT count: 370
ESL (%): 7.0		Special needs (%): 22.2		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$123,700:-1.0		Rank: 269/722		299/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.3	90.1	86.1	85.8	88.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.5	43.1	42.9	61.8	50.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	28.7	22.9	26.4	25.7	23.3	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.0	F 10.8	F 5.5	F 11.2	F 8.0	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.9	1.8	0.7	1.0	1.1	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	7.2	6.6	6.3	6.7	—	

William Lyon Mackenzie [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 363
ESL (%): 24.2		Special needs (%): 12.4		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$94,400:-0.7		Rank: 134/722		75/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.9	—	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	2.6	2.5	—	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.8	90.7	92.0	90.2	93.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	68.5	74.5	76.7	75.7	72.2	—	
Tests below standard (%)	20.7	16.2	20.6	15.4	17.8	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.4	▼	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.9	F 10.4	M 0.5	F 3.4	F 1.8	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	▲	
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	8.0	8.1	8.2	7.4	—	

Bayview [Public] Richmond Hill							OSSLT count: 580
ESL (%): 21.2		Special needs (%): 8.4		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,300:-2.9		Rank: 3/722		3/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.3	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.5	3.0	2.8	2.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.3	93.5	92.0	91.9	96.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.5	72.4	51.1	60.0	74.6	—	
Tests below standard (%)	15.3	8.6	11.7	11.6	7.2	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.4	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.9	F 2.4	F 4.1	F 0.9	F 2.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.8	2.0	3.3	0.6	0.5	▲	
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	9.4	9.1	9.4	9.4	▲	

Dr John M Denison [Public] Newmarket							OSSLT count: 366
ESL (%): 4.1		Special needs (%): 23.8		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$91,800:-0.7		Rank: 419/722		215/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.6	89.6	86.9	87.8	84.5	▼	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	78.8	48.8	61.5	52.1	62.5	—	
Tests below standard (%)	24.7	24.1	23.6	23.1	28.4	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.2	F 3.2	F 7.3	F 0.9	F 8.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	0.7	1.6	1.4	1.9	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.1	7.3	7.5	5.9	—	

Woburn [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 477
ESL (%): 13.2		Special needs (%): 5.0		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,000:-0.9		Rank: 402/722		324/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.9	2.9	2.4	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.3	1.9	1.6	1.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	76.2	90.1	85.8	83.3	83.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	52.9	70.3	57.8	55.6	56.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	34.2	23.1	24.4	26.4	26.6	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	▲	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.8	F 2.1	M 0.2	F 1.3	F 13.7	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	2.5	2.1	0.8	1.9	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	7.0	7.1	6.6	6.0	—	

Br André [Catholic] Markham							OSSLT count: 442
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 11.5		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,200:-1.1		Rank: 105/722		135/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.1	3.1	n/a	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.7	2.8	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	88.0	92.9	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	27.7	18.9	21.5	17.5	16.5	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.0	F 3.9	F 1.7	F 8.1	E	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	2.5	0.8	0.5	1.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	7.8	7.5	7.6	7.6	—	

Father Bressani [Catholic] Woodbridge							OSSLT count: 277
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 17.7		2008-09		Last 5 Years	

Huron Heights [Public] Newmarket										OSSLT count: 460	
ESL (%): 0.7										Special needs (%): 32.4	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,800: -1.4										2008-09 Last 5 Years	
parents' avg. inc. Rank: 520/722										521/690	
Academic Performance										Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)										2.4 2.6 2.5 2.5 2.6 —	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)										1.5 2.1 2.1 2.0 1.9 —	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE										84.6 83.1 82.4 80.8 81.8 ▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE										57.2 60.0 55.6 53.3 54.8 —	
Tests below standard (%)										39.1 29.1 33.0 37.5 31.0 —	
Gender gap (level)-Math										F 0.2 E E E M 0.1 —	
Gender gap OSSLT										F 6.3 F 14.6 F 11.6 F 17.0 F 11.7 —	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)										2.3 1.7 1.4 2.3 2.4 —	
Overall rating out of 10										5.2 5.9 5.7 4.8 5.3 —	

Markville [Public] Markham										OSSLT count: 371	
ESL (%): 23.5										Special needs (%): 11.6	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,800: 1.7										2008-09 Last 5 Years	
parents' avg. inc. Rank: 70/722										64/690	
Academic Performance										Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)										2.8 3.0 3.0 3.1 3.0 —	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)										1.5 2.1 2.1 2.2 1.9 —	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE										92.0 90.7 89.1 88.1 92.6 —	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE										68.3 80.0 43.5 65.7 63.6 —	
Tests below standard (%)										19.7 13.8 17.3 14.6 16.0 —	
Gender gap (level)-Math										M 0.1 F 0.2 M 0.2 M 0.1 M 0.1 —	
Gender gap OSSLT										F 3.3 F 2.1 F 4.2 F 4.3 F 2.6 —	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)										0.0 0.0 3.2 1.5 0.7 —	
Overall rating out of 10										8.0 8.2 7.7 8.3 7.9 —	

Renaissance [Catholic] Aurora										OSSLT count: 77	
ESL (%): n/a										Special needs (%): n/a	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,500: 0.8										2008-09 Last 5 Years	
parents' avg. inc. Rank: 94/722										343/690	
Academic Performance										Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)										2.6 2.2 2.7 3.0 —	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)										n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE										85.0 86.8 83.6 90.2 82.2 —	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE										n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	
Tests below standard (%)										25.0 21.3 38.7 32.2 10.3 —	
Gender gap (level)-Math										M 0.1 M 0.3 M 0.1 E M 0.1 M 0.1 —	
Gender gap OSSLT										F 16.7 F 9.7 F 22.7 M 6.2 F 9.7 —	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)										0.0 1.9 1.8 0.0 1.6 —	
Overall rating out of 10										6.5 7.0 3.9 7.1 7.7 —	

Keswick [Public] Keswick										OSSLT count: 441	
ESL (%): 0.0										Special needs (%): 32.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,400: -0.9										2008-09 Last 5 Years	
parents' avg. inc. Rank: 541/722										538/690	
Academic Performance										Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)										2.3 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.6 —	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)										1.5 2.2 2.5 2.0 2.0 —	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE										78.2 72.7 74.9 73.2 74.3 ▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE										61.7 48.7 60.0 50.0 66.7 —	
Tests below standard (%)										38.6 37.2 31.8 33.7 32.5 —	
Gender gap (level)-Math										M 0.1 E M 0.2 E M 0.2 E —	
Gender gap OSSLT										F 13.0 F 10.9 F 11.6 F 10.8 F 11.7 —	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)										6.6 2.3 1.3 1.3 1.0 —	
Overall rating out of 10										5.1 4.9 5.7 5.3 5.1 —	

Middlefield [Public] Markham										OSSLT count: 447	
ESL (%): 15.4										Special needs (%): 11.6	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,000: 3.3										2008-09 Last 5 Years	
parents' avg. inc. Rank: 10/722										75/690	
Academic Performance										Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)										2.7 3.1 3.1 3.0 3.1 —	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)										1.5 2.2 2.5 2.6 2.6 —	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE										80.3 86.6 83.6 95.8 95.8 —	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE										57.1 44.2 60.9 52.7 90.5 ▲	

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

St Elizabeth [Catholic] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 478						
ESL (%): 12.8		Special needs (%): 15.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,200: 1.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$103,200: 1.4		Rank: 26/722				64/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.0	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.0	90.5	92.1	90.3	92.0	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.0	75.0	75.0	62.9	67.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	24.3	17.1	13.2	18.0	12.3	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.9	F 4.7	F 0.8	F 7.4	F 2.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	8.0	8.6	7.9	8.4	—		

Stephen Lewis [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 282						
ESL (%): 15.6		Special needs (%): 19.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$90,500: 0.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$90,500: 0.1		Rank: 269/722				n/a		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	2.7	2.8	2.8	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	1.5	1.9	2.0	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	92.7	91.6	85.3	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	55.0	69.0	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	21.4	24.5	21.3	n/a		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	E	E	E	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 0.7	F 2.7	F 11.2	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	2.6	1.3	0.7	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	7.4	7.0	6.7	n/a		

Unionville [Public] Unionville		OSSLT count: 551						
ESL (%): 14.9		Special needs (%): 6.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$95,500: 2.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$95,500: 2.2		Rank: 9/722				5/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.8	2.4	2.6	2.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.4	94.7	93.3	95.2	96.0	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	69.3	70.3	48.6	64.3	73.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	15.9	8.4	10.3	7.0	7.0	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.8	F 1.8	F 1.7	F 4.3	F 5.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	0.5	0.5	0.7	1.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	9.4	9.0	9.6	9.0	—		

St Jean de Brebeuf [Catholic] Woodbridge		OSSLT count: 444						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 15.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$883,100: -0.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$883,100: -0.2		Rank: 385/722				n/a		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.7	2.8	2.7	n/a	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.1	2.2	2.1	n/a	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	84.7	88.2	82.8	86.2	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	38.9	54.0	57.4	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	29.3	24.4	26.6	28.1	n/a		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	E	n/a	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.3	F 8.8	F 7.8	M 2.0	n/a	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	0.8	0.9	0.3	1.1	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	6.7	6.9	6.7	6.1	n/a		

Stouffville District [Public] Stouffville		OSSLT count: 263						
ESL (%): 1.1		Special needs (%): 18.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$123,200: -0.9		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$123,200: -0.9		Rank: 252/722				299/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.4	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.5	87.1	90.1	88.0	87.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	54.3	50.0	36.4	52.9	64.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	29.9	32.4	23.7	20.5	21.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.7	F 3.4	F 3.5	F 8.1	F 8.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.5	1.0	0.5	0.5	1.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.7	7.3	7.1	6.8	▲		

Vaughan [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 237						
ESL (%): 21.1		Special needs (%): 13.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$91,900: 0.8		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$91,900: 0.8		Rank: 134/722				199/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	3.1	3.1	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.9	1.6	2.2	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.3	83.9	90.3	90.3	89.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.1	59.7	52.1	53.3	65.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	29.8	28.4	22.6	17.2	19.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.8	F 9.1	F 1.9	F 0.3	F 1.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.9	2.3	0.4	0.6	1.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	5.8	7.8	8.3	7.4	—		

St Robert [Catholic] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 414						
ESL (%): 11.6		Special needs (%): 7.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$818,800: 2.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$818,800: 2.4		Rank: 14/722				7/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.3	1.9	2.3	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.6	92.9	92.7	95.3	97.2	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	71.1	59.5	50.0	71.9	71.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	15.2	11.5	11.5	8.4	9.1	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.5	F 5.6	M 4.1	F 5.0	F 3.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	9.3	9.3	8.8	9.1	8.4	—		

Thornhill [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 377						
ESL (%): 13.5		Special needs (%): 17.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,600: 1.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$97,600: 1.3		Rank: 55/722				103/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		</th

Southwestern Ontario

AVON MAITLAND AREA

Central Huron [Public] Clinton		OSSLT count: 202						
ESL (%): 0.5		Special needs (%): 32.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,100: 1.0		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	366/722		271/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.9	84.3	80.5	79.7	81.6	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	78.6	47.6	76.6	57.1	61.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	28.2	25.3	29.3	23.7	27.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 6.2	F 16.9	F 6.7	M 1.8	F 2.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.2	2.7	3.9	0.7	0.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.4	7.0	7.3	6.2	—		

South Huron [Public] Exeter		OSSLT count: 228						
ESL (%): 5.3		Special needs (%): 32.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,400: 0.2		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	402/722		286/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.4	86.3	82.3	79.9	87.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	84.6	63.6	53.1	61.5	50.0	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	27.7	28.3	29.1	25.1	29.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.3	F 3.3	F 2.5	F 8.8	F 11.3	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	0.6	2.2	1.3	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	6.4	6.6	6.8	6.0	—		

Stratford Northwestern [Public] Stratford		OSSLT count: 215						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,500: 1.5		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	155/722		75/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.5	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.2	82.4	88.3	91.3	86.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	75.8	n/a	70.6	63.2	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	18.3	22.2	16.9	22.0	20.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	F 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.2	F 13.4	F 12.5	F 0.6	F 5.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	7.2	8.2	8.0	7.3	—		

F.E. Madill [Public] Wingham		OSSLT count: 264						
ESL (%): 2.3		Special needs (%): 25.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,500: 1.0		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	329/722		135/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.6	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.1	88.0	85.1	85.1	84.7	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	74.0	66.7	48.6	56.5	64.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	25.2	18.9	21.0	23.3	27.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.8	F 9.6	F 11.9	F 7.9	F 8.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.0	6.8	1.6	2.2	3.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.9	7.7	7.4	6.4	▼		

St Anne's (Catholic) Clinton		OSSLT count: 145						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,400: 1.5		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	212/722		135/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.0	2.8	2.4	2.6	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.2	93.1	89.8	90.8	91.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	40.7	n/a	76.5	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	30.3	16.8	18.4	16.4	18.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.3	F 4.5	F 8.1	F 11.3	F 6.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	0.6	0.0	0.7	0.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	8.3	7.9	8.0	7.0	—		

Assumption [Catholic] Brantford		OSSLT count: 452						
ESL (%): 0.2		Special needs (%): 13.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,900: 0.8		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	291/722		271/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.7	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.4	83.3	86.3	80.7	82.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	81.8	76.6	54.2	41.5	69.8	—		
Tests below standard (%)	25.5	25.0	30.5	30.9	24.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.4	F 13.4	F 5.4	F 10.4	F 10.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	3.9	2.3	1.3	1.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	7.0	6.7	6.1	6.6	—		

Goderich District [Public] Goderich		OSSLT count: 180						
ESL (%): 3.3		Special needs (%): 21.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,700: 0.7		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	252/722		324/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.5	3.0	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.9	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.4	83.2	83.3	88.1	92.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.6	31.8	50.0	58.8	38.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	35.7	29.0	30.0	21.5	23.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.5	F 20.0	F 18.5	F 13.5	F 0.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	2.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.2	8.4	7.9	6.9	▲		

St Marys [Public] St Marys		OSSLT count: 131						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$n/a: n/a		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	55/722		42/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.6	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	94.9	86.2	87.5	85.3	92.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	78.9	n/a	62.5	64.7	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	13.5	18.8	14.1	18.2	15.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.8	F 17.7	F 3.8	F 18.5	F 3.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.8	1.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	9.1	7.6	8.6	7.8	8.1	—		

Brantford [Public] Brantford		OSSLT count: 293						
ESL (%): 0.3		Special needs (%): 8.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,000: -0.2		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:</								

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

St John's [Catholic] Brantford		OSSLT count: 387						
ESL (%): 0.3		Special needs (%): 14.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,200: -0.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$76,200: -0.4	Rank:	466/722		299/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.0	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.6	89.8	87.1	88.9	86.4	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	71.1	37.5	56.3	58.1	48.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	28.6	25.2	28.9	28.0	31.4	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	▼		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.6	F 0.3	F 5.1	F 6.9	F 7.0	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.3	2.6	1.3	1.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.1	6.5	6.4	5.7	▼		

GRAND ERIE AREA

Cayuga [Public] Cayuga		OSSLT count: 199						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 32.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$1/a: n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$1/a: n/a	Rank:	601/722		358/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.0	2.2	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.8	86.7	83.7	87.8	73.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	72.4	64.9	77.3	56.8	42.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	32.2	22.1	28.0	26.9	37.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E M 0.3	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.7	F 20.3	F 10.9	F 4.1	F 21.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.1	0.7	2.9	1.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.8	6.3	7.0	4.5	—		

Delhi [Public] Delhi		OSSLT count: 98						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,700: 0.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$60,700: 0.6	Rank:	385/722		358/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.6	3.0	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.0	84.7	89.0	85.7	81.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	62.1	70.4	45.5	n/a	64.7	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	39.0	30.7	35.1	21.6	32.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	n/a	E	E	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.2	F 15.4	M 0.2	F 10.0	F 8.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.6	16.5	13.3	5.9	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	6.0	6.6	7.8	6.1	—		

Dunnville [Public] Dunnville		OSSLT count: 178						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 11.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,700: -0.9		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$60,700: -0.9	Rank:	593/722		591/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.0	2.3	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.1	1.9	1.8	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	79.0	85.6	71.7	75.0	75.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	51.1	48.7	55.2	53.5	64.3	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	42.2	34.8	46.0	43.2	39.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E M 0.1	M 0.1	n/a	E	E	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.1	F 3.9	F 15.2	F 22.2	F 18.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.6	7.7	7.5	8.5	2.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	6.0	3.7	3.8	4.6	—		

Hagersville [Public] Hagersville		OSSLT count: 202						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 36.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,300: -3.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$76,300: -3.0	Rank:	674/722		629/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.6	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	69.0	73.0	65.9	70.2	63.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	39.1	46.9	31.7	27.3	44.2	—		
Tests below standard (%)	44.7	41.6	49.7	45.3	48.1	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E F 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	F 5.5	F 23.8	F 20.2	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	3.2	4.3	11.2	2.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.6	4.8	3.4	3.4	3.1	▼		

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Simcoe		OSSLT count: 299						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 12.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,700: 1.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$67,700: 1.4	Rank:	168/722		152/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.3	90.3	89.0	89.2	88.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.7	63.0	54.3	62.1	60.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	24.4	22.2	21.4	24.6	21.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	F 16.3	F 8.5	M 1.5	F 2.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	2.8	0.7	1.5	1.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.2	—		

McKinnon Park [Public] Caledonia		OSSLT count: 228						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,500: -1.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$83,500: -1.1	Rank:	528/722		358/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.3	83.4	81.1	83.6	81.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.1	73.3	45.8	61.3	56.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	28.6	29.3	28.5	29.3	32.0	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.3	F 9.1	F 6.7	F 13.4	F 15.9	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.4	1.5	2.2	2.4	1.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.1	6.8	6.6	5.9	5.2	▼	

GREATER ESSEX AREA		OSSLT count: 214						
Assumption [Catholic]	Windsor	Special needs (%): 20.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,100: 0.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$54,100: 0.3	Rank:	480/722		538/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.0	1.9	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.3	80.7	76.5	78.9	80.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	71.6	39.4	44.8	45.7	54.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	33.8	41.3	39.1	39.5	31.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.9	F 7.0	F 7.8	F 19.7	F 18.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	0.6	1.2	3.4	3.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	4.5	5.1	4.3	5.6	—		

Paris [Public] Paris		**OSSLT count: 273**						

<tbl_r cells="3

Essex [Public] Essex		OSSLT count: 256						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 9.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,700: 1.1	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 119/722	Rank: 152/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.8	85.8	91.5	84.7	87.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	76.4	59.2	71.9	70.6	66.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	32.9	23.7	18.1	20.7	19.5	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.8	F 9.8	F 7.4	F 1.3	F 5.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	1.2	1.0	2.5	0.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	7.2	8.1	7.9	7.5	▲		

John I Forster [Public] Windsor		OSSLT count: 253						
ESL (%): 45.5		Special needs (%): 4.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$38,900: -1.0	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 643/722	Rank: 572/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.3	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	50.8	74.3	75.0	72.1	68.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	51.7	63.0	63.6	41.2	45.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	50.0	36.9	35.6	41.9	45.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.5	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	▲		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.9	F 11.5	F 3.2	M 4.2	F 13.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	20.2	30.2	21.0	8.4	7.3	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	5.2	5.8	5.4	3.8	—		

St Anne [Catholic] Tecumseh		OSSLT count: 389						
ESL (%): 0.3		Special needs (%): 17.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$101,100: 0.9	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 82/722	Rank: 115/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.9	3.0	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.8	91.7	88.8	87.8	90.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	90.5	66.7	68.2	61.8	63.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	23.9	19.0	23.9	18.8	15.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 1.0	F 5.0	F 11.1	F 12.4	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	2.9	1.7	1.4	0.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.7	7.2	7.8	7.8	—		

F J Brennan [Catholic] Windsor		OSSLT count: 235						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,600: 0.7	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 329/722	Rank: 358/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.9	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.2	1.8	1.9	1.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.7	87.8	83.4	80.8	81.3	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.2	55.9	72.7	65.7	65.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	36.7	27.7	28.1	31.8	25.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.0	F 5.9	F 4.2	F 4.1	F 6.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	2.0	1.6	4.0	2.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.6	6.5	6.4	6.4	—		

Kingsville [Public] Kingsville		OSSLT count: 192						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 9.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,500: 1.2	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 168/722	Rank: 237/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.7	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.6	2.4	2.6	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.4	95.3	92.1	81.3	88.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	87.5	66.7	52.9	56.3	63.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	28.5	18.0	25.2	30.5	17.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.3	E	M 0.1	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.6	M 0.9	F 3.3	F 6.7	M 2.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	0.0	0.9	1.9	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	8.0	7.0	6.4	7.2	—		

St Joseph's [Catholic] Windsor		OSSLT count: 357						
ESL (%): 0.3		Special needs (%): 20.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,300: 0.9	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 291/722	Rank: 324/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.5	84.1	80.6	78.6	87.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.9	61.0	n/a	41.2	65.0	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	35.2	24.7	25.4	29.3	25.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.8	F 10.0	F 6.3	F 4.4	F 9.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	7.1	6.9	6.1	6.6	—		

General Amherst [Public] Amherstburg		OSSLT count: 243						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 7.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,600: 0.0	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 252/722	Rank: 173/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.4	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	96.7	89.0	81.8	94.4	94.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	79.2	75.0	71.4	62.5	65.2	—		
Tests below standard (%)	27.2	15.4	24.5	28.3	22.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 6.3	F 15.1	F 13.0	F 6.6	F 11.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	8.4	7.2	6.5	6.8	—		

I'Essor [Catholic] Tecumseh		OSSLT count: 172						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,500: 0.3	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 252/722	Rank: 64/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.7	2.3	2.7	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.5	85.3	91.3	89.0	90.2	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.7	55.6	41.2	54.5	38.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	22.9	20.2	19.7	19.1	27.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.4	F 14.0	M 3.4	F 6.5	F 2.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.1	8.4	8.7	6.8	—		

Vincent Massey [Public] Windsor		OSSLT count: 390						
ESL (%): 5.4		Special needs (%): 7.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$100,100: 1.4	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 94/722	Rank: 87/690							

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

Western [Public] Amherstburg		OSSLT count: 224						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 99.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,100: -6.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$80,100: -6.2		Rank: 713/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	0.5	2.3	2.3	3.0	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	48.1	39.3	27.9	21.6	27.1	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	52.7	23.7	20.2	24.3	21.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	57.4	64.7	71.6	71.3	73.5	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 8.7	F 2.5	F 0.9	M 1.6	n/a	M 2.5	▲	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	1.5	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	▼		

Cathedral [Catholic] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 506						
ESL (%): 11.1		Special needs (%): 41.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,300: 1.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$41,300: 1.2		Rank: 385/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.8	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.3	1.3	2.1	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	66.1	67.7	67.4	72.2	79.7	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	54.4	53.3	41.7	50.0	50.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	45.5	50.9	53.9	37.4	30.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	F 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 23.1	F 11.8	F 11.3	F 8.9	M 2.5	▲		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.3	10.0	15.0	1.4	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	3.7	2.8	2.6	5.3	6.1	—		

Orchard Park [Public] Stoney Creek		OSSLT count: 394						
ESL (%): 15.7		Special needs (%): 14.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,400: 1.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$52,400: 1.1		Rank: 212/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.8	88.2	78.5	78.1	88.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.4	50.7	39.5	53.4	52.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	24.9	27.3	28.0	29.1	22.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.6	F 7.9	F 20.0	F 6.3	F 8.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.0	3.3	0.7	2.1	3.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	6.9	6.4	6.2	7.0	—		

HAMILTON-WENTWORTH AREA

Ancaster [Public] Ancaster		OSSLT count: 224						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$122,300: -0.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$122,300: -0.2		Rank: 119/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.5	93.1	90.6	92.1	91.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.7	55.6	58.1	57.6	n/a	n/a	—	
Tests below standard (%)	23.9	23.1	24.9	21.5	15.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.2	—	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.3	F 5.9	F 7.4	F 11.6	F 5.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.3	0.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.1	7.0	7.2	7.5	—		

Delta [Public] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 305						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 11.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,200: -1.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$47,200: -1.3		Rank: 643/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.2	1.9	2.4	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.6	1.9	1.6	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	67.0	63.1	58.0	76.7	67.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.1	50.6	40.6	54.4	43.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	48.2	49.7	51.4	51.2	45.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.2	F 0.4	E	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.9	F 17.8	M 5.8	F 4.7	F 2.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.5	5.5	6.6	4.7	9.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	3.0	2.3	3.3	3.8	—		

Parkside [Public] Dundas		OSSLT count: 162						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$10,900: 0.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$10,900: 0.1		Rank: 184/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.2	85.3	92.6	87.6	88.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.6	72.7	72.7	86.7	66.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	19.1	17.8	17.2	20.8	20.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	E	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.2	F 7.2	F 0.8	F 15.5	F 11.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	0.7	1.8	1.5	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.0	8.4	7.1	7.1	—		

Barton [Public] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 341						
ESL (%): 20.8		Special needs (%): 11.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,400: -0.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$58,400: -0.4		Rank: 541/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.3	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	73.4	70.5	71.7	73.2	78.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	52.1	53.1	40.0	27.1	49.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	41.4	40.9	39.9	40.5	35.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.9	F 17.8	M 5.8	F 4.7	F 2.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	1.0	2.5	1.4	0.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.7	6.5	7.4	6.9	—		

Highland [Public] Dundas		OSSLT count: 178						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$105,200: -0.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$105,200: -0.1		Rank: 212/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.1	91.7	89.7	88.2	94.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	78.4	71.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—	
Tests below standard (%)	19.5	19.7	23.0	20.2	18.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 5.6	F 5.9	F 7.6	F 7.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.4	1.0	2.7	1.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.4	7.0	6.8	7.0	—		

Saltfleet [Public] Stoney Creek		OSSLT count: 371						
ESL (%): 0.8								

Sir John A Macdonald [Public] Hamilton							OSSLT count: 283
ESL (%): 13.4		Special needs (%): 8.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based on		2008-09		Last 5 Years			
parents' avg. inc. of \$34,000:-2.1		Rank: 690/722		663/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.3	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.5	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	60.6	56.8	60.2	62.7	66.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	49.2	39.8	37.4	31.1	41.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	49.5	53.2	54.9	57.1	54.8	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	M 0.4	E	M 0.4	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.4	F 25.9	F 6.1	M 1.6	F 10.1	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.8	30.5	36.9	13.9	18.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	3.6	2.4	2.5	2.8	2.5	—	

Westdale [Public] Hamilton							OSSLT count: 453
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 5.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on		2008-09		Last 5 Years			
parents' avg. inc. of \$75,900: 1.6		Rank: 94/722		135/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.0	2.1	2.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.6	90.6	92.0	92.6	93.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	79.1	75.0	57.9	62.5	73.7	—	
Tests below standard (%)	17.5	19.9	21.2	23.3	15.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.3	F 8.6	F 8.9	F 7.4	F 1.1	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	0.4	3.2	3.4	2.7	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.5	7.4	6.7	7.7	—	

Huron Heights [Public] Kitchener							OSSLT count: 289
ESL (%): 2.8		Special needs (%): 11.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based on		2008-09		Last 5 Years			
parents' avg. inc. of \$93,500: 0.8		Rank: 119/722		n/a			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	2.8	2.9	2.8	n/a	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	2.4	2.1	2.5	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	89.4	90.2	92.5	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	76.9	72.7	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	22.5	19.6	19.5	n/a	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 5.7	F 9.1	F 0.4	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	1.1	4.0	1.4	n/a	
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	7.6	7.8	7.5	n/a	

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] Hamilton							OSSLT count: 397
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 29.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on		2008-09		Last 5 Years			
parents' avg. inc. of \$44,100:-2.0		Rank: 680/722		649/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.3	1.9	2.3	2.4	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.0	74.6	75.8	66.5	67.5	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.2	57.9	43.2	38.8	40.2	—	
Tests below standard (%)	50.9	46.6	52.4	52.8	52.4	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.3	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.2	F 16.7	F 9.7	F 19.3	F 9.5	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	4.0	7.0	9.1	8.1	▼	
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	3.8	3.0	2.7	3.0	▼	

Westmount [Public] Hamilton							OSSLT count: 310
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 9.7					
Actual rating vs predicted based on		2008-09		Last 5 Years			
parents' avg. inc. of \$69,600: 1.6		Rank: 134/722		115/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.5	2.1	2.3	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	96.3	97.0	95.3	96.3	93.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	68.8	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	21.0	20.0	20.2	15.1	17.2	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.9	F 1.7	M 10.0	F 5.4	M 0.1	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.4	3.8	2.9	2.4	0.2	▲	
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.5	7.8	7.8	7.4	—	

Kitchener Waterloo [Public] Kitchener							OSSLT count: 451
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 39.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based on		2008-09		Last 5 Years			
parents' avg. inc. of \$68,400: -1.0		Rank: 579/722		419/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.8	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.8	63.4	83.3	82.9	75.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.6	41.8	43.9	48.1	41.3	—	
Tests below standard (%)	28.4	36.6	20.2	29.1	34.6	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.3	▼	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 15.6	F 4.7	F 8.7	F 15.3	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.2	11.3	6.3	6.5	9.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	5.0	6.9	6.4	4.8	—	

St Jean de Brebeuf [Catholic] Hamilton							OSSLT count: 559
ESL (%): 6.1		Special needs (%): 28.6					
Actual rating vs predicted based on		2008-09		Last 5 Years			
parents' avg. inc. of \$67,900: 0.4		Rank: 366/722		380/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.5	80.7	83.9	85.4	87.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.3	41.3	45.3	35.8	46.1	—	
Tests below standard (%)	31.9	29.2	33.6	33.1	28.3	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.1	F 13.0	F 9.0	F 15.1	F 5.7	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	1.5	4.5	0.4	3.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	6.6	7.1	6.3	6.4	—	

Camerons Heights [Public] Kitchener							OSSLT count: 492
ESL (%): 3.9		Special needs (%): 11.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on		2008-09		Last 5 Years			
parents' avg. inc. of \$72,400: 1.3		Rank: 168/722		271/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.6	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	1.7	1.9	1.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.7	87.1	84.0	87.9	89.9	▲	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.5	55.4	73.4	67.6	74.3	▲	
Tests below standard (%)	34.9	27.6	25.3	21.6	19.3	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.9	F 5.7	F 9.0	F 1.1	F 6.9	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.6	6.8	6.8	5.7	2.3	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	5.8	5.9	5.5	5.5	—	

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

Chatham-Kent [Public] Chatham		OSSLT count: 283						
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 15.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,400: 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 402/722 Rank: 396/690								
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.3	2.3	1.8	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.3	88.7	90.6	84.3	85.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.5	48.6	50.0	55.2	46.2	—		
Tests below standard (%)	34.4	23.1	27.6	30.0	27.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	F 11.1	F 4.1	F 11.0	F 7.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	2.3	2.7	2.1	0.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.9	6.1	5.4	6.0	—		

Pain Court [Catholic] Pain Court		OSSLT count: 58						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,500: 0.7		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 307/722 Rank: 173/690								
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.8	3.0	3.1	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.7	2.4	n/a	2.4	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.0	83.1	84.5	75.4	75.4	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	27.5	18.0	22.9	18.4	20.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.0	F 6.2	F 24.9	F 34.2	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	8.4	7.1	7.1	6.5	—		

St Patrick's [Catholic] Sarnia		OSSLT count: 268						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 32.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,900: 0.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 350/722 Rank: 396/690								
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.8	2.2	2.7	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	76.6	84.4	84.1	75.6	80.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	66.2	59.4	42.5	32.1	49.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	32.5	36.5	30.9	28.8	27.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	F 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.4	F 4.6	F 6.8	F 5.7	F 8.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.6	7.0	1.1	0.0	0.6	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	5.3	6.4	6.5	6.3	—		

John McGregor [Public] Chatham		OSSLT count: 289						
ESL (%): 0.3		Special needs (%): 44.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,000: -2.9		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 684/722 Rank: 617/690								
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	1.8	2.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	70.9	74.9	75.3	65.9	64.7	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	52.3	39.6	45.8	27.8	22.2	—		
Tests below standard (%)	41.8	33.0	39.9	46.2	46.1	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.2	▲		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.2	F 19.3	F 7.3	F 10.3	F 19.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	4.9	3.2	2.4	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	5.1	4.9	3.9	2.8	—		

Ridgetown [Public] Ridgetown		OSSLT count: 71						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,400: -1.7		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 614/722 Rank: 438/690								
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.3	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.4	1.9	2.1	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.8	75.3	90.5	83.8	71.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	54.5	62.5	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	26.5	26.7	28.8	35.1	37.2	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	E	M 0.4	M 0.5	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.1	F 4.8	M 12.1	F 11.9	F 4.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	3.8	3.0	0.0	2.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	6.5	6.6	4.7	4.3	▼		

Tilbury [Public] Tilbury		OSSLT count: 115						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 37.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,100: -1.7		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 625/722 Rank: 601/690								
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.2	1.9	2.4	2.3	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.7	2.0	2.1	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.7	86.7	73.1	71.7	74.3	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	72.2	66.7	60.0	68.2	53.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	42.7	37.7	46.3	38.3	40.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.3	E	M 0.1	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 5.9	F 11.7	F 15.4	F 19.4	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	2.1	2.2	0.0	2.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	4.8	3.8	4.4	4.1	—		

Lambton Kent [Public] Dresden		OSSLT count: 120						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,000: 1.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 269/722 Rank: 489/690								
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.3	2.4	2.8	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.7	1.6	2.0	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.0	87.6	76.7	78.3	82.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	81.0	n/a	50.0	26.3	77.8	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	25.8	37.5	41.5	34.6	23.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.5	F 0.1	F 0.3	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.1	F 14.7	F 9.7	F 18.8	F 10.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	5.5	0.0	4.5	2.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.6	6.3	6.8	6.2	—		

Sarnia [Public] Sarnia		OSSLT count: 245						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,100: -1.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of 582/722 Rank: 521/690								
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a							

Clarke Road [Public] London		OSSLT count: 431						
ESL (%)	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,100: -1.2	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$58,100: -1.2	Rank:	620/722	622/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	72.3	78.1	73.9	74.5	73.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	61.1	46.7	52.9	57.6	45.3	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	42.6	42.8	45.4	46.5	43.7	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	M 0.3	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 19.6	F 6.0	F 12.2	F 18.7	F 10.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	8.0	5.4	3.7	2.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	4.5	4.0	3.2	4.2	—		

Monseigneur-Bruyère [Catholic] London		OSSLT count: 42						
ESL (%)	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,300: -3.0	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$73,300: -3.0	Rank:	680/722	299/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.0	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.6	83.9	90.0	67.6	73.8	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	16.0	15.1	21.7	33.3	38.1	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	n/a	n/a	E	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	M 15.6	n/a	F 12.7	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	9.8	7.8	7.3	4.9	3.0	▼		

Sir Frederick Banting [Public] London		OSSLT count: 289						
ESL (%)	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,800: 1.1	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$73,800: 1.1	Rank:	184/722	115/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.6	91.5	94.0	93.2	90.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	73.5	84.6	75.9	75.6	81.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	28.5	17.5	15.9	18.8	22.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.1	F 3.1	F 3.6	F 5.9	F 2.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.4	0.7	2.3	1.2	0.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	7.8	8.4	7.8	7.1	—		

Gabriel-Dumont [Public] London		OSSLT count: 26						
ESL (%)	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,300: -0.8	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$67,300: -0.8	Rank:	555/722	n/a					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	2.4	2.3	2.4	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.0	93.8	77.8	83.3	81.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	13.0	6.3	37.8	28.6	31.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 30.0	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	0.0	9.1	0.0	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	4.0	5.5	5.0	n/a		

Montcalm [Public] London		OSSLT count: 312						
ESL (%)	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,600: -0.6	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$46,600: -0.6	Rank:	607/722	550/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	62.6	70.6	77.6	72.1	69.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.7	60.0	42.3	38.9	47.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	50.1	38.2	38.4	41.2	45.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.6	F 4.6	F 13.8	F 6.8	E	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.8	7.7	15.4	12.9	17.2	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	4.7	4.2	5.2	5.9	▲		

Sir Wilfrid Laurier [Public] London		OSSLT count: 328						
ESL (%)	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,700: 0.0	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$60,700: 0.0	Rank:	493/722	473/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.4	2.5	2.6	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.0	83.3	87.8	77.8	84.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.1	46.9	49.0	47.6	46.8	—		
Tests below standard (%)	34.5	28.7	33.7	36.4	31.0	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.7	F 1.2	F 3.4	F 13.7	F 8.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	1.6	3.4	3.3	2.2	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.6	5.6	4.6	5.5	—		

John Paul II [Catholic] London		OSSLT count: 382						
ESL (%)	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,100: 0.4	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$55,100: 0.4	Rank:	466/722	286/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.2	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	71.8	85.5	78.8	80.3	78.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	71.1	53.2	63.6	47.2	59.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	31.7	24.8	27.0	28.6	31.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	▲		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.3	F 6.2	F 6.2	F 2.8	F 5.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	2.0	2.8	3.3	1.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	7.6	7.0	7.0	5.7	—		

Oakridge [Public] London		OSSLT count: 273						
ESL (%)	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,700: 0.4	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$97,700: 0.4	Rank:	105/722	87/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.7	2.1	2.5	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	93.6	94.0	94.0	93.1	92.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	78.6	75.0	73.9	63.6	64.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	25.1	14.0	17.4	16.5	16.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.5	F 2.1	F 2.1	F 2.1	F 2.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.8	1.8	0.9	1.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	8.7	8.0	7.9	7.6	—		

Westminster [Public] London		OSSLT count: 384						
ESL (%)	Special needs (%)	2008-09 Last 5 Years</th						

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

Blessed Trinity [Catholic] Grimsby		OSSLT count: 272						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 14.0							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$91,500: 0.1	2008-09 Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$91,500: 0.1	Rank: 269/722				Rank: 299/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.7	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.4	85.7	81.7	91.3	92.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.7	54.1	54.3	64.9	77.8	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	34.2	26.5	30.3	22.7	21.3	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.9	F 3.4	F 7.8	F 8.8	M 5.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.3	0.8	0.8	1.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.8	6.4	6.8	6.7	—		

Jean-Vanier [Catholic] Welland		OSSLT count: 109						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 29.4							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,100: -0.4	2008-09 Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$65,100: -0.4	Rank: 520/722				Rank: 458/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.8	2.3	2.3	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	n/a	1.8	1.4	2.2	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.3	85.1	95.8	78.8	85.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	33.3	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	37.4	19.0	34.8	36.9	35.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	E	M 0.4	M 0.2	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.4	F 18.8	F 3.3	M 6.0	F 10.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	7.6	5.7	4.9	5.3	—		

Saint Michael [Catholic] Niagara Falls		OSSLT count: 289						
ESL (%): 1.0	Special needs (%): 21.8							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,600: -0.4	2008-09 Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$57,600: -0.4	Rank: 445/722				Rank: 380/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	1.9	1.9	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.2	88.1	79.3	81.9	87.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	61.2	41.9	45.5	n/a	51.9	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	34.4	25.3	30.8	30.6	28.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.4	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.2	F 0.7	F 3.8	F 4.7	F 4.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	7.3	6.2	5.8	5.8	—		

Centennial [Public] Welland		OSSLT count: 226						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 10.6							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,400: -0.3	2008-09 Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$64,400: -0.3	Rank: 509/722				Rank: 419/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.5	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.4	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.5	91.5	85.4	88.8	81.5	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	76.9	n/a	45.0	60.0	65.2	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	23.6	26.4	33.6	32.1	31.1	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.3	F 9.3	F 0.5	F 11.9	F 4.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	5.3	3.9	5.7	5.2	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	6.5	5.4	5.0	5.4	▼		

Lakeshore [Catholic] Port Colborne		OSSLT count: 368						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 16.0							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,700: -0.2	2008-09 Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$54,700: -0.2	Rank: 541/722				Rank: 458/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.1	2.1	1.9	1.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.9	87.0	78.8	86.5	82.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	86.4	55.9	37.9	50.7	50.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	33.0	27.5	35.7	32.3	34.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.3	F 10.1	F 4.9	F 2.8	F 7.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	4.7	1.5	1.6	0.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.5	5.4	5.6	5.1	—		

Saint Paul [Catholic] Niagara Falls		OSSLT count: 307						
ESL (%): 1.3	Special needs (%): 19.2							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,900: 1.7	2008-09 Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$67,900: 1.7	Rank: 119/722				Rank: 103/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.3	90.8	89.6	86.2	87.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	71.8	73.1	45.8	38.1	47.4	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	26.4	16.8	19.6	24.5	18.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.4	F 5.2	F 1.0	M 1.9	F 4.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.5	0.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	8.0	8.0	7.4	7.5	—		

Eastdale [Public] Welland		OSSLT count: 235						
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 46.4							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,500: -3.9	2008-09 Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$52,500: -3.9	Rank: 709/722				Rank: 667/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	1.8	2.1	2.5	1.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.7	1.9	1.5	1.6	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.4	68.5	57.9	63.2	61.7	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	53.5	40.0	37.5	41.7	52.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	42.4	55.6	54.9	52.4	56.5	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.3	E	M 0.2	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.4	M 2.8	F 27.1	F 13.3	F 11.8	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.2	4.8	3.2	9.4	14.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	2.3	2.1	2.7	1.3	▼		

Port Colborne [Public] Port Colborne		OSSLT count: 150						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 28.7							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,900: -2.1	2008-09 Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$88,900: -2.1	Rank: 607/722				Rank: 538/690			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.0	—</		

ST CATHARINES

Denis Morris [Catholic] St Catharines							OSSLT count: 421		
ESL (%): 1.7	Special needs (%): 23.8								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,000:-0.5	2008-09 Last 5 Years								
parents' avg. inc. of \$65,000:-0.5	Rank:	528/722	489/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.6	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.9	▼			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	77.4	81.7	72.3	85.0	82.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	62.5	64.0	52.1	41.8	51.2	—			
Tests below standard (%)	35.8	31.3	36.1	30.8	31.6	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F	M	M	0.2	▼		
Gender gap OSSLT	F	12.6	F	8.0	F	19.9	F	10.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	1.8	1.5	1.3	0.6	▲	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.9	5.0	6.2	5.2	—			

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] St Catharines							OSSLT count: 265	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 7.2							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,700: 0.9	2008-09 Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$79,700: 0.9	Rank:	184/722	199/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.1	1.8	1.9	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	95.5	94.9	92.6	96.0	95.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	81.8	n/a	93.8	85.0	66.7	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	20.8	21.1	22.9	19.6	16.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M	0.1	M	0.2	M	0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F	4.4	F	4.0	F	6.0	F	3.2
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	2.0	0.8	1.6	1.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.0	7.0	7.2	7.1	—		

College Avenue [Public] Woodstock							OSSLT count: 205	
ESL (%): 1.0	Special needs (%): 17.1							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,400: -0.3	2008-09 Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$67,400: -0.3	Rank:	493/722	489/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.8	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	69.3	72.5	75.9	77.7	73.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	44.4	53.3	n/a	47.1	53.8	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	38.2	36.0	31.2	29.8	32.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M	0.3	F	0.2	M	0.2	M	0.4
Gender gap OSSLT	F	12.7	F	19.1	M	3.1	F	25.7
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.2	1.3	4.2	2.8	5.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.1	6.0	6.1	5.5	—		

Eden [Public] St Catharines							OSSLT count: 178
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,700: 0.7	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$82,700: 0.7	Rank:	212/722	87/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.1	1.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	94.9	97.8	92.5	95.4	93.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	82.4	n/a	80.0	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	19.1	19.9	17.2	14.1	18.2	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M	0.1	M	0.1	E	0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F	4.0	M	4.7	F	2.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.5	1.1	0.5	1.1	▼	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.4	8.1	8.3	7.0	—	

St Catharines [Public] St Catharines							OSSLT count: 350
ESL (%): 20.3	Special needs (%): 14.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,400: -1.0	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$46,400: -1.0	Rank:	634/722	663/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.6	2.1	1.8	2.3	2.3	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	0.9	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.7	▲	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	69.2	67.8	65.6	61.7	76.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	48.2	43.9	35.4	32.9	45.6	—	
Tests below standard (%)	52.1	53.6	56.9	59.5	45.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	M	0.1	M	0.2	F	0.1
Gender gap OSSLT	F	6.8	M	1.1	F	6.2	M
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.1	20.9	8.0	8.2	2.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	2.7	3.0	2.4	2.0	4.0	—	

East Elgin [Public] Aylmer							OSSLT count: 345
ESL (%): 1.4	Special needs (%): 26.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,700: 0.0	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$64,700: 0.0	Rank:	466/722	473/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.4	2.3	2.0	2.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.6	79.2	79.5	80.9	78.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	53.8	65.3	63.6	42.3	73.1	—	
Tests below standard (%)	38.3	29.3	30.4	37.6	28.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M	0.1	M	0.2	M	0.1	M
Gender gap OSSLT	F	8.9	F	16.7	F	18.8	F
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	1.1	1.7	3.3	2.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.1	5.9	5.1	5.7	—	

Governor Simcoe [Public] St Catharines							OSSLT count: 237
ESL (%): 5.4	Special needs (%): 7.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,300: -1.3	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$75,300: -1.3	Rank:	184/722	349/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.5	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.9	82.5	81.8	90.1	95.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	88.0	90.9	66.7	71.4	62.1	▼	
Tests below standard (%)	27.0	25.1	24.7	28.6	29.7	▼	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M	0.1	M	0.1	F	0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F	4.6	F	3.7	F	5.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	1.4	3.1	1.9	1.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	4.6	5.1	5.8	7.3	7.1	▲	—

West Park [Public] St Catharines							OSSLT count: 118
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$90,500: 0.5	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$90,500: 0.5	Rank:	184/722	103/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.6	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	94.1	93.4	83.7	94.3	87.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	84.6	n/a	37.5	65.0	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	33.1	25.3	34.2	30.7	29.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M	0.1	M	0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F	1.8	F	5.2	F	8.6	M
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	5.4	4.7	7.4	6.9	▼	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.9	4.8	5.7	5.9	—	

Arthur Voaden [Public] St Thomas							OSSLT count: 249
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 47.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,100: -1.4	2008-09 Last 5 Years						

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

Lord Dorchester [Public] Dorchester		OSSLT count: 146						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,900: -1.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$103,900: -1.1		Rank: 419/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	1.6	2.0	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.8	95.7	93.4	88.2	89.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	95.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Tests below standard (%)	17.8	17.5	27.1	23.7	25.3	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.4	E	F 0.2	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.7	M 4.0	M 5.5	F 5.4	F 12.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.6	1.3	1.3	1.3	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	7.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	▼		

St Mary's [Catholic] Woodstock		OSSLT count: 221						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 15.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,800: 0.7		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$71,800: 0.7		Rank: 291/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.1	94.4	94.3	92.0	91.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Tests below standard (%)	23.8	13.8	17.7	16.6	15.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E M 0.1	E M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.5	F 3.4	F 8.6	M 1.2	F 2.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	1.1	0.4	0.8	0.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.0	6.8	8.1	6.6	—		

Centre Dufferin [Public] Shelburne		OSSLT count: 275						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 17.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,700: 0.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$74,700: 0.4		Rank: 329/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.3	2.1	2.6	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.6	91.6	89.3	84.5	89.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	72.3	63.6	44.4	63.2	57.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	30.6	24.5	26.2	18.8	25.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E F 0.3	M 0.2	E M 0.2	E M 0.2	E M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	F 7.6	F 2.9	F 3.3	F 0.5	▲		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.9	1.6	1.5	0.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.0	7.2	6.9	6.4	—		

Medway [Public] Arva		OSSLT count: 247						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,600: 1.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$75,600: 1.3		Rank: 134/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.1	94.4	94.3	92.0	91.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Tests below standard (%)	23.8	13.8	17.7	16.6	15.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E M 0.1	E M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 2.4	F 11.3	F 6.2	F 10.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	3.3	1.1	3.4	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	8.4	7.9	7.9	7.4	—		

Strathroy District [Public] Strathroy		OSSLT count: 390						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 17.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,000: 1.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$67,000: 1.2		Rank: 212/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.6	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.1	85.8	83.9	84.4	84.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.2	54.5	53.1	62.5	60.0	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	24.8	18.9	22.3	20.5	22.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E F 0.1	E M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.6	F 9.2	F 7.5	F 8.6	F 9.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	2.1	5.6	1.5	1.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	8.4	7.5	7.6	7.0	—		

Centre Wellington [Public] Fergus		OSSLT count: 389						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,000: 0.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$78,000: 0.6		Rank: 269/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.5	86.5	84.7	92.0	86.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	77.4	45.9	65.5	76.1	62.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	23.6	26.3	22.7	22.8	23.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E E	E E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	▼		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.1	F 9.6	F 6.1	F 6.0	F 9.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	3.7	5.2	1.6	2.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	6.7	7.5	7.2	6.7	—		

North Middlesex [Public] Parkhill		OSSLT count: 84						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,900: 1.7		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$55,900: 1.7		Rank: 184/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.1	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.8	3.2	2.7	2.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.4	96.3	80.5	87.0	83.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	76.9	77.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Tests below standard (%)	23.9	14.5	14.5	16.0	19.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.3	E	F 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.5	F 20.9	F 15.8	F 16.0	n/a	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	4.2	3.7	1.9	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	8.2	6.8	7.4	6.4	—		

West Elgin [Public] West Lorne		OSSLT count: 149						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 19.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,700: -1.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$55,700: -1.6		Rank: 643/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.8	2.4	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	0.9	1.7	1.1	1.4	1.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	79.4	77.0	68.5	74.6	72.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	41.7	36.8	36.1	35.4	50.0	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	29.9	31.5	33.2	26.2	30.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.4	F 0.5	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 28.8	F 14.9	F 19.2	F 4.4	F 9.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.3	1.6	1.8	0.0	0.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	3.1	3.1	3.3	4.5	3.8	—		

Woodstock [Public]	

Norwell [Public] Palmerston		OSSLT count: 187						
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 20.9	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,200: 1.0	Rank: 291/722	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.9	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.3	1.8	2.1	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.4	81.9	80.0	85.9	89.3	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	53.5	39.1	48.4	48.6	34.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	38.7	34.6	32.2	27.2	23.5	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.0	F 14.1	F 7.8	F 7.8	F 9.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	8.1	1.1	1.9	0.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	5.5	5.9	6.5	6.6	▲		

WATERLOO AREA								
Bluevale [Public] Waterloo				OSSLT count: 320				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 15.0			2008-09			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,800: 0.9	Rank: 70/722			2008-09			42/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.8	91.4	89.7	93.0	89.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	74.5	57.6	80.0	76.9	73.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	16.8	18.3	19.3	13.9	14.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.0	F 1.6	M 0.3	F 2.6	M 1.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	5.0	3.9	5.1	2.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	8.0	7.8	8.8	7.9	—		

Orangeville [Public] Orangeville		OSSLT count: 446						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 21.3	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,200: 0.4	Rank: 269/722	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.4	87.1	90.1	83.0	82.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	77.5	52.2	67.5	53.1	53.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	24.9	18.0	25.6	23.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	E	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 11.9	F 14.1	F 9.4	F 11.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	3.3	1.6	1.6	2.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	7.2	7.8	6.8	6.7	—		

Père-René-de-Galinée [Catholic] Cambridge								
Bluevale [Public] Waterloo				OSSLT count: 320				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a			2008-09			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,800: 0.9	Rank: 70/722			2008-09			42/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.7	3.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.5	91.0	90.0	92.8	92.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	89.3	81.4	90.2	81.6	88.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	14.9	15.9	14.5	14.3	16.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 8.9	F 0.4	F 7.8	F 1.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.5	3.7	0.9	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	8.0	7.8	8.8	7.9	—		

Our Lady of Lourdes [Catholic] Guelph		OSSLT count: 195						
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 16.4	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,600: 1.0	Rank: 184/722	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.0	2.6	2.6	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.2	93.3	90.2	93.0	90.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	73.3	61.1	50.0	50.0	68.8	—		
Tests below standard (%)	19.4	17.7	16.8	18.3	20.4	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.5	F 2.1	F 6.7	F 4.5	F 2.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	2.2	4.8	2.8	2.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.1	8.0	7.8	7.1	▼		

Galt [Public] Cambridge								
Bluevale [Public] Waterloo				OSSLT count: 344				
ESL (%): 13.4	Special needs (%): 10.5			2008-09			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,200: 0.3	Rank: 350/722			2008-09			438/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.9	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.7	88.1	81.6	84.7	87.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	49.1	43.8	49.0	56.4	58.5	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	35.8	37.3	37.2	28.5	28.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.6	F 10.2	F 4.9	F 20.6	M 1.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	15.9	4.5	4.5	7.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	5.5	5.4	6.9	6.3	—		

Wellington Heights [Public] Mount Forest		OSSLT count: 207						
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 25.1	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,600: 0.3	Rank: 402/722	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.6	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.5	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.9	90.6	87.0	78.6	83.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.0	52.6	64.0	48.0	55.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	40.8	31.9	34.7	33.9	29.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	E	M 0.3	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 0.7	M 2.9	F 16.4	F 5.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.8	4.5	7.5	4.5	2.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	6.0	5.8	5.3	6.0	—		

Southwood [Public] Cambridge								
Glenview Park [Public] Cambridge				OSSLT count: 383				
ESL (%): 1.2	Special needs (%): 14.7			2008-09			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,800: 0.7	Rank: 582/722			2008-09			489/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.5	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.0	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	72.4	81.1	82.8	79.7	81.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.7	41.2	48.0	38.9	38.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	36.6	32.3	33.5</					

Waterloo [Public] Waterloo		OSSLT count: 427						
ESL (%): 21.3		Special needs (%): 7.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$90,100: 1.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	2.9	2.7	3.1	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	2.1	2.4	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.3	89.1	87.3	91.3	93.2	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	57.1	68.8	72.3	60.0	61.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	21.2	19.9	23.7	16.7	16.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.0	M 1.2	F 10.7	F 5.1	F 1.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.6	8.1	7.2	4.7	2.8	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.0	6.9	8.6	7.7	—		

Waterloo-Oxford [Public] Baden		OSSLT count: 334						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,700: 0.9		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.8	2.2	2.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.3	90.9	90.4	89.0	89.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.4	78.1	76.5	69.0	56.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	21.5	16.8	15.6	24.6	19.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 2.4	F 2.1	F 8.4	F 9.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.3	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	8.2	8.6	6.9	7.1	—		

Eastern Ontario

HASTINGS-LIMESTONE AREA

Bayside [Public] Belleville		OSSLT count: 224						
ESL (%): 2.2		Special needs (%): 26.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,300: 0.9		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	234/722		152/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.8	2.6	2.4	3.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.0	88.2	89.0	85.5	80.5	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	81.3	51.4	44.6	44.7	51.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	21.9	20.8	25.2	28.1	23.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.7	F 3.6	F 7.6	F 4.2	F 3.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.6	0.5	0.7	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	7.7	7.2	6.8	6.9	▼		

Napanee [Public] Napanee		OSSLT count: 432						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 36.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,800: -1.6		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	634/722		530/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.6	80.5	78.0	75.6	72.7	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.3	51.5	51.0	35.2	36.8	—		
Tests below standard (%)	37.9	35.0	35.1	34.3	41.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.2	F 6.7	F 20.5	F 7.7	F 13.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	3.6	5.4	2.6	2.2	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.8	5.3	5.6	4.0	—		

Sharbot Lake [Public] Sharbot Lake		OSSLT count: 56						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,600: -3.3		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	700/722		601/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.3	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.6	1.8	1.9	n/a	n/a	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.9	74.4	75.6	76.5	61.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.1	42.1	42.1	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	28.7	38.4	45.3	41.2	41.1	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	F 1.3	M 13.8	F 16.6	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	5.3	4.5	3.9	1.8	▼		

Centennial [Public] Belleville		OSSLT count: 343						
ESL (%): 2.6		Special needs (%): 29.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,400: 0.2		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	419/722		173/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.7	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.4	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.3	83.3	82.6	87.4	79.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	66.7	55.1	48.4	44.1	39.7	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	16.8	21.4	23.4	26.1	28.2	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.5	F 20.6	F 7.1	F 8.1	F 4.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.9	3.8	3.0	3.0	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	8.8	7.4	7.6	6.9	5.9	▼		

Nicholson [Catholic] Belleville		OSSLT count: 168						
ESL (%): 5.4		Special needs (%): 22.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,400: 1.5		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	168/722		215/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	2.3	1.8	2.0	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.2	92.1	80.9	92.2	90.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	74.1	76.7	70.0	62.9	57.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	24.6	20.4	31.3	22.6	20.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	M 0.3	F 1.8	F 5.1	F 0.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	3.1	2.8	0.7	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.6	6.2	7.2	7.2	—		

St Paul [Catholic] Trenton		OSSLT count: 137						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,400: 1.7		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	134/722		380/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.3	2.5	2.3	2.0	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.1	84.2	80.9	86.7	90.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.8	n/a	n/a	66.7	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	31.7	27.7	28.0	33.8	17.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	▲		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.8	F 11.5	M 4.6	F 7.7	M 4.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.8	4.3	1.7	2.3	0.9	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.3	6.4	5.4	7.4	—		

Centre Hastings [Public] Madoc		OSSLT count: 275						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 36.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,100: -0.8		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	607/722		343/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.7	92.1	85.6	81.3	83.5	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	82.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	65.0	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	30.9	27.0	34.8	28.9	24.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	▼		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.3	F 5.3	F 2.2	F 9.2	M 1.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	3.5	2.2	1.1	1.1	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.6	6.0	5.7	6.4	—		

Prince Edward [Public] Picton		OSSLT count: 281						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 34.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$44,300: 0.6		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	480/722		380/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.9	82.7	81.1	79.6	77.0	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	51.9	62.2	45.8	48.3	38.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	37.5	24.9	32.3	30.2	31.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.0	F 5.9	F 13.9	F 27.5	M 1.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	0.0	1.8	2.2	0.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	7.1	6.0	6.3	5.6	—		

Sydenham [Public] Sydenham		OSSLT count: 288						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 23.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,600: 1.0		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
Rank:	234/722		271/690					

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

KINGSTON

Bayridge [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 162						
ESL (%): 15.4		Special needs (%): 22.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,000:-0.3	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$85,722: 0.3	Rank: 385/722	n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.4	83.9	82.1	77.7	83.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	92.0	n/a	82.4	74.2	59.1	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	24.7	33.2	33.6	29.4	28.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 7.4	F 10.2	F 16.1	F 9.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	2.1	1.9	0.9	0.7	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	5.0	5.7	5.7	6.1	—		

Frontenac [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 303						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,800: 1.1	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$87,722: 0.1	Rank: 105/722	n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.0	2.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.6	91.4	92.0	89.7	93.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	75.5	54.5	44.7	79.2	61.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	17.9	19.2	19.7	22.4	15.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.0	F 5.1	F 2.4	F 1.2	F 8.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	7.7	7.8	7.5	7.6	—		

Holy Cross [Catholic] Kingston		OSSLT count: 351						
ESL (%): 3.1		Special needs (%): 19.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,900: 0.4	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$86,722: 0.4	Rank: 234/722	n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.8	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.4	2.6	2.2	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.2	90.5	85.7	87.5	88.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.7	75.0	52.0	62.3	66.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	28.6	21.4	23.8	23.5	22.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	▲		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 2.2	F 4.9	F 12.4	F 3.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	1.7	1.3	2.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	7.2	7.2	7.0	6.9	—		

Kingston [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 252						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 19.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,200: 1.0	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$83,722: 0.4	Rank: 155/722	n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	96.4	95.5	96.8	93.4	94.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	85.0	65.0	71.4	78.1	71.8	—		
Tests below standard (%)	22.2	19.8	15.8	21.6	17.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.6	F 1.2	F 2.4	M 1.6	F 7.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.9	4.1	9.8	0.0	2.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.3	8.2	7.2	7.3	—		

La Salle [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 183						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 32.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$89,300: -3.3	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$89,722: 0.4	Rank: 671/722	n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.4	80.7	87.1	82.2	70.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.0	48.5	66.7	n/a	35.0	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	39.0	34.2	28.9	29.6	43.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.5	M 0.6	▼		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.7	F 6.2	F 2.7	F 9.3	F 8.7	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	2.2	0.0	1.6	0.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.5	6.8	5.1	3.2	—		

Loyalist [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 227						
ESL (%): 18.5		Special needs (%): 34.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,700: 1.0	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$63,722: 0.4	Rank: 291/722	n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.5	83.8	83.3	80.0	84.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.3	37.5	55.0	n/a	48.5	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	27.7	25.8	26.5	26.6	23.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.4	F 0.2	M 0.5	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 1.5	F 13.7	F 19.2	F 1.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	2.7	0.0	0.8	5.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.4	6.4	5.3	6.6	—		

Marie-Rivier [Catholic] Kingston		OSSLT count: 40						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,100: 2.3	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$85,722: 0.2	Rank: 14/722	n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.2	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.8	93.9	94.3	95.6	94.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	15.3	10.3	10.0	28.4	12.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	F 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 9.5	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	9.2	9.5	6.6	8.7	—		

Bell [Public] Nepean		OSSLT count: 263						
ESL (%): 29.3		Special needs (%): 19.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,600: 0.2	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$58,722: 0.2	Rank: 291/722	n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.2	2.1	1.7	1.8	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.3	90.1	89.3	88.7	88.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	68.6	67.3	44.2	50.9	47.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	25.2	19.5	20.8	24.2	26.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.2	F 2.3	M 1.0	M 3.9	F 10.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.9	1.3	4.3	0.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.7	7.7	6.8	6.6	—		

Queen Elizabeth [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 244						

<tbl_r cells="3" ix="4" maxcspan="4"

Deslauriers [Public] Nepean		OSSLT count: 152						
ESL (%): 6.6	Special needs (%): 11.2				2008-09 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,100: 0.1	2008-09 Last 5 Years				parents' avg. inc. of 419/722	324/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.9	2.3	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	1.4	1.8	1.9	1.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	71.9	68.3	82.0	91.3	82.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	53.6	n/a	65.5	n/a	—		
Tests below standard (%)	27.3	36.0	23.2	29.5	31.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.0	F 1.1	F 6.6	M 3.8	F 9.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	3.3	1.8	1.7	0.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	5.9	7.2	6.7	5.9	—		

Glebe [Public] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 452						
ESL (%): 17.3	Special needs (%): 10.6				2008-09 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,900: 0.4	2008-09 Last 5 Years				parents' avg. inc. of 184/722	135/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.3	86.7	86.6	87.2	88.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	80.7	59.8	77.4	57.7	62.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	20.5	20.7	23.1	20.4	19.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.2	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.4	F 5.5	F 6.5	F 2.3	F 4.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	3.4	3.2	5.1	3.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	7.5	7.1	7.6	7.1	—		

Lester B Pearson [Catholic] Gloucester		OSSLT count: 266						
ESL (%): 12.4	Special needs (%): 22.9				2008-09 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,400: 0.3	2008-09 Last 5 Years				parents' avg. inc. of 419/722	550/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.8	1.2	2.1	1.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	79.8	83.6	69.5	70.7	84.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.3	72.7	47.6	61.8	63.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	34.9	32.0	46.4	37.3	29.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	▼		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.5	F 10.3	M 14.4	F 5.0	F 7.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	5.5	9.5	2.4	4.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	5.8	3.3	4.6	5.9	—		

Earl of March [Public] Kanata		OSSLT count: 303						
ESL (%): 3.6	Special needs (%): 17.8				2008-09 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$106,900: 1.4	2008-09 Last 5 Years				parents' avg. inc. of 19/722	15/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	1.9	2.4	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	95.1	93.5	96.3	91.5	97.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	80.0	87.5	90.9	83.3	84.2	—		
Tests below standard (%)	13.7	11.3	14.0	12.3	10.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.9	F 5.1	F 2.2	F 1.2	F 0.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	1.1	0.0	0.4	1.5	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	9.1	8.7	8.7	8.5	8.6	—		

Gloucester [Public] Gloucester		OSSLT count: 274						
ESL (%): 23.4	Special needs (%): 18.6				2008-09 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,800: 0.5	2008-09 Last 5 Years				parents' avg. inc. of 291/722	380/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.3	1.7	1.3	1.7	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.5	84.7	85.7	81.0	82.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	66.0	66.3	61.1	58.7	66.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	33.9	28.6	30.5	29.8	23.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 4.6	F 2.6	M 1.2	F 9.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	1.9	5.4	3.6	4.1	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.6	▲		

Lisgar [Public] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 306						
ESL (%): 5.9	Special needs (%): 11.8				2008-09 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$109,300: 1.2	2008-09 Last 5 Years				parents' avg. inc. of 26/722	11/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.3	2.0	2.5	1.6	1.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.5	94.2	96.7	97.2	96.4	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	68.4	93.1	90.2	84.6	81.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	15.5	10.8	10.4	11.8	12.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.2	M 0.8	F 4.0	F 1.0	F 1.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	1.8	2.4	3.0	1.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	8.9	9.2	9.0	8.4	—		

Franco-Cité [Catholic] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 214						
ESL (%): 4.7	Special needs (%): 17.8				2008-09 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,900: 0.6	2008-09 Last 5 Years				parents' avg. inc. of 234/722	258/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.1	1.9	1.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.3	89.9	85.1	84.2	84.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.7	62.5	n/a	66.7	93.3	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	32.6	25.9	32.7	30.7	27.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.4	E	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.8	F 5.0	M 2.0	F 7.8	F 7.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	1.7	2.6	1.2	1.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	7.4	7.4	7.1	6.6	—		

Hillcrest [Public] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 329						
ESL (%): 3.6	Special needs (%): 15.8				2008-09 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,700: 0.0	2008-09 Last 5 Years				parents' avg. inc. of 307/722	173/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.7	3.0	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.5	1.7	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.8	92.6	94.5	93.0	91.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	71.4	64.4	71.4	68.9	71.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	24.5	22.4	18.6	21.5	11.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.3	F 12.4	F 1.5	F 6.6	F 7.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	4.8	3.1	1.5	1.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.7	7.7	7.5	8.2	▲		

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Kanata		OSSLT count: 241						
ESL (%): 0.0								

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

Notre Dame [Catholic] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 186						
ESL (%): 11.3		Special needs (%): 20.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,300: 0.2	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$60,300: 0.2	Rank: 466/722	215/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.8	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	72.0	76.5	75.6	86.0	78.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.9	76.2	34.5	50.0	52.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	41.6	30.7	31.6	22.0	32.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.3	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.7	F 5.0	F 14.5	F 16.3	F 8.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	1.2	4.0	4.1	5.1	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	6.1	6.0	6.9	5.7	—		

Samuel-Genest [Catholic] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 240						
ESL (%): 5.8		Special needs (%): 29.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,800: 1.5	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$56,800: 1.5	Rank: 234/722	286/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.9	2.8	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.7	1.6	2.1	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	74.1	76.2	90.2	87.5	83.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	52.4	61.2	61.7	64.0	63.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	41.9	36.4	30.3	23.2	24.7	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.2	F 20.5	F 1.0	F 11.6	F 9.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.8	6.5	1.9	3.3	2.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.0	6.9	8.3	6.9	▲		

St Matthew [Catholic] Orléans		OSSLT count: 290						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 23.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,100: 0.2	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$59,100: 0.2	Rank: 184/722	173/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.8	—	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.0	88.1	87.6	88.6	90.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	76.7	64.0	60.0	51.7	76.2	—		
Tests below standard (%)	20.0	20.5	23.0	25.2	19.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.3	F 6.7	F 9.0	F 2.9	M 5.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	1.7	2.4	2.2	0.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.3	7.2	6.7	7.1	—		

Osgoode Township [Public] Metcalfe		OSSLT count: 195						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,500: -0.5	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$102,500: -0.5	Rank: 307/722	215/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.9	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.7	94.1	89.6	92.7	89.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.8	69.2	75.0	83.3	88.9	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	29.6	21.5	22.7	17.1	22.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.6	F 7.6	F 8.1	F 14.5	M 0.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	0.0	0.8	3.0	2.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.8	7.2	8.2	6.5	—		

Sir Robert Borden [Public] Nepean		OSSLT count: 279						
ESL (%): 2.2		Special needs (%): 18.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$104,000: 0.5	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$104,000: 0.5	Rank: 105/722	54/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	2.1	1.6	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	94.3	93.8	92.2	91.6	92.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.5	45.0	52.2	n/a	65.9	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	16.0	17.6	16.1	15.7	15.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 7.5	F 6.9	F 2.4	F 8.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	2.1	4.3	2.1	0.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	7.9	8.2	8.2	7.6	—		

St Patrick's [Catholic] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 346						
ESL (%): 9.0		Special needs (%): 16.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$104,000: -0.9	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$104,000: -0.9	Rank: 579/722	580/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.3	1.9	2.0	1.6	1.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.9	78.0	76.1	75.5	84.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	68.0	60.4	63.8	38.3	44.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	39.8	38.6	38.0	39.7	38.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	F 9.0	F 12.9	F 3.7	F 0.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	3.8	2.6	5.2	2.2	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.8	—		

Rideau [Public] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 253						
ESL (%): 27.7		Special needs (%): 23.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,700: -1.8	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$42,700: -1.8	Rank: 674/722	591/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.3	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	65.6	65.4	68.3	63.4	60.9	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	69.2	44.1	46.9	30.4	50.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	42.5	45.0	37.1	47.7	48.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	N 0.2	M 0.0	M 0.7	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 5.0	F 10.6	F 0.5	M 3.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.8	5.0	2.0	12.8	8.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	4.6	5.6	4.2	3.1	▲		

South Carleton [Public] Richmond		OSSLT count: 372						
ESL (%): 0.3		Special needs (%): 19.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$115,900: 0.3	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$115,900: 0.3	Rank: 82/722	115/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.2	91.4	95.6	91.5	92.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	85.7	78.1	85.2	85.0	76.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	24.4	19.8	17.7	15.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.7	F 6.9	F 6.8	F 7.8	F 7.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.2	7.7	7.7	7.8	▲		

St Peter [Catholic] Orléans		OSSLT count: 401						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 19.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,400: 0.5	2008-09	Last 5 Years					</	

Woodroffe [Public] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 318						
ESL (%): 31.4		Special needs (%): 16.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,100: 0.5	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$63,100: 0.5	Rank: 385/722	572/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.6	84.9	79.6	80.3	87.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	60.7	52.7	50.0	57.1	66.1	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	38.0	42.9	40.4	38.6	29.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.6	M 13.6	F 0.7	F 11.5	F 6.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	12.7	16.7	3.4	8.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	4.0	4.7	4.5	6.1	—		

RENFREW COUNTY AREA

Arnprior [Public] Arnprior		OSSLT count: 258						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,300: 1.4	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$66,300: 1.4	Rank: 184/722	135/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.5	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.6	85.8	89.1	88.5	86.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.6	64.9	46.7	65.5	65.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	25.9	18.9	25.3	21.6	20.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.4	F 7.6	F 9.3	F 6.7	F 2.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	3.2	4.6	2.3	3.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	8.0	6.8	7.7	7.1	—		

Bishop Smith [Catholic] Pembroke		OSSLT count: 243						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 21.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,200: 0.6	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$63,200: 0.6	Rank: 555/722	396/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.3	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.6	2.3	2.0	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.2	85.5	78.1	83.6	84.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	74.4	73.1	56.3	62.5	63.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	30.1	23.7	33.8	32.6	35.3	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.0	F 2.7	F 8.7	F 20.1	F 7.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	0.9	3.4	2.1	3.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.0	5.8	5.6	5.0	▼		

Fellowes [Public] Pembroke		OSSLT count: 274						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 21.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,200: 0.6	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$57,200: 0.6	Rank: 291/722	380/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	79.8	85.3	83.6	80.5	83.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	61.0	56.4	46.7	26.7	52.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	35.1	26.7	29.7	31.4	23.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.3	M 0.1	E	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.9	F 4.5	F 13.4	F 11.0	F 6.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.0	4.3	2.5	3.4	2.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.5	6.2	5.9	6.6	—		

General Panet [Public] Petawawa		OSSLT count: 87						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,900: 1.1	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$71,900: 1.1	Rank: 212/722	438/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.9	77.7	80.2	76.6	84.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.9	n/a	62.5	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	30.9	36.0	27.0	32.0	21.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.4	F 22.5	F 12.0	F 11.9	M 4.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.0	5.9	3.4	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	4.4	6.6	5.1	7.0	—		

Jeanne-Lajoie [Catholic] Pembroke		OSSLT count: 58						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,000: 1.9	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$65,000: 1.9	Rank: 105/722	380/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.1	1.6	1.9	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.0	70.3	81.7	76.2	89.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	42.2	39.0	32.7	32.2	16.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	F 0.1	n/a	F 0.1	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.1	F 8.3	M 5.2	E	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.0	6.2	5.7	7.6	—		

MacKenzie [Public] Deep River		OSSLT count: 92						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,400: 1.1	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$57,400: 1.1	Rank: 307/722	215/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.8	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.0	80.0	94.1	95.2	85.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	61.1	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	25.3	25.8	20.0	24.6	20.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.3	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.9	F 15.2	F 8.7	F 0.7	F 17.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.0	1.1	2.2	1.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	6.7	7.9	6.9	6.5	—		

Athens [Public] Athens		OSSLT count: 58						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,200: 1.9	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$42,200: 1.9	Rank: 252/722	503/690						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.7	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.2	1.7	2.3	2.7	2.4	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.7	93.9	88.9	77.1	91.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	66.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	46.1	43.0	31.8	27.9	27.5	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	n/a	M 0.1	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 5.4	F 8.5	F 20.5	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	9.7	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	4.3	5.6	6.5	6.8	▲		

Madawaska Valley [Public] Barry's Bay		OSSLT count: 104						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 27.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,700: 0.5	2008-09	Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$59,700: 0.5	Rank: 402/722	358/690			</			

Gananoque [Public] Gananoque		OSSLT count: 111						
ESL (%)	n/a <th data-cs="3" data-kind="parent">Special needs (%)</th> <th data-kind="ghost"></th> <th data-kind="ghost"></th> <td data-cs="4" data-kind="parent">n/a</td> <td data-kind="ghost"></td> <td data-kind="ghost"></td> <td data-kind="ghost"></td>	Special needs (%)			n/a			
Actual reading vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$30,300: 0.0		2008-09		Last 5 Years				
		Rank:		601/722	538	538	690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.3	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	2.0	1.8	2.0	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.2	83.1	83.7	80.0	83.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	75.0	56.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	32.5	34.7	34.8	36.7	37.3	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.4	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.4	F 17.6	F 10.2	F 18.2	F 18.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.8	2.8	2.8	0.0	1.1	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	5.2	5.8	4.3	4.5	▼		

Le Relais [Catholic] Alexandria				OSSLT count: 94		
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)		37.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,700: 0.1		Rank:		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.5	2.7	2.9	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.3	85.2	78.3	84.4	79.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	66.7	n/a	n/a	37.5	44.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	35.1	36.8	32.8	34.0	32.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	M 0.4	F 0.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F12.5	F3.2	F25.1	F15.1	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	2.7	7.1	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.4	5.6	7.1	5.8	—

Notre Dame [Catholic] Carleton Place		OSSLT count: 96				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)		n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,100,-	.1	2008-09			Last 5 Years	
parents' avg. inc. of \$71,100,-	.1	445/722			199/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.6	2.4	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.8	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	93.9	82.9	90.5	91.4	85.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	14.6	18.2	23.3	23.0	29.0	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 7.0	M 6.3	F 7.5	F 9.6	M 2.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	7.8	7.2	6.7	5.8	▼

General Vanier [Public] Cornwall		OSSLT count: 123						
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)			n/a			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,500: -1.3		Rank:		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.4	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid.)	1.3	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	64.0	64.6	69.7	70.0	72.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	51.1	45.6	41.2	42.7	41.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	▲		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 27.1	F 10.9	F 16.5	F 11.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.0	1.1	2.0	0.9	2.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	3.4	2.7	4.3	3.5	4.0	—		

Le Sommet [Public] Hawkesbury		OSLST count: 113						
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 8.8			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,200: -0.3		Rank:		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.0		▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	1.5	1.5	2.3	1.9	1.9			
OSLST passed (%)—FTE	84.3	85.7	78.9	77.3	87.0			
OSLST passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40.0	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	40.3	36.3	38.5	46.6	41.5		▼	
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.3	n/a	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.4			n/a
Gender gap OSLST	M 2.4	S 3.3	M 5.3	F 19.9	F 3.1			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	8.5	1.4	0.0	0.0			
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.4	5.1	4.5	4.9		▼	

Perth and District [Public] Perth		OSSLT count: 218						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 28.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,400: -0.6		Rank:	2008-09		Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.4	—	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.4	2.3	2.1	2.1	—	—	
OSSSLT passed (%)—FTE	80.6	84.5	81.1	82.8	79.8	—	—	
OSSSLT passed (%)—PPL	n/a	64.3	58.6	72.7	74.2	n/a	—	
Tests below standard (%)	38.6	32.8	31.9	29.8	34.5	—	—	
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.5	F 10.9	F 5.8	F 7.9	F 3.8	—	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	3.1	4.9	3.4	4.3	▼	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	5.3	6.1	6.2	5.0	—	—	

Glengarry [Public] Alexandria		OSSLT count: 87				
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual reading vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,300: 1.5	Rank:	2008-09	Last 5 Years	184/722	237/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.2	2.6	2.7	2.2	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.9	79.8	83.7	88.3	86.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	27.7	27.4	23.5	18.7	22.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.0	F 25.1	F 16.3	F 11.7	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.3	1.2	2.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.7	7.2	7.0	7.1	—

L'Escale [Catholic] Rockland		OSLST count: 182					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 17.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,500:		0.6			2008-09 Last 5 years		
Rank:		269/722			115/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.4	2.6	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apd.)	1.3	2.7	2.9	2.3	2.6	—	
OSLST passed (%) - FTE	83.6	85.4	92.9	90.2	84.7	—	
OSLST passed (%) - PE	n/a	81.3	n/a	86.7	71.4	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	31.7	22.2	13.4	30.4	25.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	E	—	
Gender gap OSLST	F 7.9	F 9.0	F 2.7	F 9.3	F 16.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	1.4	2.4	0.7	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.9	9.4	7.2	6.7	—	

Plantagenet [Catholic] Plantagenet		OSSLT count: 179						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 40.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,100: -0.1		2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.2	2.2	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.7	1.7	1.7	▼	
OSSSLT passed (%)—FTE	66.5	86.1	88.2	84.0	84.6	84.6	—	
OSSSLT passed (%)—PE	66.7	33.3	48.0	47.4	50.0	50.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	40.9	37.7	32.7	45.1	38.3	38.3	—	
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.4	F 0.1	—	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.8	F 21.1	M 1.6	F 28.7	M 15.4	—	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.7	2.0	3.6	1.3	—	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.9	6.6	4.2	5.1	—	—	

Hawkesbury [Catholic]		Hawkesbury		OSSLT count: 184				
ESL (%): 0.0				Special needs (%): 27.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,100: 0.3				2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		445/722		299/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.4	1.8	2.1	2.0	1.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.4	79.4	89.6	85.6	85.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	45.2	27.3	81.3	n/a	65.0	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	36.1	35.0	26.3	27.2	35.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.3	F 11.3	F 10.7	M 6.9	F 7.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	3.0	3.4	1.9	0.8	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.3	7.4	7.2	5.8			

L'Héritage [Public] Cornwall		OSSLT count: 38						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,800: -0.3		Rank:		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.3	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.8	2.2	n/a	1.9	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	69.2	60.7	65.9	86.0	82.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	38.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	51.0	50.0	43.0	18.5	40.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.6	n/a	F 49.4	F 22.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	4.1	3.8	7.5	5.0	—		

Rideau [Public] Elgin	OSSLT count: 95					
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,500; 1.8	2008-09			Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.0	2.5	2.1	2.6	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.7	92.8	97.1	86.0	96.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	11.9	19.2	22.2	22.1	20.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.6	F 0.7	F 1.7	F 12.6	M 2.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.9	8.0	7.7	6.9	7.2	▼

Holy Trinity (Catholic) Cornwall		OSSSLT count: 121				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 33.1	2008-09		Last 5 Years		
Actual reading vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,500: 1.7	Rank:	234/722		343/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.9	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.5	—
OSSSLT passed (%) -FTE	70.0	80.5	95.9	91.7	81.2	—
OSSSLT passed (%) -PE	53.8	44.1	n/a	43.8	54.5	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	44.2	40.6	20.9	25.1	24.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSSLT	F 10.7	M 0.3	F 4.6	F 3.7	M 3.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	0.8	2.6	1.1	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	4.9	8.4	6.9	6.9	—

North Dundas [Public] Chesterville		OSLST count: 177				
ESL (%): 1.1		Special needs (%): 34.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,400: 1.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years				
Rank: 269/722		358/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.0	81.7	88.8	76.9	82.2	—
OSSSLT passed (%) -PE	79.1	55.2	64.3	43.8	67.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.4	31.2	24.6	25.4	23.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.6	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.0	F 22.4	M 6.6	F 11.5	F 5.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	47.5	1.9	0.8	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	4.5	7.4	6.2	6.7	—

Rockland [Public] Rockland		OSLST count: 103				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)		n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on		2008-09			Last 5 Years	
parents' avg. inc. of \$66,500:		212/722			135/690	
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.9	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.8	▲
OSLST passed (%)-FTE	93.1	90.1	91.0	87.9	80.2	▼
OSLST passed (%)-PE	88.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	23.4	20.2	19.4	21.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSLST	M 6.3	M 0.2	F 7.7	F 8.7	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	3.5	0.0	2.2	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.1	8.0	7.6	7.0	—

La Citadelle [Catholic] Cornwall		OSSLT count: 186						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 23.1						
Actual reading vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,400: 2.4		Rank:		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.7	3.0	—	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.5	2.6	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.6	80.8	77.5	79.2	90.5	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	54.5	58.6	46.7	53.3	50.0	—	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.8	29.2	33.2	30.7	18.3	—	—	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M	0.2	M	0.2	E	M	0.3
Gender gap OSSLT	F	15.5	F	8.9	F	21.6	F	15.6
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.9	—	—	—
Overall rating out of 10		6.9	7.3	6.0	7.1	7.7	—	—
Academic Performance								
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend			

North Grenville [Public] Kemptville		OSLST count: 144				
ESL (%)	1.4	Special needs (%)		31.3		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,900: 0.3		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank:	329/722	199/690	179/690	179/690
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	3.0	2.6	2.5	2.7	—
OSLST passed (%) -FTE	85.2	83.5	89.2	83.2	82.5	—
OSLST passed (%) -PE	n/a	46.7	40.0	50.0	39.1	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	21.6	17.9	23.4	26.1	23.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.5	F 10.8	F 11.4	F 16.1	F 9.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	8.1	7.3	6.6	6.4	—

Russel High [Public] Russell		OSSLT count: 90				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)		n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,200: -2.2		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.3	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.7	1.9	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	96.2	92.7	89.2	88.6	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	2.6	9.7	8.0	17.2	35.5	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.4	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 7.4	M 0.8	M 0.2	M 2.1	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	8.3	8.7	7.5	4.8	n/a

Seaway [Public] Iroquois		OSSLT count: 124							St Joseph's [Catholic] Cornwall		OSSLT count: 301							Tagwi [Public] Avonmore		OSSLT count: 155																	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 43.5		2008-09		Last 5 Years		ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 41.2		2008-09		Last 5 Years		ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 35.5		2008-09		Last 5 Years															
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,600: 1.6		Rank: 234/722		215/690		2008-09		Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,200: 2.4		Rank: 82/722		2008-09		Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,200: 0.4		Rank: 366/722		2008-09		Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,200: 0.4		Rank: 530/690															
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend														
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.2	2.1	2.7	2.9	2.9	▲	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.5	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.7	—														
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		2.3	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.3	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		1.9	2.1	2.8	2.7	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4	—														
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		79.1	85.1	81.6	82.0	82.9	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		82.0	81.3	85.0	89.0	90.7	▲	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		82.7	76.1	72.4	82.7	81.1	—														
OSSLT passed (%) -PE		84.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	73.7	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE		57.4	61.1	73.3	30.0	70.4	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE		47.5	32.0	29.6	26.3	63.2	—														
Tests below standard (%)		25.0	23.9	27.0	22.0	25.8	—	Tests below standard (%)		40.0	39.0	22.6	21.3	18.3	▲	Tests below standard (%)		35.3	37.2	37.6	32.9	26.8	—														
Gender gap (level)-Math		E	F 0.1	E	F 0.2	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math		E	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.2	—	Gender gap (level)-Math		M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.2	M 0.4	M 0.2	—														
Gender gap OSSLT		F 12.7	F 9.8	F 12.4	F 10.9	F 8.3	—	Gender gap OSSLT		F 5.5	F 9.2	F 8.6	M 6.7	F 5.5	—	Gender gap OSSLT		F 11.2	F 16.2	F 18.4	M 1.7	M 0.4	—														
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		2.6	0.8	2.7	1.2	1.1	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)		1.8	1.9	0.5	0.0	0.9	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)		0.8	3.4	1.8	2.7	0.0	—														
Overall rating out of 10		7.7	7.1	6.9	7.0	6.9	—	Overall rating out of 10		5.5	5.0	7.5	8.1	7.8	▲	Overall rating out of 10		5.9	4.2	4.8	5.6	6.2	—														
Smiths Falls District [Public] Smiths Falls		OSSLT count: 250							St Lawrence [Public] Cornwall		OSSLT count: 111							Thousand Islands [Public] Brockville		OSSLT count: 310																	
ESL (%): 2.0		Special needs (%): 29.2		2008-09		Last 5 Years		ESL (%): 3.6		Special needs (%): 28.8		2008-09		Last 5 Years		ESL (%): 1.3		Special needs (%): 28.7		2008-09		Last 5 Years		parents' avg. inc. of \$59,800: -1.0		parents' avg. inc. of \$43,900: -1.4		parents' avg. inc. of \$63,700: 1.3		Rank: 662/722		Rank: 649/690		Rank: 234/722		Rank: 215/690	
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend														
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.3	2.6	2.9	2.7	2.7	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.0	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.2	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.6	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.7	—														
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		1.5	2.5	2.6	2.1	1.8	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		1.3	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		2.2	2.1	2.5	2.7	2.5	—														
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		77.6	85.4	83.8	80.6	68.9	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		58.0	70.9	72.3	66.7	76.2	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		80.3	85.9	93.4	84.5	88.9	—														
OSSLT passed (%) -PE		41.0	52.2	53.1	42.9	46.7	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE		77.0	74.2	76.0	41.2	56.8	—														
Tests below standard (%)		45.3	25.8	21.2	34.5	37.3	—	Tests below standard (%)		58.0	49.0	45.7	40.5	44.8	—	Tests below standard (%)		32.2	28.0	20.7	21.8	24.6	—														
Gender gap (level)-Math		F 0.2	E	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math		F 0.2	F 0.2	M 0.4	M 0.4	M 0.5	▼	Gender gap (level)-Math		M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—														
Gender gap OSSLT		M 1.5	M 0.3	F 3.7	F 10.9	F 2.6	—	Gender gap OSSLT		F 10.8	F 11.8	F 7.3	F 2.3	F 1.6	▲	Gender gap OSSLT		M 1.5	F 4.1	F 0.3	M 1.9	F 2.7	—														
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		4.5	3.7	14.6	5.6	5.2	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)		2.2	1.5	0.8	7.0	5.1	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)		0.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.9	—														
Overall rating out of 10		4.4	7.2	7.5	5.4	4.5	—	Overall rating out of 10		2.2	3.5	3.8	4.0	3.5	—	Overall rating out of 10		6.8	6.5	7.9	7.6	6.9	—														
South Grenville [Public] Prescott		OSSLT count: 194							St Mary's [Catholic] Brockville		OSSLT count: 145							Vankleek Hill [Public] Vankleek Hill		OSSLT count: 95																	
ESL (%): 1.0		Special needs (%): 30.9		2008-09		Last 5 Years		ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 33.1		2008-09		Last 5 Years		ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a		2008-09		Last 5 Years		parents' avg. inc. of \$62,800: -0.4		parents' avg. inc. of \$63,900: 1.4		parents' avg. inc. of \$59,200: 1.1		Rank: 291/722		Rank: 358/690					
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend														
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.8	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.7	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.8	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.8	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.7	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.8	—														
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		2.0	2.0	1.7	2.1	2.4	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		2.5	2.6	2.5	2.1	2.0	▼	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		2.2	2.1	2.5	2.7	2.5	—														
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		87.1	89.9	79.2	74.8	74.8	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		91.0	89.2	90.1	87.4	95.9	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		81.8	83.3	84.9	85.8	86.4	▲														
OSSLT passed (%) -PE		n/a	73.9	n/a	70.8	58.8	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE		n/a	53.3	n/a	n/a	57.9	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE		51.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a														
Tests below standard (%)		27.5	29.2	40.9	38.5	32.0	▼	Tests below standard (%)		20.3	22.5	24.7	25.6	22.6	—	Tests below standard (%)		35.4	27.3	27.7	29.7	24.9	—														
Gender gap (level)-Math		E	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—	Gender gap (level)-Math		M 0.2	E	F 0.1	M 0.4	M 0.2	—	Gender gap (level)-Math		E	M 0.4	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	—														
Gender gap OSSLT		F 5.9	F 7.0	F 17.7	F 15.0	F 8.3	—	Gender gap OSSLT		F 8.0	M 3.0	F 1.6	M 3.9	F 3.1	—	Gender gap OSSLT		F 12.6	F 7.9	F 4.2	M 3.3	F 17.0	—														
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		0.0	1.1	3.1	0.0	4.8	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)		0.7	0.9	0.8	8.2	3.4	—														
Overall rating out of 10		7.0	4.7	5.8	6.1	6.4	—	Overall rating out of 10		9.0	10.0	10.0	9.6	9.3	—	Overall rating out of 10		5.8	6.2	6.5	6.4	6.6	▲														
St John [Catholic] Perth		OSSLT count: 210							St Michael [Catholic] Kemptville		OSSLT count: 136							St. Thomas Aquinas [Catholic] Russell		OSSLT count: 144																	
ESL (%): 0.0																																					

Northern and Central Ontario

ALGOMA AREA

Bawating [Public] Sault Ste. Marie		OSSLT count: 191							
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 11.5								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,000: -1.1	2008-09	Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$59,000: -1.1	Rank: 607/722	647/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	1.9	2.6	2.3	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.5	1.6	1.9	2.3	2.3	▲			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	61.7	68.0	64.1	68.6	62.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.9	46.3	45.9	42.6	38.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	58.4	50.4	47.5	45.1	40.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	M 0.3	M 0.5	E	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.5	F 22.4	F 19.3	F 6.8	F 3.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.1	6.6	8.8	13.3	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	2.8	2.5	3.5	4.4	4.4	▲			

Michipicoten [Public] Wawa		OSSLT count: 64							
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 23.4								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$37,400: -3.0	2008-09	Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$37,400: -3.0	Rank: 705/722	550/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.8	1.9	n/a	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.0	1.7	▼			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	77.6	79.6	86.5	69.6	46.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	57.7	76.5	n/a	n/a	68.8	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	30.9	22.6	24.2	53.0	59.1	▼			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 24.9	F 12.6	M 7.0	F 13.5	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	7.5	7.1	2.7	1.7	▼			

White Pines [Public] Sault Ste. Marie		OSSLT count: 221							
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 10.0								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,700: -0.8	2008-09	Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$69,700: -0.8	Rank: 555/722	503/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.9	2.3	2.4	1.9	2.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.2	87.9	76.9	82.8	76.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	60.8	48.5	66.7	47.6	66.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	39.0	25.5	31.0	38.6	36.3	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	E	F 0.4	M 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.1	F 8.3	F 21.0	F 1.8	F 3.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	0.9	1.8	2.0	4.7	▼			
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	7.0	5.9	4.9	5.0	—			

Central Algoma [Public] Desbarats		OSSLT count: 169							
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 34.3								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,300: 1.4	2008-09	Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$59,300: 1.4	Rank: 234/722	560/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.4	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.5	▲			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.3	75.6	78.4	82.6	85.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	73.5	36.4	44.8	60.7	47.4	—			
Tests below standard (%)	43.2	39.6	38.1	36.0	25.0	▲			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	F 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.4	F 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.6	F 25.8	F 3.0	F 12.5	F 0.8	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	1.8	3.9	0.8	1.7	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	3.8	5.5	4.9	6.9	▲			

Sir James Dunn [Public] Sault Ste. Marie		OSSLT count: 228							
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 11.4								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,100: 0.4	2008-09	Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$81,100: 0.4	Rank: 291/722	324/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.2	2.0	1.6	2.6	2.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.5	89.6	87.7	87.2	89.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	82.7	77.3	81.0	64.3	59.1	—			
Tests below standard (%)	30.9	19.8	28.0	24.0	22.3	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	▲			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.9	F 7.9	F 11.2	M 0.3	F 9.5	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	4.3	1.3	0.0	0.8	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	7.2	6.3	7.0	6.6	—			

Barrie Central [Public] Barrie		OSSLT count: 333							
ESL (%): 0.9	Special needs (%): 31.2								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,200: -0.7	2008-09	Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$64,200: -0.7	Rank: 555/722	538/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.7	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.4	79.3	79.1	80.6	73.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.9	47.4	56.3	43.1	45.2	—			
Tests below standard (%)	39.8	37.0	36.9	31.3	34.0	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.3	F 21.5	F 17.4	F 15.3	F 13.5	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.0	6.7	9.0	5.8	8.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.8	5.0	—			

Chapleau [Public] Chapleau		OSSLT count: 24							
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,500: 1.4	2008-09	Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$53,500: 1.4	Rank: 700/722	n/a							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.8	3.0	3.0	▲	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.7	n/a	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	n/a	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—			
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	n/a	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.6	M 0.3	M 0.2	n/a	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 7.0	F 6.9	F 12.4	M 4.9	F 6.4	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	5.6	6.7	7.6	6.7	—			

St Basil [Catholic] Sault Ste. Marie		OSSLT count: 203							
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 31.5								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,900: -1.0	2008-09	Last 5 Years							
parents' avg. inc. of \$73,900: -1.0	Rank: 555/722	396/690							
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	2.0	2.5	2.1	2.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.1	83.2	82.7	82.9	76.4	▼</td			

Innisdale [Public] Barrie										OSSLT count: 540	
ESL (%): 2.4					Special needs (%): 22.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$80,400:-1.0					Rank: 528/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.6	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	76.6	80.2	81.5	81.7	81.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.6	50.7	44.2	58.7	38.7	—					
Tests below standard (%)	41.4	37.4	33.1	30.6	33.3	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.4	F 4.8	F 8.1	F 12.8	F 10.9	▼					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.1	2.4	3.0	3.6	5.8	—					
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.4	5.8	5.9	5.2	—					

Georgian Bay [Public] Meaford										OSSLT count: 207	
ESL (%): 0.5					Special needs (%): 38.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$53,800:-0.5					Rank: 445/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	79.1	82.4	81.1	75.5	75.6	▼					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.7	63.6	34.8	45.7	41.7	—					
Tests below standard (%)	26.2	24.4	31.1	37.2	29.6	▼					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.7	F 14.7	F 7.2	F 20.8	F 2.1	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.4	4.1	3.4	5.6	8.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.1	6.0	4.5	5.8	—					

Sacred Heart [Catholic] Walkerton										OSSLT count: 196	
ESL (%): 0.5					Special needs (%): 20.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$63,200:-1.5					Rank: 184/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.6	▲					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.5	80.0	88.0	88.4	91.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	66.7	79.3	60.6	73.1	55.2	—					
Tests below standard (%)	31.2	24.1	27.4	21.4	19.3	▲					
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.0	F 1.4	F 7.6	F 2.9	F 14.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	2.4	4.6	0.0	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	7.2	6.6	7.2	7.1	—					

Nouvelle-Alliance [Catholic] Barrie										OSSLT count: 67	
ESL (%): n/a					Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$73,100:-1.4					Rank: 593/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.1	2.0	2.5	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.8	1.6	n/a	n/a	n/a					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.9	79.3	88.4	82.6	76.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	72.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	33.9	36.7	38.4	41.2	35.0	▼					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.5	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 39.8	M 2.6	F 14.6	M 7.2	F 11.8	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.0	4.7	4.1	4.6	—					

Grey Highlands [Public] Flesherton										OSSLT count: 314	
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 29.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$68,300:-1.5					Rank: 614/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.4	2.7	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	1.9	2.0	1.9	▼					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	71.0	79.9	75.6	77.6	68.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.8	56.9	58.3	54.1	45.9	—					
Tests below standard (%)	37.7	30.8	37.7	38.7	39.4	▼					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.2	F 23.4	F 12.3	F 3.9	F 18.1	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	4.1	6.0	4.0	3.9	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.0	5.1	4.4	5.1	—					

Kincardine [Public] Kincardine										OSSLT count: 180	
ESL (%): 0.6					Special needs (%): 28.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on 2008-09					Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$82,100:-1.0					Rank: 155/722						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.6	3.0	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.4	94.4	83.9	89.2	91.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.6	56.5	58.3	45.8	62.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	30.4	21.0	27.8	19.8	20.1	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	E	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.9	F 8.6	F 13.9	F 11.0	M 0.1	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.2	0.6	0.7	2.7	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.1	6.4	5.8	7.3	—					

Walkerton [

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

Bowmanville [Public] Bowmanville		OSSLT count: 311						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 29.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,300:-1.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$80,300:-1.2		Rank: 555/722				503/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.2	1.9	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.5	78.4	80.2	68.7	80.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.1	67.4	54.5	55.1	43.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	31.6	31.4	38.8	37.8	35.7	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.7	F 20.4	F 17.3	F 24.0	F 11.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	0.0	1.0	1.4	2.2	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.1	5.1	4.8	5.0	▼		

Courtice [Public] Courtice		OSSLT count: 244						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 23.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,000:-0.8		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$99,000:-0.8		Rank: 385/722				419/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.1	80.2	87.9	84.8	83.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.7	55.6	50.0	59.1	48.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	34.7	35.8	30.2	29.3	30.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 10.3	F 5.8	F 7.3	F 5.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	4.5	3.7	2.6	3.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	5.0	6.5	6.2	6.1	—		

Kenner [Public] Peterborough		OSSLT count: 246						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 40.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,600:-2.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$50,600:-2.1		Rank: 674/722				629/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	2.3	1.9	1.3	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.9	75.0	69.3	63.7	71.1	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	43.5	42.9	29.7	38.5	35.2	—		
Tests below standard (%)	42.8	43.1	46.2	48.1	48.5	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	F 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 7.2	F 9.7	F 22.0	F 13.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	9.7	10.8	3.4	3.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	4.2	4.2	2.7	3.1	▼		

Campbellford [Public] Campbellford		OSSLT count: 207						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 27.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$n/a: n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$n/a: n/a		Rank: 555/722				503/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.3	78.9	82.7	80.4	74.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.1	50.0	41.7	48.0	51.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	37.4	34.1	32.3	31.7	32.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.2	F 21.3	F 16.9	F 17.2	F 16.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	2.5	6.9	8.5	7.8	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.1	5.6	6.0	5.0	—		

Crestwood [Public] Peterborough		OSSLT count: 270						
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 35.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,000: 0.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$77,000: 0.1		Rank: 366/722				286/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.9	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.6	2.1	2.4	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.5	89.4	87.7	83.0	81.3	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	79.5	75.8	39.3	64.1	48.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	27.1	27.7	30.2	23.4	27.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 9.1	F 10.7	F 14.9	F 12.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	2.1	2.3	3.3	4.3	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.7	6.4	7.1	6.2	—		

Lakefield [Public] Lakefield		OSSLT count: 163						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 36.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,000: -1.3		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$43,000: -1.3		Rank: 653/722				521/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.7	2.3	2.0	2.1	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	70.8	83.6	73.7	71.7	67.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.3	48.9	46.7	67.9	58.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	31.6	29.3	37.9	37.8	42.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	F 0.2	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.3	F 4.2	M 4.2	F 13.3	F 11.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.0	2.6	5.6	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.8	5.3	4.7	3.6	▼		

Clarie [Public] Newcastle		OSSLT count: 403						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 26.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,800: -0.8		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$84,800: -0.8		Rank: 480/722				n/a		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.9	82.0	87.2	81.6	76.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	75.9	47.1	60.0	n/a	50.0	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	25.9	28.1	28.8	33.6	43.4	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.9	F 20.4	F 13.0	F 10.7	F 24.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	1.9	3.3	1.6	1.6	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	6.4	6.7	5.3	3.6	▼		

Holy Cross [Catholic] Peterborough		OSSLT count: 215						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 26.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,600: 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$69,600: 0.0		Rank: 445/722				358/		

St Mary's [Catholic] Cobourg		OSSLT count: 251						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 22.3	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,500: 0.9		Rank:	212/722	299/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.7	88.6	81.3	86.8	85.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	79.6	75.0	43.6	52.8	50.0	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	30.0	27.0	31.3	29.5	21.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.1	M 2.7	F 10.2	F 8.1	F 9.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.4	1.3	1.4	1.4	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	7.2	6.1	6.3	7.0	—		

Beaver Brae [Public] Kenora		OSSLT count: 267						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 19.5	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,400: -1.9		Rank:	640/722	550/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.1	80.2	72.6	73.0	73.1	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.4	43.3	45.5	48.1	37.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	37.4	31.6	38.9	35.2	43.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.3	F 12.3	F 6.8	F 13.1	F 5.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.7	5.2	5.1	3.9	▼		

Red Lake [Public] Red Lake		OSSLT count: 94						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 31.9	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$91,000: -1.1		Rank:	493/722	489/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.9	2.9	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.3	1.5	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.5	84.8	63.2	85.7	73.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	76.5	55.6	n/a	57.1	40.0	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	28.2	30.5	40.3	31.8	29.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.5	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.2	N 0.2	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 23.5	F 25.0	F 2.9	M 5.2	F 2.3	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.9	2.4	8.1	18.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.8	5.8	4.7	6.3	5.5	—	

St Peter's [Catholic] Peterborough		OSSLT count: 321						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 29.6	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,600: 1.7		Rank:	105/722	64/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.6	2.8	3.1	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.9	91.6	91.3	90.0	87.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	77.6	71.0	57.1	48.6	52.9	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	19.1	22.2	19.1	16.3	18.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	F 10.0	F 7.2	F 4.1	F 6.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.0	1.5	1.8	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.7	7.3	7.9	8.6	7.6	—		

Dryden [Public] Dryden		OSSLT count: 281						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 18.9	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,200: -0.1		Rank:	493/722	343/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.2	2.6	2.4	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.2	79.0	84.7	78.5	80.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.1	50.0	36.2	26.4	31.7	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	34.5	28.4	25.1	30.8	29.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.5	F 13.5	F 5.5	F 12.4	F 7.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	1.6	1.6	0.0	1.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.4	7.5	6.3	5.5	—		

St Thomas Aquinas [Catholic] Kenora		OSSLT count: 109						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 23.9	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,000: 0.6		Rank:	350/722	237/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	2.6	2.9	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.4	1.9	2.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.3	83.1	84.7	85.5	75.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	62.5	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	23.5	23.7	25.5	23.6	25.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.4	▼		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.0	F 11.0	M 4.9	F 10.7	M 1.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	3.6	1.2	6.3	1.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	7.4	6.6	6.5	6.3	▼		

Thomas A Stewart [Public] Peterborough		OSSLT count: 217						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 26.3	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,100: -0.8		Rank:	555/722	580/690				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.8	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	77.2	82.7	83.3	79.0	87.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.9	59.5	46.2	69.2	58.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	39.5	39.2	36.3	40.3	34.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.6	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.7	M 1.8	F 9.6	F 21.6	F 6.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	3.3	0.8	4.5	0.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.8	7.1	7.7	6.8	—		

Ignace [Public] Ignace		OSSLT count: 15						
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based								

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

St Ignatius [Catholic] Thunder Bay		OSSLT count: 382						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 17.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,800: 0.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$76,800: 0.5		Rank: 291/722				173/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.1	92.3	88.8	87.3	85.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	77.5	52.8	73.3	66.7	42.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	27.5	23.0	25.9	19.2	26.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.3	F 5.8	M 1.6	F 4.5	F 8.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.6	7.1	7.8	6.6	—		

Franco-Cité [Catholic] Sturgeon Falls		OSSLT count: 144						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 39.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,600: 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$50,600: 0.0		Rank: 528/722				299/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.3	2.2	1.8	2.0	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	76.5	77.3	88.5	90.7	79.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	45.5	45.0	37.9	30.0	45.8	—		
Tests below standard (%)	32.4	24.0	32.9	31.9	36.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.6	F 17.6	M 8.1	F 2.8	F 22.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	0.0	0.9	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	7.4	6.5	7.4	5.2	—		

Widfield [Public] North Bay		OSSLT count: 251						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 22.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$581,400: -0.9		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$581,400: -0.9		Rank: 509/722				343/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.5	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.1	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.5	88.4	81.5	82.1	87.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.7	74.4	72.2	64.7	58.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	27.5	23.4	28.3	29.6	32.8	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.5	F 8.4	F 10.6	F 15.0	F 11.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.9	4.9	1.7	3.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	7.6	6.0	6.2	5.4	—		

St Patrick [Catholic] Thunder Bay		OSSLT count: 378						
ESL (%): 0.8		Special needs (%): 21.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,900: 0.9		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$68,900: 0.9		Rank: 269/722				358/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.1	2.3	1.9	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.8	85.3	80.0	83.4	87.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	60.0	72.7	91.7	57.6	82.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	34.5	25.2	29.9	33.2	25.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.7	F 7.2	F 6.3	F 10.5	M 1.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	6.8	1.3	1.1	0.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.9	6.5	5.7	6.7	—		

I'Odyssee [Public] North Bay		OSSLT count: 19						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,900: -1.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$63,900: -1.5		Rank: 625/722				n/a		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	3.2	n/a	2.8	2.0	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.6	2.6	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	80.0	82.6	85.2	78.9	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	9.4	17.4	16.7	50.0	n/a		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	E	n/a	M 0.5	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	5.9	9.5	9.4	3.9	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	9.3	n/a	8.1	4.1	n/a		

ONTARIO NORTH EAST AREA		Cité des Jeunes [Catholic] Kapuskasing						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,300: 0.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$70,300: 0.1		Rank: 402/722				358/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.8	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.1	1.9	2.7	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.0	62.4	81.0	83.6	76.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	60.9	32.3	37.9	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	35.9	35.2	40.3	35.2	43.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.4	F 31.7	F 34.4	F 7.0	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.3	2.3	2.5	0.0	1.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	4.8	5.5	9.0	6.0	—		

Westgate [Public] Thunder Bay		OSSLT count: 334						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,600: 0.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$72,600: 0.1		Rank: 419/722				173/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.8	80.8	90.3	90.2	81.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	66.7	47.5	42.6	54.5	50.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	24.9	32.0	15.0	31.3	29.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.6	F 9.1	F 14.3	F 19.1	F 3.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.3	0.9	0.8	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	6.6	8.9	7.0	5.9	—		

Parry Sound [Public] Parry Sound		OSSLT count: 276						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 32.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,900: -2.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$49,900: -2.1		Rank: 614/722				550/690		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	</th	

Kapusasing [Public] Kapusasing		OSSLT count: 45						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,600: -3.7		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$71,600: -3.7	Rank:	697/722	611/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.6	2.5	2.1	2.1	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.1	1.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	67.2	94.8	88.5	62.8	69.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	52.6	81.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	44.7	17.1	37.6	52.3	53.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.4	F 0.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.7	F 5.0	M 9.5	M 22.0	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	1.8	5.8	2.2	7.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	8.3	5.5	2.0	2.2	—		

Sainte-Marie [Catholic] New Liskeard		OSSLT count: 101						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 27.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,100: 1.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$54,100: 1.6	Rank:	234/722	324/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.7	3.1	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.2	2.3	2.7	2.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.3	72.9	79.1	76.5	81.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.3	33.3	60.0	53.3	57.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	38.1	35.1	30.0	28.8	19.7	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	n/a	F 0.3	F 0.2	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.0	F 27.0	F 20.8	F 23.2	F 22.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.0	7.0	6.8	6.9	▲		

Chelmsford Valley District [Public] Chelmsford		OSSLT count: 96						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 17.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,400: -2.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$66,400: -2.2	Rank:	662/722	617/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.0	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.9	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	76.4	70.1	67.6	61.8	59.3	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	86.7	n/a	65.6	37.8	56.3	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	41.5	39.7	44.1	51.4	48.1	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.2	F 6.3	F 22.4	F 23.1	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	2.9	2.4	3.2	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	4.7	4.3	2.4	3.5	▼		

Kirkland Lake District [Public] Kirkland Lake		OSSLT count: 181						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 28.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,600: -2.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$64,600: -2.2	Rank:	662/722	633/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.0	1.9	1.3	1.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	73.4	75.6	68.5	75.2	68.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	63.2	64.3	36.7	53.7	54.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	42.2	44.4	49.6	43.5	43.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 24.1	F 4.0	F 21.0	F 11.4	F 19.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	10.1	5.2	1.8	3.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	3.6	3.3	4.2	3.5	—		

Theriault [Catholic] Timmins		OSSLT count: 290						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 27.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,600: 0.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$72,600: 0.1	Rank:	385/722	503/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	2.0	2.2	1.8	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	67.0	73.4	69.1	81.4	80.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	75.0	n/a	57.1	n/a	41.4	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	45.0	35.8	35.8	35.0	30.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 29.2	F 16.7	F 25.9	F 14.6	F 5.5	▲		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	9.5	5.4	2.9	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	5.9	5.4	5.6	6.1	—		

Collège Notre-Dame [Catholic] Sudbury		OSSLT count: 185						
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,200: 0.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$78,200: 0.4	Rank:	307/722	199/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	1.7	2.0	1.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.4	94.1	91.5	87.4	89.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	62.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	22.2	27.2	22.8	27.9	27.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.4	F 11.5	F 15.4	F 9.3	F 16.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.0	2.1	5.6	7.9	3.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.1	7.6	6.7	6.5	▼		

O'Gorman [Catholic] Timmins		OSSLT count: 149						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,000: -3.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$71,000: -3.5	Rank:	692/722	649/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	68.5	74.4	78.8	81.3	74.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.3	48.0	47.2	46.9	46.2	—		
Tests below standard (%)	49.6	46.4	50.2	46.1	49.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.5	F 0.5	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.9	F 8.1	F 10.8	F 14.7	F 17.6	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	1.5	1.5	3.5	0.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.6	n/a		

Timmins [Public] Timmins		OSSLT count: 311						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 14.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,500: -1.1		2008-09 Last 5 Years						
parents' avg. inc. of \$69,500: -1.1	Rank:	582/722	591/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.5	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	73.0	64.2	78.0	75.9	81.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	46.5	37.9	49.3	38.2	49.2	—		
Tests below standard (%)	45.8	45.2	38.7	38.9	39.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.5	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.3	M 0.1	F 9.4	F 12.1	F 5.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.6	14.1	8.9	13.6	8.2	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	4.3	5.5	4.6	4.7	—		

<tbl_header

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2010

I'Horizon [Catholic] Val Caron		OSSLT count: 129						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 26.4							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,800: -0.5		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
parents' avg. inc. of \$72,800: -0.5	Rank:	493/722	438/690	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	3.0	3.0	1.9	2.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	70.7	71.8	67.9	73.7	77.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	45.9	44.0	42.3	67.7	47.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	41.1	23.7	27.6	38.5	29.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	F 0.3	M 0.2	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 28.0	F 14.8	F 24.8	F 27.7	F 15.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	7.8	6.0	5.0	5.5	—		

Marymount [Catholic] Sudbury		OSSLT count: 44						
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,000: 3.0		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
parents' avg. inc. of \$79,000: 3.0	Rank:	5/722	10/690	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.0	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	95.9	96.6	100.0	98.6	97.7	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Tests below standard (%)	17.5	9.0	11.9	3.8	7.8	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.2	0.0	1.7	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	8.6	8.9	9.8	9.2	▲		

Bradford [Public] Bradford		OSSLT count: 344						
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 25.3							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,600: 0.9		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
parents' avg. inc. of \$77,600: 0.9	Rank:	212/722	458/690	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.9	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.5	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.4	79.0	78.4	78.7	85.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.3	64.4	47.2	43.3	43.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	40.2	34.8	32.4	31.6	22.1	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.2	F 12.4	F 9.5	F 8.1	F 2.7	▲		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	3.8	0.4	0.4	0.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.2	5.9	5.9	7.0	▲		

Lively [Public] Lively		OSSLT count: 129						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 34.9							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,900: -1.9		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
parents' avg. inc. of \$97,900: -1.9	Rank:	569/722	572/690	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.8	2.8	2.1	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	74.7	70.2	77.6	74.0	76.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	41.5	73.7	n/a	40.9	n/a	—		
Tests below standard (%)	45.2	36.8	29.3	37.9	36.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	F 12.7	F 6.0	F 18.5	M 0.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	5.1	6.4	4.0	4.9	—		

Sacré-Coeur [Catholic] Sudbury		OSSLT count: 111						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 28.8							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,800: -0.3		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
parents' avg. inc. of \$67,800: -0.3	Rank:	493/722	343/690	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.4	2.8	2.6	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.9	82.0	82.8	79.5	77.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	30.8	35.4	22.5	38.2	32.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.4	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.4	F 3.4	F 9.1	F 0.9	F 19.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	5.8	7.5	5.8	5.5	—		

Collingwood [Public] Collingwood		OSSLT count: 421						
ESL (%): 0.2	Special needs (%): 23.0							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,500: -0.3		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
parents' avg. inc. of \$68,500: -0.3	Rank:	493/722	419/690	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.0	1.8	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.6	86.8	81.4	82.7	80.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	69.8	59.0	59.7	59.2	50.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	32.8	27.6	32.9	32.3	32.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.4	F 10.5	F 5.3	F 4.6	F 2.4	▲		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.1	5.8	5.6	2.2	4.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.6	6.2	5.5	5.5	—		

Lockery [Public] Sudbury		OSSLT count: 231						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,200: 1.0		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
parents' avg. inc. of \$86,200: 1.0	Rank:	134/722	115/690	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	3.0	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.3	92.0	91.3	86.8	88.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	60.9	53.3	n/a	53.8	41.2	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	18.0	20.3	17.2	18.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.9	F 7.4	F 7.7	F 9.3	F 12.3	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	1.1	1.7	0.6	1.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.5	7.1	7.9	7.1	—		

St Charles [Catholic] Sudbury		OSSLT count: 271						
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 26.2							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,900: 0.2		2008-09	Last 5 Years					
parents' avg. inc. of \$64,900: 0.2	Rank:	419/722	299/690	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007</th					

Midland [Public] Midland		OSSLT count: 333						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 33.0	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,900:-1.4	Rank: 643/722	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.9	1.9	1.7	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	74.5	77.4	74.4	78.7	67.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	52.7	41.3	22.6	40.0	36.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	43.8	38.9	41.9	39.0	44.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.9	F 6.9	F 8.9	F 10.6	F 8.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.3	3.6	5.4	13.5	4.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.1	4.6	5.1	3.8	—		

St Theresa's [Catholic] Midland		OSSLT count: 318						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 24.5	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,400:-0.1	Rank: 509/722	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.2	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	79.7	73.8	85.5	78.7	82.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	77.1	55.8	55.9	51.9	55.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	43.4	39.6	38.7	38.8	35.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.3	M 0.4	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.9	F 13.1	F 3.2	F 20.2	F 3.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	6.6	2.9	0.9	4.2	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	4.6	5.0	3.9	5.4	—		

Marathon [Public] Marathon		OSSLT count: 53						
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,300:-1.3	Rank: 168/722	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.6	2.9	2.7	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	73.4	89.0	82.4	91.5	89.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	30.4	17.7	18.0	15.0	25.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	M 0.1	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 0.6	M 6.4	M 4.9	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	1.4	1.8	5.3	3.8	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	8.3	8.0	8.5	7.2	—		

Nantyr Shores [Public] Innisfil		OSSLT count: 450						
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 29.8	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,200:-1.2	Rank: 582/722	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.6	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	76.2	78.3	80.2	75.2	73.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.4	65.3	45.5	49.4	42.7	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	44.8	33.6	39.9	37.9	38.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 12.0	F 10.0	F 8.8	F 11.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	1.7	4.6	4.6	2.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.6	5.6	4.8	5.1	4.7	—		

St Thomas Aquinas [Catholic] Tottenham		OSSLT count: 240						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 18.3	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,200:-0.7	Rank: 493/722	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.2	1.9	2.1	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.2	80.3	81.0	85.8	83.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	85.4	64.7	58.3	50.0	50.0	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	34.8	32.0	34.5	31.6	31.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.0	F 17.3	F 13.4	F 12.5	F 6.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	1.6	1.7	3.4	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.5	5.2	5.8	5.5	—		

Bracebridge and Muskoka Lakes [Public] Bracebridge		OSSLT count: 290						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 18.6	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,800: 0.0	Rank: 445/722	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.0	81.8	84.2	80.7	82.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	78.0	36.1	69.1	37.9	55.8	—		
Tests below standard (%)	26.1	27.3	27.3	31.4	28.9	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.0	F 9.5	F 13.0	F 2.3	F 10.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.5	1.5	2.2	0.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	6.3	7.0	6.3	5.8	—		

Park Street [Public] Orillia		OSSLT count: 246						
ESL (%): 0.8	Special needs (%): 24.4	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,100: 0.3	Rank: 445/722	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.1	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.7	79.1	81.0	83.3	81.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.6	53.3	59.5	53.1	58.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	33.7	37.2	32.7	35.5	30.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	▼		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.5	F 10.5	F 13.2	F 15.3	F 7.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.9	10.4	6.6	8.1	2.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.0	6.4	6.6	5.2	—		

Twin Lakes [Public] Orillia		OSSLT count: 336						
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 32.7	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,700: 1.3	Rank: 307/722	2008-09		Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.8	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.6	▲		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.8	85.5	79.2	87.9	82.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.8	51.6	72.7	46.9	37.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	34.0	35.6	29.2	30.0	33.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math								

St Dominic [Catholic] Bracebridge		OSSLT count: 133					
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 29.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,900: -0.5	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Rank:	528/722	538/690					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.6	2.4	2.9	2.5	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.5	2.1	1.3	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	76.1	82.8	78.7	68.6	77.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	66.7	86.7	56.0	63.2	53.3	—	
Tests below standard (%)	41.8	28.2	36.5	34.5	31.6	—	
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.4	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.1	M 1.7	F 13.7	F 10.2	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	2.9	2.3	1.1	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	4.6	6.3	5.2	4.8	5.2	—	

Overseas

AFNORTH International [Private] Brunssum		OSSLT count: 37					
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Rank:	234/722	n/a					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.5	n/a	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	93.8	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	14.0	n/a	
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a	
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6.9	n/a	

Canadian School of India [Private] Bangalore		OSSLT count: 75					
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2008-09 Last 5 Years						
Rank:	480/722	n/a					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	3.0	3.0	2.8	n/a	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	90.0	69.6	80.3	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	96.2	84.2	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	7.6	20.9	19.8	n/a	
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 0.1	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 25.5	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	0.0	3.8	7.0	n/a	
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	10.0	7.8	5.6	n/a	

How does your school stack up?

Important notes to the rankings

In this table, schools are ranked (on the left side of the page) in descending order (from 1 to 713) according to their academic performance as measured by the *Overall rating out of 10* (shown on the right side of the table) for the school year 2008/2009. Each school's five-year average ranking and *Overall rating out of 10* are also listed. The higher the overall rating (out of 10), the higher the rank awarded to the school. Where schools tied in the overall rating, they were awarded the same rank. Where fewer than five years of data were available, "n/a" appears in the table.

Not all the province's secondary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. In order to be included, schools must have had, in the school year 2008/2009, at least 15 students who wrote either of the two versions of the grade-9 EQAO math test and at least 15 first-time-eligible or previously eligible writers of the

Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test. Private schools, including federally funded schools operated by the First Nations, are not required to administer the grade-9 EQAO tests. Since the results of these tests are a necessary component of this *Report Card*, only those private schools that both administered the EQAO tests and allowed the publication of their results could be included.

The exclusion of a school from the *Report Card* should not be considered a judgement of the school's effectiveness.

IMPORTANT — In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.

Provincial Rank	School name	City	Overall Rating	
2008 / Last 5 2009 years			2008 / Last 5 2009 years	2008 / Last 5 2009 years
1	1 London Central	London	9.6	9.6
2	103 Père-René-de-Galinée	Cambridge	9.5	7.7
3	3 Bayview	Richmond Hill	9.4	9.2
4	1 St Michael	Kemptville	9.3	9.6
5	5 Pierre Elliott Trudeau	Markham	9.2	9.1
5	10 Marymount	Sudbury	9.2	8.9
7	15 Oakville Trafalgar	Oakville	9.1	8.7
7	103 Cartwright	Blackstock	9.1	7.7
9	5 Unionville	Unionville	9.0	9.1
10	11 St Aloysius Gonzaga	Mississauga	8.8	8.8
10	22 Etobicoke School of the Arts	Toronto	8.8	8.5
10	22 Richmond Hill	Richmond Hill	8.8	8.5

Provincial Rank	School name	City	Overall Rating	
2008 / Last 5 2009 years			2008 / Last 5 2009 years	2008 / Last 5 2009 years
10	75 Middlefield	Markham	8.8	7.9
14	3 Cardinal Carter Academy for the Arts	Toronto	8.7	9.2
14	7 St Robert	Thornhill	8.7	9.0
14	11 Colonel By	Gloucester	8.7	8.8
14	11 North Toronto	Toronto	8.7	8.8
14	22 Marie-Rivier	Kingston	8.7	8.5
19	7 St Augustine	Markham	8.6	9.0
19	15 Earl of March	Kanata	8.6	8.7
19	29 Leaside	Toronto	8.6	8.3
19	n/a Bur Oak	Markham	8.6	n/a
23	42 Nepean	Ottawa	8.5	8.2
23	64 Thomas A Blakelock	Oakville	8.5	8.0

Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating		Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating	
2008 / Last 5 years				2008 / Last 5 years	2009	2008 / Last 5 years				2008 / Last 5 years	2009
23	75	Markham	Markham	8.5	7.9	65	64	Sir John A Macdonald	Waterloo	8.0	8.0
26	7	St Therese of Lisieux	Richmond Hill	8.4	9.0	65	87	Leamington	Leamington	8.0	7.8
26	11	Lisgar	Ottawa	8.4	8.8	65	115	Christ the King	Georgetown	8.0	7.6
26	18	Abbey Park	Oakville	8.4	8.6	65	152	I E Weldon	Lindsay	8.0	7.4
26	18	Centennial	Guelph	8.4	8.6	70	18	Richview	Toronto	7.9	8.6
26	29	Earl Haig	Toronto	8.4	8.3	70	22	Elmira	Elmira	7.9	8.5
26	29	Iroquois Ridge	Oakville	8.4	8.3	70	42	Bluevale	Waterloo	7.9	8.2
26	29	John Fraser	Mississauga	8.4	8.3	70	42	Langstaff	Richmond Hill	7.9	8.2
26	29	John McCrae	Nepean	8.4	8.3	70	54	Agincourt	Toronto	7.9	8.1
26	54	Guelph	Guelph	8.4	8.1	70	64	Markville	Markham	7.9	8.0
26	64	Gisèle-Lalonde	Orléans	8.4	8.0	70	75	Listowel	Listowel	7.9	7.9
26	64	St Elizabeth	Thornhill	8.4	8.0	70	75	The Woodlands	Mississauga	7.9	7.9
26	173	Mary Ward	Toronto	8.4	7.3	70	87	Sacred Heart	Newmarket	7.9	7.8
26	n/a	Richmond Green	Richmond Hill	8.4	n/a	70	135	Cardinal Newman	Stoney Creek	7.9	7.5
39	15	Stratford Central	Stratford	8.3	8.7	70	135	Forest Hill	Toronto	7.9	7.5
39	29	Lorne Park	Mississauga	8.3	8.3	70	358	Louis-Riel	Gloucester	7.9	6.3
39	42	Nelson	Burlington	8.3	8.2	82	27	St Marcellinus	Mississauga	7.8	8.4
39	103	All Saints	Kanata	8.3	7.7	82	54	Michael Power/St Joseph	Toronto	7.8	8.1
39	103	Chesley	Chesley	8.3	7.7	82	54	Sinclair	Whitby	7.8	8.1
39	237	St Theresa	Belleville	8.3	7.0	82	75	St Thomas Aquinas	London	7.8	7.9
45	18	West Carleton	Dunrobin	8.2	8.6	82	87	Loretto Abbey	Toronto	7.8	7.8
45	29	A B Lucas	London	8.2	8.3	82	87	Newmarket	Newmarket	7.8	7.8
45	42	Ursula Franklin	Toronto	8.2	8.2	82	87	W A Porter	Toronto	7.8	7.8
45	54	Humberside	Toronto	8.2	8.1	82	115	South Carleton	Richmond	7.8	7.6
45	54	Regiopolis/Notre-Dame	Kingston	8.2	8.1	82	115	St Anne	Tecumseh	7.8	7.6
45	103	Neil McNeil	Toronto	8.2	7.7	82	215	Milton	Milton	7.8	7.1
45	115	St Ignatius of Loyola	Oakville	8.2	7.6	82	237	Haliburton Highland	Haliburton	7.8	7.0
45	135	St Joseph	Nepean	8.2	7.5	82	271	St Joseph's	Cornwall	7.8	6.8
45	152	Holy Trinity	Kanata	8.2	7.4	94	29	Cawthra Park	Mississauga	7.7	8.3
45	173	Malvern	Toronto	8.2	7.3	94	42	Aurora	Aurora	7.7	8.2
55	22	Garneau	Gloucester	8.1	8.5	94	42	Sandwich	LaSalle	7.7	8.2
55	27	Lawrence Park	Toronto	8.1	8.4	94	64	R H King	Toronto	7.7	8.0
55	29	Mayfield	Caledon	8.1	8.3	94	75	Waterloo	Waterloo	7.7	7.9
55	42	St Marys	St Marys	8.1	8.2	94	87	Vincent Massey	Windsor	7.7	7.8
55	54	Northern	Sarnia	8.1	8.1	94	115	Westmount	Thornhill	7.7	7.6
55	75	Aldershot	Burlington	8.1	7.9	94	135	Westdale	Hamilton	7.7	7.5
55	103	Greenwood	Toronto	8.1	7.7	94	237	Jean Vanier	Collingwood	7.7	7.0
55	103	Thornhill	Thornhill	8.1	7.7	94	237	La Citadelle	Cornwall	7.7	7.0
55	n/a	Bill Crothers	Unionville	8.1	n/a	94	343	Renaissance	Aurora	7.7	6.4
55	n/a	Corpus Christi	Burlington	8.1	n/a	105	29	Belle River	Belle River	7.6	8.3
65	29	Brockville	Brockville	8.0	8.3	105	29	Glenforest	Mississauga	7.6	8.3

Provincial Rank School name				Overall Rating		Provincial Rank School name				Overall Rating	
2008 / Last 5 2009 years				2008 / Last 5 2009 years		2008 / Last 5 2009 years				2008 / Last 5 2009 years	
105	54	Sir Robert Borden	Nepean	7.6	8.1	134	271	Banting Memorial	Alliston	7.4	6.8
105	64	Lester B Pearson	Burlington	7.6	8.0	134	271	Rosedale Heights School of the Arts	Toronto	7.4	6.8
105	64	St Benedict	Sudbury	7.6	8.0	134	324	Peninsula Shores District	Wiarton	7.4	6.5
105	64	St Peter's	Peterborough	7.6	8.0	134	380	St Paul	Trenton	7.4	6.2
105	87	Frontenac	Kingston	7.6	7.8	134	489	Saint-Charles-Garnier	Whitby	7.4	5.6
105	87	Oakridge	London	7.6	7.8	134	n/a	Islamic Foundation	Toronto	7.4	n/a
105	115	Bishop Allen	Toronto	7.6	7.6	134	n/a	St Michael's Choir (Sr)	Toronto	7.4	n/a
105	135	Br André	Markham	7.6	7.5	155	64	Central Elgin	St Thomas	7.3	8.0
105	152	Gordon Graydon Memorial	Mississauga	7.6	7.4	155	75	Stratford Northwestern	Stratford	7.3	7.9
105	215	Martingrove	Toronto	7.6	7.1	155	115	Our Lady of Mount Carmel	Mississauga	7.3	7.6
105	380	Jeanne-Lajoie	Pembroke	7.6	6.2	155	135	A N Myer	Niagara Falls	7.3	7.5
105	503	Englehart	Englehart	7.6	5.5	155	135	Cardinal Carter	Aurora	7.3	7.5
119	42	Canterbury	Ottawa	7.5	8.2	155	135	Kingston	Kingston	7.3	7.5
119	87	Assumption	Burlington	7.5	7.8	155	152	Brebeuf	Toronto	7.3	7.4
119	103	Saint Paul	Niagara Falls	7.5	7.7	155	152	Preston	Cambridge	7.3	7.4
119	115	Holy Trinity	Oakville	7.5	7.6	155	215	Kincardine	Kincardine	7.3	7.1
119	115	St Peter	Orléans	7.5	7.6	155	258	King City	King City	7.3	6.9
119	115	Waterdown	Waterdown	7.5	7.6	155	271	Immaculata	Ottawa	7.3	6.8
119	152	Essex	Essex	7.5	7.4	155	299	Streetsville	Mississauga	7.3	6.6
119	152	St Michael	Stratford	7.5	7.4	155	358	Father John Redmond	Toronto	7.3	6.3
119	173	Ancaster	Ancaster	7.5	7.3	168	75	Mother Teresa	London	7.2	7.9
119	173	Donald A. Wilson	Whitby	7.5	7.3	168	87	Marathon	Marathon	7.2	7.8
119	173	Dr Norman Bethune	Toronto	7.5	7.3	168	103	Rideau	Elgin	7.2	7.7
119	199	White Oaks	Oakville	7.5	7.2	168	152	Erin	Erin	7.2	7.4
119	215	Sacred Heart	Stittsville	7.5	7.1	168	152	Étienne-Brûlé	Toronto	7.2	7.4
119	299	Collège français	Toronto	7.5	6.6	168	152	Holy Trinity	Simcoe	7.2	7.4
119	n/a	Huron Heights	Kitchener	7.5	n/a	168	152	Uxbridge	Uxbridge	7.2	7.4
134	54	De La Salle	Ottawa	7.4	8.1	168	215	Nicholson	Belleville	7.2	7.1
134	75	William Lyon Mackenzie	Toronto	7.4	7.9	168	237	Emily Carr	Woodbridge	7.2	7.0
134	87	Bishop Tonnos	Ancaster	7.4	7.8	168	237	Harbord	Toronto	7.2	7.0
134	87	Medway	Arva	7.4	7.8	168	237	Kingsville	Kingsville	7.2	7.0
134	115	Lockerby	Sudbury	7.4	7.6	168	258	Philip Pocock	Mississauga	7.2	6.9
134	115	Westmount	Hamilton	7.4	7.6	168	271	Cameron Heights	Kitchener	7.2	6.8
134	152	Port Credit	Mississauga	7.4	7.4	168	358	Robert Bateman	Burlington	7.2	6.3
134	152	St. Thomas Aquinas	Russell	7.4	7.4	168	396	Father Leo J Austin	Whitby	7.2	6.1
134	173	E L Crossley	Fonthill	7.4	7.3	168	n/a	Delphi Secondary Alternative	Toronto	7.2	n/a
134	199	Thornlea	Thornhill	7.4	7.2	184	42	North Middlesex	Parkhill	7.1	8.2
134	199	Vaughan	Thornhill	7.4	7.2	184	42	Notre Dame	Toronto	7.1	8.2
134	215	St Martin	Mississauga	7.4	7.1	184	54	de Casselman	Casselman	7.1	8.1
134	237	Charlottenburgh and Lancaster	Williamstown	7.4	7.0	184	75	Notre Dame	Burlington	7.1	7.9
134	258	St Joseph's	Toronto	7.4	6.9	184	87	Our Lady of Lourdes	Guelph	7.1	7.8

Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating		Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating	
2008 / Last 5 years				2008 / Last 5 years	2009	2008 / Last 5 years				2008 / Last 5 years	2009
184	87	St Christopher	Sarnia	7.1	7.8	212	438	General Panet	Petawawa	7.0	5.9
184	87	Waterloo-Oxford	Baden	7.1	7.8	212	458	Bradford	Bradford	7.0	5.8
184	103	A.Y. Jackson	Kanata	7.1	7.7	212	n/a	St. Joan of Arc	Mississauga	7.0	n/a
184	103	Parkside	Dundas	7.1	7.7	212	n/a	Stephen Lewis	Mississauga	7.0	n/a
184	103	St Francis	St Catharines	7.1	7.7	234	152	Bayside	Belleville	6.9	7.4
184	115	Merivale	Nepean	7.1	7.6	234	152	St Mark	Manotick	6.9	7.4
184	115	Sir Frederick Banting	London	7.1	7.6	234	173	Southwood	Cambridge	6.9	7.3
184	135	Arnprior	Arnprior	7.1	7.5	234	199	Bishop Ryan	Hamilton	6.9	7.2
184	135	Glebe	Ottawa	7.1	7.5	234	215	Seaway	Iroquois	6.9	7.1
184	135	Lo-Ellen Park	Sudbury	7.1	7.5	234	215	Thousand Islands	Brockville	6.9	7.1
184	152	Rick Hansen	Mississauga	7.1	7.4	234	237	Holy Cross	Kingston	6.9	7.0
184	152	St Joseph's	St Thomas	7.1	7.4	234	237	St Mary's	Hamilton	6.9	7.0
184	173	St Francis Xavier	Mississauga	7.1	7.3	234	258	Franco-Cité	Ottawa	6.9	6.9
184	173	St Matthew	Orléans	7.1	7.3	234	258	St Joseph	Mississauga	6.9	6.9
184	199	Mother Teresa	Nepean	7.1	7.2	234	271	Sydenham	Sydenham	6.9	6.8
184	199	Sir Winston Churchill	St Catharines	7.1	7.2	234	286	Samuel-Genest	Ottawa	6.9	6.7
184	237	Glengarry	Alexandria	7.1	7.0	234	299	St Thomas Aquinas	Oakville	6.9	6.6
184	237	Peterborough	Peterborough	7.1	7.0	234	324	Huntsville	Huntsville	6.9	6.5
184	271	Sacred Heart	Walkerton	7.1	6.8	234	324	Sainte-Marie	New Liskeard	6.9	6.5
184	324	Bishop Paul Francis Reding	Milton	7.1	6.5	234	343	Holy Trinity	Cornwall	6.9	6.4
184	419	Blenheim	Blenheim	7.1	6.0	234	560	Central Algoma	Desbarats	6.9	5.0
184	419	Holy Cross	St Catharines	7.1	6.0	234	n/a	AFNORTH International	Brunssum	6.9	n/a
184	458	Jean Vanier	Toronto	7.1	5.8	252	64	I'Essor	Tecumseh	6.8	8.0
212	87	Eden	St Catharines	7.0	7.8	252	115	Don Mills	Toronto	6.8	7.6
212	115	Strathroy District	Strathroy	7.0	7.6	252	115	Sir Wilfrid Laurier	Orléans	6.8	7.6
212	135	Rockland	Rockland	7.0	7.5	252	135	Bishop Macdonell	Guelph	6.8	7.5
212	135	St Anne's	Clinton	7.0	7.5	252	135	Sir John A Macdonald	Toronto	6.8	7.5
212	152	John F Ross	Guelph	7.0	7.4	252	173	Erindale	Mississauga	6.8	7.3
212	173	Highland	Dundas	7.0	7.3	252	173	General Amherst	Amherstburg	6.8	7.3
212	173	Ingersoll District	Ingersoll	7.0	7.3	252	199	Holy Cross	Strathroy	6.8	7.2
212	173	Lindsay	Lindsay	7.0	7.3	252	199	Resurrection	Kitchener	6.8	7.2
212	173	St Mary's	Brockville	7.0	7.3	252	215	Saugeen	Port Elgin	6.8	7.1
212	215	Northern	Toronto	7.0	7.1	252	215	St James	Guelph	6.8	7.1
212	215	Renfrew	Renfrew	7.0	7.1	252	215	Thomas A Stewart	Peterborough	6.8	7.1
212	215	Sir Oliver Mowat	Toronto	7.0	7.1	252	299	Stouffville District	Stouffville	6.8	6.6
212	215	St John	Perth	7.0	7.1	252	324	Goderich District	Goderich	6.8	6.5
212	258	St Pius X	Ottawa	7.0	6.9	252	343	Cardinal Newman	Toronto	6.8	6.4
212	271	Orchard Park	Stoney Creek	7.0	6.8	252	458	Holy Trinity	Courtice	6.8	5.8
212	299	Fenelon Falls	Fenelon Falls	7.0	6.6	252	503	Athens	Athens	6.8	5.5
212	299	St Mary's	Cobourg	7.0	6.6	269	115	Béatrice-Desloges	Orléans	6.7	7.6
212	324	Maple	Maple	7.0	6.5	269	115	L'Escale	Rockland	6.7	7.6

Provincial Rank School name				Overall Rating		Provincial Rank School name				Overall Rating	
2008 / Last 5 2009 years				2008 / Last 5 2009 years		2008 / Last 5 2009 years				2008 / Last 5 2009 years	
269	173	Lambton Central	Petrolia	6.7	7.3	307	199	Grand River	Kitchener	6.5	7.2
269	173	Orangeville	Orangeville	6.7	7.3	307	199	London South	London	6.5	7.2
269	199	Burlington Central	Burlington	6.7	7.2	307	199	Saunders	London	6.5	7.2
269	215	Centre Wellington	Fergus	6.7	7.1	307	215	MacKenzie	Deep River	6.5	7.1
269	215	Our Lady of the Lake	Keswick	6.7	7.1	307	215	Osgoode Township	Metcalf	6.5	7.1
269	237	Norwich	Norwich	6.7	7.0	307	237	Iona	Mississauga	6.5	7.0
269	258	Milliken Mills	Unionville	6.7	6.9	307	271	North Park	Brantford	6.5	6.8
269	286	Alexander MacKenzie	Richmond Hill	6.7	6.7	307	286	Eastview	Barrie	6.5	6.7
269	286	Meadowvale	Mississauga	6.7	6.7	307	299	Catholic Central	London	6.5	6.6
269	299	Blessed Trinity	Grimsby	6.7	6.6	307	324	Father Michael McGivney	Markham	6.5	6.5
269	299	Dr G W Williams	Aurora	6.7	6.6	307	343	Georgetown	Georgetown	6.5	6.4
269	299	Elliot Lake	Elliot Lake	6.7	6.6	307	380	Applewood Heights	Mississauga	6.5	6.2
269	299	Port Perry	Port Perry	6.7	6.6	307	396	Sainte-Famille	Mississauga	6.5	6.1
269	343	Woodbridge	Woodbridge	6.7	6.4	307	419	Victoria Park	Toronto	6.5	6.0
269	358	North Dundas	Chesterville	6.7	6.3	307	489	Monsignor Paul Dwyer	Oshawa	6.5	5.6
269	358	St Patrick	Thunder Bay	6.7	6.3	307	503	Twin Lakes	Orillia	6.5	5.5
269	473	Riverdale	Toronto	6.7	5.7	307	580	Thorold	Thorold	6.5	4.8
269	489	Lambton Kent	Dresden	6.7	5.6	329	135	F E Madill	Wingham	6.4	7.5
269	n/a	Mississauga	Mississauga	6.7	n/a	329	135	Parkside	St Thomas	6.4	7.5
269	n/a	Stephen Lewis	Thornhill	6.7	n/a	329	199	North Grenville	Kemptville	6.4	7.2
291	152	M M Robinson	Burlington	6.6	7.4	329	237	Chippewa	North Bay	6.4	7.0
291	173	Bell	Nepean	6.6	7.3	329	237	Laura Secord	St Catharines	6.4	7.0
291	173	St Ignatius	Thunder Bay	6.6	7.3	329	237	Mitchell	Mitchell	6.4	7.0
291	215	St Mary's	Woodstock	6.6	7.1	329	258	Grimsby	Grimsby	6.4	6.9
291	237	Franco-Ouest	Nepean	6.6	7.0	329	258	Korah	Sault Ste. Marie	6.4	6.9
291	271	Assumption	Brantford	6.6	6.8	329	258	Paris	Paris	6.4	6.9
291	324	Marshall McLuhan	Toronto	6.6	6.5	329	271	St Thomas More	Hamilton	6.4	6.8
291	324	Sir James Dunn	Sault Ste. Marie	6.6	6.5	329	286	Centre Dufferin	Shelburne	6.4	6.7
291	324	St Joseph's	Windsor	6.6	6.5	329	299	Albert Campbell	Toronto	6.4	6.6
291	343	Loyalist	Kingston	6.6	6.4	329	299	Rainy River	Rainy River	6.4	6.6
291	358	Vankleek Hill	Vankleek Hill	6.6	6.3	329	299	Ursuline (The Pines)	Chatham	6.4	6.6
291	380	Fellowes	Pembroke	6.6	6.2	329	343	John Cabot	Mississauga	6.4	6.4
291	380	Gloucester	Gloucester	6.6	6.2	329	358	Ernestown	Odessa	6.4	6.3
291	396	HammarSKjold	Thunder Bay	6.6	6.1	329	358	F J Brennan	Windsor	6.4	6.3
291	419	Turner Fenton	Brampton	6.6	6.0	329	419	St Francis Xavier	Hammond	6.4	6.0
291	438	Norwell	Palmerston	6.6	5.9	329	489	St Mary	Pickering	6.4	5.6
307	115	Sir William Mulock	Newmarket	6.5	7.6	329	503	Quinte	Belleville	6.4	5.5
307	173	Hillcrest	Ottawa	6.5	7.3	329	550	Blessed Mother Teresa	Toronto	6.4	5.1
307	173	Loyola	Mississauga	6.5	7.3	350	75	Hearst	Hearst	6.3	7.9
307	173	Pain Court	Pain Court	6.5	7.3	350	173	Anderson	Whitby	6.3	7.3
307	199	Collège Notre-Dame	Sudbury	6.5	7.2	350	237	St Thomas Aquinas	Kenora	6.3	7.0

Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating		Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating	
2008 / Last 5 years				2008 / Last 5 years	2009	2008 / Last 5 years				2008 / Last 5 years	2009
350	271	South Lincoln	Smithville	6.3	6.8	385	438	Bayridge	Kingston	6.1	5.9
350	286	St Mary's	Kitchener	6.3	6.7	385	458	Bear Creek	Barrie	6.1	5.8
350	299	Father Bressani	Woodbridge	6.3	6.6	385	458	St Joan of Arc	Maple	6.1	5.8
350	324	Father Michael Goetz	Mississauga	6.3	6.5	385	503	Theriault	Timmins	6.1	5.5
350	343	Newtonbrook	Toronto	6.3	6.4	385	521	Hill Park	Hamilton	6.1	5.4
350	358	North Park	Brampton	6.3	6.3	385	572	Woodroffe	Ottawa	6.1	4.9
350	380	Barrie North	Barrie	6.3	6.2	385	622	Cathedral	Hamilton	6.1	4.1
350	380	Saltfleet	Stoney Creek	6.3	6.2	385	n/a	St Jean de Brebeuf	Woodbridge	6.1	n/a
350	396	Notre Dame	Ajax	6.3	6.1	402	42	Holy Name of Mary	Mississauga	6.0	8.2
350	396	St Patrick's	Sarnia	6.3	6.1	402	173	Harrow	Harrow	6.0	7.3
350	419	Etobicoke	Toronto	6.3	6.0	402	286	South Huron	Exeter	6.0	6.7
350	438	Galt	Cambridge	6.3	5.9	402	299	Huron Park	Woodstock	6.0	6.6
350	503	Henry Street	Whitby	6.3	5.5	402	324	Woburn	Toronto	6.0	6.5
366	29	Embrun	Embrun	6.2	8.3	402	358	Cité des Jeunes	Kapuskasing	6.0	6.3
366	152	Acton	Acton	6.2	7.4	402	358	Madawaska Valley	Barry's Bay	6.0	6.3
366	215	St David	Waterloo	6.2	7.1	402	380	Monsignor Doyle	Cambridge	6.0	6.2
366	237	O'Neill	Oshawa	6.2	7.0	402	380	Notre Dame	Welland	6.0	6.2
366	271	Central Huron	Clinton	6.2	6.8	402	380	St Mary's	Sault Ste. Marie	6.0	6.2
366	286	Crestwood	Peterborough	6.2	6.7	402	396	Chatham-Kent	Chatham	6.0	6.1
366	286	Orillia	Orillia	6.2	6.7	402	396	Woodstock	Woodstock	6.0	6.1
366	286	St Joseph's	Renfrew	6.2	6.7	402	438	Cardinal Leger	Brampton	6.0	5.9
366	324	All Saints	Whitby	6.2	6.5	402	438	St Augustine	Brampton	6.0	5.9
366	324	Carleton Place	Carleton Place	6.2	6.5	402	473	Archbishop Denis O'Connor	Ajax	6.0	5.7
366	324	Holy Names	Windsor	6.2	6.5	402	473	Wellington Heights	Mount Forest	6.0	5.7
366	324	Northview Heights	Toronto	6.2	6.5	402	530	Oshawa Central	Oshawa	6.0	5.3
366	358	North Lambton	Forest	6.2	6.3	419	173	Algonquin	North Bay	5.9	7.3
366	380	St Jean de Brebeuf	Hamilton	6.2	6.2	419	173	Centennial	Belleville	5.9	7.3
366	438	Clarkson	Mississauga	6.2	5.9	419	215	Dr John M Denison	Newmarket	5.9	7.1
366	458	St Marguerite d'Youville	Brampton	6.2	5.8	419	237	Lord Dorchester	Dorchester	5.9	7.0
366	503	Elmvale	Elmvale	6.2	5.5	419	258	West Hill	Owen Sound	5.9	6.9
366	530	Chaminade	Toronto	6.2	5.3	419	299	E C Drury	Milton	5.9	6.6
366	530	Tagwi	Avonmore	6.2	5.3	419	299	St Charles	Sudbury	5.9	6.6
385	199	Cobourg District CI West	Cobourg	6.1	7.2	419	324	Deslauriers	Nepean	5.9	6.5
385	271	St Mary's	Owen Sound	6.1	6.8	419	324	Riverside	Windsor	5.9	6.5
385	271	The Humberview	Bolton	6.1	6.8	419	343	East Northumberland	Brighton	5.9	6.4
385	286	Westside	Orangeville	6.1	6.7	419	358	Glencoe	Glencoe	5.9	6.3
385	358	Delhi	Delhi	6.1	6.3	419	358	St Josephs Morrow Park	Toronto	5.9	6.3
385	396	L'Amoreaux	Toronto	6.1	6.1	419	396	Silverthorn	Toronto	5.9	6.1
385	396	St Joseph's	Barrie	6.1	6.1	419	396	West Park	St Catharines	5.9	6.1
385	419	Courtice	Courtice	6.1	6.0	419	458	Forest Heights	Kitchener	5.9	5.8
385	419	Sherwood	Hamilton	6.1	6.0	419	473	Espanola	Espanola	5.9	5.7

Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating		Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating	
2008 / Last 5 years				2008 / Last 5 years	2009	2008 / Last 5 years				2008 / Last 5 years	2009
419	473	Jarvis	Toronto	5.9	5.7	466	473	St Stephen's	Bowmanville	5.7	5.7
419	473	Monseigneur-de-Charbonnel	Toronto	5.9	5.7	466	521	Lester B Pearson	Toronto	5.7	5.4
419	538	Stephen Leacock	Toronto	5.9	5.2	466	550	Eastdale	Oshawa	5.7	5.1
419	538	Westgate	Thunder Bay	5.9	5.2	466	572	Hillcrest	Thunder Bay	5.7	4.9
419	550	Lester B Pearson	Gloucester	5.9	5.1	480	324	St Paul	Mississauga	5.6	6.5
419	580	H B Beal	London	5.9	4.8	480	343	Governor Simcoe	St Catharines	5.6	6.4
419	591	Sir Allan MacNab	Hamilton	5.9	4.6	480	380	Prince Edward	Picton	5.6	6.2
419	667	James Cardinal McGuigan	Toronto	5.9	2.6	480	419	Sir Winston Churchill	Thunder Bay	5.6	6.0
419	n/a	Jeunes sans frontières	Brampton	5.9	n/a	480	458	Regina Mundi	London	5.6	5.8
419	n/a	Sandalwood Heights	Brampton	5.9	n/a	480	473	Iroquois Falls	Iroquois Falls	5.6	5.7
445	199	Notre Dame	Carleton Place	5.8	7.2	480	473	St Thomas Aquinas	Brampton	5.6	5.7
445	258	St Joseph-Scollard Hall	North Bay	5.8	6.9	480	521	Manitoulin	M'Chigeeng	5.6	5.4
445	258	St Thomas of Villanova	LaSalle	5.8	6.9	480	521	Port Hope	Port Hope	5.6	5.4
445	299	Adam Scott	Peterborough	5.8	6.6	480	538	Assumption	Windsor	5.6	5.2
445	299	Bracebridge and Muskoka Lakes	Bracebridge	5.8	6.6	480	560	Notre Dame	Brampton	5.6	5.0
445	299	Hawkesbury	Hawkesbury	5.8	6.6	480	n/a	Canadian School of India	Bangalore	5.6	n/a
445	358	Holy Cross	Peterborough	5.8	6.3	480	n/a	Clarington Central	Bowmanville	5.6	n/a
445	358	Le Relais	Alexandria	5.8	6.3	493	343	Dryden	Dryden	5.5	6.4
445	358	Robert F Hall	Caledon East	5.8	6.3	493	343	Sacré-Coeur	Sudbury	5.5	6.4
445	380	Saint Michael	Niagara Falls	5.8	6.2	493	380	St Paul	Ottawa	5.5	6.2
445	396	Fort Frances	Fort Frances	5.8	6.1	493	419	Brantford	Brantford	5.5	6.0
445	396	Georgian Bay	Meadford	5.8	6.1	493	419	Collingwood	Collingwood	5.5	6.0
445	396	Opeongo	Douglas	5.8	6.1	493	438	I'Horizon	Val Caron	5.5	5.9
445	396	Senator O'Connor	Toronto	5.8	6.1	493	438	R S McLaughlin	Oshawa	5.5	5.9
445	396	St Benedict	Cambridge	5.8	6.1	493	458	Francis Libermann	Toronto	5.5	5.8
445	419	Ajax	Ajax	5.8	6.0	493	473	Hon W C Kennedy	Windsor	5.5	5.7
445	419	Brampton Centennial	Brampton	5.8	6.0	493	473	Port Colborne	Port Colborne	5.5	5.7
445	419	Owen Sound	Owen Sound	5.8	6.0	493	473	Sir Wilfrid Laurier	London	5.5	5.7
445	438	North Hastings	Bancroft	5.8	5.9	493	489	College Avenue	Woodstock	5.5	5.6
445	458	Wexford Collegiate School for the Arts	Toronto	5.8	5.8	493	489	Red Lake	Red Lake	5.5	5.6
445	473	Park Street	Orillia	5.8	5.7	493	489	St Edmund Campion	Brampton	5.5	5.6
466	286	John Paul II	London	5.7	6.7	493	503	Eastwood	Kitchener	5.5	5.5
466	299	St John's	Brantford	5.7	6.6	493	503	St Thomas Aquinas	Tottenham	5.5	5.5
466	380	Holy Trinity	Bradford	5.7	6.2	509	152	Almonte	Almonte	5.4	7.4
466	380	Simcoe	Simcoe	5.7	6.2	509	343	John Diefenbaker	Hanover	5.4	6.4
466	396	Dunbarton	Pickering	5.7	6.1	509	343	Widdifield	North Bay	5.4	6.4
466	396	Westlane	Niagara Falls	5.7	6.1	509	419	Centennial	Welland	5.4	6.0
466	419	Notre Dame	Ottawa	5.7	6.0	509	419	Walkerton	Walkerton	5.4	6.0
466	438	Holy Cross	Woodbridge	5.7	5.9	509	503	E.J.Lajeunesse	Windsor	5.4	5.5
466	473	Brookfield	Ottawa	5.7	5.7	509	560	Pope John Paul II	Toronto	5.4	5.0
466	473	East Elgin	Aylmer	5.7	5.7	509	580	St Basil The Great	Toronto	5.4	4.8

Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating		Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating	
2008 / Last 5 years				2008 / Last 5 years	2009	2008 / Last 5 years				2008 / Last 5 years	2009
509	588	St Theresa's	Midland	5.4	4.7	555	438	Cairine Wilson	Ottawa	5.0	5.9
509	591	Thomas L Kennedy	Mississauga	5.4	4.6	555	489	Perth and District	Perth	5.0	5.6
509	660	Bishop Marrocco/Thomas Merton	Toronto	5.4	3.0	555	503	Bowmanville	Bowmanville	5.0	5.5
520	286	Jacob Hespeler	Cambridge	5.3	6.7	555	503	Campbellford	Campbellford	5.0	5.5
520	438	Vaughan Road	Toronto	5.3	5.9	555	503	White Pines	Sault Ste. Marie	5.0	5.5
520	458	Jean-Vanier	Welland	5.3	5.8	555	538	Barrie Central	Barrie	5.0	5.2
520	521	Huron Heights	Newmarket	5.3	5.4	555	538	Harold M. Brathwaite	Brampton	5.0	5.2
520	560	Penetanguishene	Penetanguishene	5.3	5.0	555	572	L'Héritage	Cornwall	5.0	4.9
520	560	Waterford	Waterford	5.3	5.0	555	580	St Thomas Aquinas	Lindsay	5.0	4.8
520	601	W C Eaket	Blind River	5.3	4.5	555	676	Monarch Park	Toronto	5.0	2.0
520	633	Oakwood	Toronto	5.3	3.8	555	n/a	Gabriel-Dumont	London	5.0	n/a
528	299	Franco-Cité	Sturgeon Falls	5.2	6.6	569	396	Bishop Alexander Carter	Hammer	4.9	6.1
528	358	McKinnon Park	Caledonia	5.2	6.3	569	438	Cobourg District CI East	Cobourg	4.9	5.9
528	438	Heart Lake	Brampton	5.2	5.9	569	489	Marc Garneau	Toronto	4.9	5.6
528	438	Patrick Fogarty	Orillia	5.2	5.9	569	503	Le Sommet	Hawkesbury	4.9	5.5
528	458	Pickering	Ajax	5.2	5.8	569	550	Dante Alighieri	Toronto	4.9	5.1
528	489	Denis Morris	St Catharines	5.2	5.6	569	550	Lasalle	Sudbury	4.9	5.1
528	489	South Grenville	Prescott	5.2	5.6	569	572	Glendale	Hamilton	4.9	4.9
528	489	Wallaceburg	Wallaceburg	5.2	5.6	569	572	Lively	Lively	4.9	4.9
528	503	Innisdale	Barrie	5.2	5.5	569	572	Pine Ridge	Pickering	4.9	4.9
528	503	St Clair	Sarnia	5.2	5.5	569	601	Confederation	Val Caron	4.9	4.5
528	538	St Dominic	Bracebridge	5.2	5.2	579	419	Kitchener Waterloo	Kitchener	4.8	6.0
528	550	Pauline Johnson	Brantford	5.2	5.1	579	580	St Patrick's	Ottawa	4.8	4.8
528	n/a	Fletcher's Meadow	Brampton	5.2	n/a	579	n/a	Russel High	Russell	4.8	n/a
541	358	Gravenhurst	Gravenhurst	5.1	6.3	582	438	Moira	Belleville	4.7	5.9
541	358	Timiskaming District	New Liskeard	5.1	6.3	582	438	Ridgeway-Crystal Beach	Ridgeway	4.7	5.9
541	458	Lakeshore	Port Colborne	5.1	5.8	582	489	Glenview Park	Cambridge	4.7	5.6
541	489	Stayner	Stayner	5.1	5.6	582	521	Sarnia	Sarnia	4.7	5.4
541	503	Cardinal Carter	Leamington	5.1	5.5	582	560	Bramalea	Brampton	4.7	5.0
541	503	Westminster	London	5.1	5.5	582	560	Nantyr Shores	Innisfil	4.7	5.0
541	521	Plantagenet	Plantagenet	5.1	5.4	582	591	Birchmount Park	Toronto	4.7	4.6
541	538	Keswick	Keswick	5.1	5.2	582	591	Cedarae	Toronto	4.7	4.6
541	538	St Joan of Arc	Barrie	5.1	5.2	582	591	Timmins	Timmins	4.7	4.6
541	572	Barton	Hamilton	5.1	4.9	582	614	Parkdale	Toronto	4.7	4.3
541	580	Sudbury	Sudbury	5.1	4.8	582	617	Le Caron	Penetanguishene	4.7	4.2
541	588	Monsignor John Pereyma	Oshawa	5.1	4.7	593	458	Trenton	Trenton	4.6	5.8
541	601	Sir Wilfrid Laurier	Toronto	5.1	4.5	593	530	Chinguacousy	Brampton	4.6	5.3
541	611	St Peter's	Barrie	5.1	4.4	593	538	Geraldton	Geraldton	4.6	5.2
555	396	Bishop Smith	Pembroke	5.0	6.1	593	560	Beamsville	Beamsville	4.6	5.0
555	396	Port Dover	Port Dover	5.0	6.1	593	560	Nouvelle-Alliance	Barrie	4.6	5.0
555	396	St Basil	Sault Ste. Marie	5.0	6.1	593	591	Dunnville	Dunnville	4.6	4.6

Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating		Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating	
2008 / Last 5 years				2008 / Last 5 years	2009	2008 / Last 5 years				2008 / Last 5 years	2009
593	642	Ascension of Our Lord	Mississauga	4.6	3.6	640	550	Beaver Brae	Kenora	3.9	5.1
593	657	Monsignor Percy Johnson	Toronto	4.6	3.1	640	560	West Ferris	North Bay	3.9	5.0
601	299	Nouveau Regard-Pavillon Jeunesse Nord	Cochrane	4.5	6.6	640	601	Lake Superior	Terrace Bay	3.9	4.5
601	358	Cayuga	Cayuga	4.5	6.3	643	572	John L Forster	Windsor	3.8	4.9
601	458	Smiths Falls District	Smiths Falls	4.5	5.8	643	580	Midland	Midland	3.8	4.8
601	538	Gananoque	Gananoque	4.5	5.2	643	591	G L Roberts	Oshawa	3.8	4.6
601	591	W F Herman	Windsor	4.5	4.6	643	601	Lincoln M Alexander	Mississauga	3.8	4.5
601	638	Weston	Toronto	4.5	3.7	643	611	George S Henry	Toronto	3.8	4.4
607	343	Centre Hastings	Madoc	4.4	6.4	643	627	Ridgemont	Ottawa	3.8	4.0
607	473	Brock	Cannington	4.4	5.7	643	642	West Elgin	West Lorne	3.8	3.6
607	538	Niagara	Niagara-on-the-Lake	4.4	5.2	643	649	Delta	Hamilton	3.8	3.4
607	550	Montcalm	London	4.4	5.1	651	654	Lakeshore	Toronto	3.7	3.3
607	614	York Memorial	Toronto	4.4	4.3	651	671	St Patrick	Toronto	3.7	2.3
607	638	Runnymede	Toronto	4.4	3.7	653	419	Clarke	Newcastle	3.6	6.0
607	647	Bawating	Sault Ste. Marie	4.4	3.5	653	438	Atikokan	Atikokan	3.6	5.9
614	438	Ridgetown	Ridgetown	4.3	5.9	653	521	Lakefield	Lakefield	3.6	5.4
614	530	Grey Highlands	Flesherton	4.3	5.3	653	530	Almaguin Highlands	South River	3.6	5.3
614	550	Parry Sound	Parry Sound	4.3	5.1	653	560	Cochrane	Cochrane	3.6	5.0
614	580	Central Peel	Brampton	4.3	4.8	653	629	Catholic Central	Windsor	3.6	3.9
614	617	Archbishop Romero	Toronto	4.3	4.2	653	633	East York	Toronto	3.6	3.8
614	633	Scarlett Heights Entrepreneurial	Toronto	4.3	3.8	653	667	Danforth	Toronto	3.6	2.6
620	560	Winston Churchill	Toronto	4.2	5.0	653	670	Thistletown	Toronto	3.6	2.5
620	601	J Clarke Richardson	Ajax	4.2	4.5	662	617	Chelmsford Valley District	Chelmsford	3.5	4.2
620	622	Clarke Road	London	4.2	4.1	662	633	Kirkland Lake District	Kirkland Lake	3.5	3.8
620	622	West Hill	Toronto	4.2	4.1	662	638	Western	Toronto	3.5	3.7
620	656	Madonna	Toronto	4.2	3.2	662	649	St Lawrence	Cornwall	3.5	3.4
625	521	Walkerville	Windsor	4.1	5.4	666	627	Norwood District	Norwood	3.4	4.0
625	591	Valley Heights	Langton	4.1	4.6	667	530	Champlain	Chelmsford	3.3	5.3
625	601	Arthur Vauden	St Thomas	4.1	4.5	667	588	Nipigon Red Rock	Red Rock	3.3	4.7
625	601	Tilbury	Tilbury	4.1	4.5	667	622	Mère-Teresa	Hamilton	3.3	4.1
625	614	David and Mary Thomson	Toronto	4.1	4.3	667	663	Queen Elizabeth	Sioux Lookout	3.3	2.8
625	617	Bloor	Toronto	4.1	4.2	671	538	La Salle	Kingston	3.2	5.2
625	622	Roland Michener	South Porcupine	4.1	4.1	671	649	Don Bosco	Toronto	3.2	3.4
625	n/a	Hanmer	Hanmer	4.1	n/a	671	657	Emery	Toronto	3.2	3.1
625	n/a	l'Odyssée	North Bay	4.1	n/a	674	591	Rideau	Ottawa	3.1	4.6
634	396	Trafalgar Castle	Whitby	4.0	6.1	674	601	Dr F J Donevan	Oshawa	3.1	4.5
634	530	Napanee	Napanee	4.0	5.3	674	629	Hagersville	Hagersville	3.1	3.9
634	642	General Vanier	Cornwall	4.0	3.6	674	629	Kenner	Peterborough	3.1	3.9
634	642	Loretto	Toronto	4.0	3.6	674	633	Northern	Sturgeon Falls	3.1	3.8
634	663	Queen Elizabeth	Kingston	4.0	2.8	674	647	Macdonald-Cartier	Sudbury	3.1	3.5
634	663	St Catharines	St Catharines	4.0	2.8	680	299	Monseigneur-Bruyère	London	3.0	6.6

Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating		Provincial Rank		School name	City	Overall Rating	
2008 / Last 5 2009 years				2008 / Last 5 2009 years		2008 / Last 5 2009 years				2008 / Last 5 2009 years	
680	638	Stamford Collegiate	Niagara Falls	3.0	3.7	700	673	West Toronto	Toronto	1.8	2.1
680	649	Sir Winston Churchill	Hamilton	3.0	3.4	700	680	Alexander Mackenzie	Sarnia	1.8	1.0
683	629	Sutton	Sutton West	2.9	3.9	700	n/a	Chapleau	Chapleau	1.8	n/a
684	617	John McGregor	Chatham	2.8	4.2	705	550	Michipicoten	Wawa	1.7	5.1
684	654	Fort Erie	Fort Erie	2.8	3.3	705	683	College Heights	Guelph	1.7	0.5
686	660	North Albion	Toronto	2.7	3.0	705	683	Downsview	Toronto	1.7	0.5
686	677	Central	Toronto	2.7	1.7	708	678	C W Jefferys	Toronto	1.6	1.6
688	682	Sir Sandford Fleming	Toronto	2.6	0.6	709	667	Eastdale	Welland	1.3	2.6
688	n/a	Renaissance	Timmins	2.6	n/a	710	n/a	Saint-François-Xavier	Sarnia	0.8	n/a
690	660	West Humber	Toronto	2.5	3.0	711	681	Eastern Commerce	Toronto	0.6	0.7
690	663	Sir John A Macdonald	Hamilton	2.5	2.8	712	685	Century	Windsor	0.2	0.4
692	649	O'Gorman	Timmins	2.4	3.4	713	685	Western	Amherstburg	0.0	0.4
692	673	Father Henry Carr	Toronto	2.4	2.1	713	687	Sir Robert L Borden	Toronto	0.0	0.1
694	673	Central Commerce	Toronto	2.3	2.1	713	688	Bendale	Toronto	0.0	0.0
694	679	Westview Centennial	Toronto	2.3	1.5	713	688	Nelson A Boylen	Toronto	0.0	0.0
694	n/a	Crawford Adventist Academy	Toronto	2.3	n/a	713	688	North Peel	Brampton	0.0	0.0
697	611	Kapuskasing	Kapuskasing	2.2	4.4	713	n/a	Ignace	Ignace	0.0	n/a
697	657	St Mary's	Toronto	2.2	3.1	713	n/a	Kernahan Park	St Catharines	0.0	n/a
699	672	George Harvey	Toronto	2.0	2.2	713	n/a	Ottawa Technical Learning Centre	Ottawa	0.0	n/a
700	601	Sharbot Lake	Sharbot Lake	1.8	4.5	713	n/a	Phoenix Alternative Education Program	Hamilton	0.0	n/a
700	642	Kipling	Toronto	1.8	3.6	713	n/a	Timothy Eaton	Toronto	0.0	n/a

Appendix 1: Calculating the *Overall rating out of 10*

The *Overall rating out of 10* is intended to answer the question, “In general, how is the school doing, academically compared with others in the report card?” The following is a simplified description of the procedure used to convert the data received from the Ministry of Education into the *Overall rating out of 10*.

- 1 The results for English and French language examinations are separately subjected to the following procedures.
- 2 The *Average levels* achieved on each of the two grade-9 mathematics tests, the two OSSLT results (FTE and PE), and the indicators of failure on the four test sittings were standardized by calculating Z , which is defined by:

$$Z = (X - \mu) / \sigma$$

where X is the individual school’s result, μ is the mean of the all-schools distribution of results, and σ is the standard deviation of the same all-schools distribution.

- 3 The standardized data for results data were then aggregated. The weighting used was the number of student writers of each test relative to the total number of student test writers in the relevant subject area.
- 4 Similarly, the standardized data for the indicators of failure were aggregated using the same method of weighting.
- 5 The *Gender gap* values for the grade-9 mathematics test and the OSSLT were each calculated by determining the absolute value of the difference in the level of achievement (or success rate in the case of the OSSLT) of male students and female students at the school. The results for each subject were then standardized.
- 6 The four standardized indicator results created in steps 3, 4, and 5 were then combined to produce a weighted, average, summary standardized score for the school. The weightings used in these calculations were: combined results indicator—45%; Combined fail rate indicator—45%; Gender gap measures—5% each. For schools where there were fewer than two gender gap results, the weightings for the missing gender gap indicators were assigned to the combined fail rate indicator.
- 7 This summary standardized score was re-standardized.

This standardized score was converted into an overall rating between 0 and 10 as follows:

- 8 The allowable maximum and minimum standardized scores were set at 2.2 and -3.29 respectively. Scores equal to, or greater than, 2.2 receive an overall rating of 10. This cut-off was chosen because it allows more than one school in a given year to be awarded 10 out of 10. Scores of equal to, or less than, -3.29 receive

the lowest overall rating of 0. Schools with scores below -3.29 are likely to be outliers, a statistical term used to denote members of a population that appear to have characteristics substantially different from the rest of the population. We chose, therefore, to set the minimum score so as to disregard such extreme differences.

Note that, beginning with this edition, the maximum standardized score is set at 2.2, rather than 2.0 as in previous *Report Cards*. This change has been made to bring the *Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools* in line with editions produced in other provinces. The effect of this change is to reduce the frequency of schools achieving an overall score of 10.

- 9 The resulting standardized scores were converted into *Overall ratings out of 10* according to the formula:

$$OR = \mu + (\sigma * StanScore)$$

where OR is the resulting *Overall rating out of 10*, μ is the average calculated according to the formula:

$$\mu = (OR_{\min} - 10(Z_{\min} / Z_{\max})) / (1 - (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max}))$$

where σ is the standard deviation calculated according to the formula:

$$\sigma = (10 - \mu) / Z_{\max}$$

and *StanScore* is the standardized score calculated in (6) above and adjusted as required for minimum and maximum values as noted in (7) above. As noted in (7) above, OR_{\min} equals zero, Z_{\min} equals -3.29; and Z_{\max} equals 2.2.

- 10 Finally, the derived *Overall rating out of 10* is rounded to one place of the decimal to reflect the significant number of places of the decimal in the original raw data.

Note that the *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its *Overall rating out of 10*, it must improve more than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

About the authors

Peter Cowley

Peter Cowley is the Director of School Performance Studies at the Fraser Institute. He graduated from the University of British Columbia with a B.Comm. in 1974. Shortly thereafter, he began a long career in marketing and general management in several sectors. During his assignments in general management, process improvement was a special focus and interest. In 1994, Mr Cowley independently wrote and published *The Parent's Guide*, a popular handbook for parents of British Columbia's secondary-school students. *The Parent's Guide* web site replaced the handbook in 1995.

In 1998, Mr Cowley was co-author of the Fraser Institute's *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British*

Columbia, the first of the Institute's continuing series of annual reports on school performance. This was followed by *The 1999 Report Card on British Columbia's Secondary Schools*, *The 1999 Report Card on Alberta's High Schools*, and *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*. Since then, Mr Cowley has co-authored all of the Institute's annual report cards on Canadian schools. Annual editions now include report cards on secondary schools in British Columbia, Yukon, Alberta, Ontario, and Quebec and on elementary schools in British Columbia, Alberta, and Ontario as well as the occasional *Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia*.

Stephen T. Easton

Stephen T. Easton in addition to being a Senior Scholar at the Fraser Institute, is a professor of Economics, a member of the School for International Studies, and an Associate member of the School of Criminology at Simon Fraser University. He received his A.B. from Oberlin College and his Ph.D. from the University of Chicago. Recent works published by the Fraser Institute include *Marijuana Growth in British Columbia* (2004), *Privatizing Prisons* (editor, 1998), *The Costs of Crime: Who Pays and How Much? 1998 Update* (with Paul Brantingham, 1998), and *Rating Global Economic Freedom* (editor, 1992). A co-author of *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia* (1998), *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools* (1999) and the *Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia*

(2004), he has continued to co-author the *Report Cards* for British Columbia, Alberta, New Brunswick and, most recently, Ontario. Other publications about education include "Do We Have a Problem Yet? Women and Men in Higher Education," in David Laidler (ed.), *Renovating the Ivory Tower: Canadian Universities and the Knowledge Economy* (C.D. Howe Institute, 2002), pp. 60–79; "Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose" in Stephen B. Lawton, Rodney Reed, and Fons van Wieringen, *Restructuring Public Schooling* (Springer-Verlag, 1997) and *Education in Canada: An Analysis of Elementary, Secondary, and Vocational Schooling* (Fraser Institute, 1988). His editorials have been carried by the *Vancouver Sun*, the *Globe and Mail*, the *National Post*, the *Ottawa Citizen*, the Stirling chain and many other newspapers around the country.

Michael Thomas

Michael Thomas is the Associate Director, Report Cards Program, School Performance Studies and Program Director of Children First: School Choice Trust at the Fraser Institute. He graduated from York University with a B.A. in Political Science in 1997. Before joining the Fraser Institute in 2005, Mr. Thomas served as a policy advisor to the Ontario Minister of Education, where

his files included adult education, students at risk, new curriculum initiatives, student health initiatives, and the community use of schools. Mr. Thomas is a former public school trustee, who served on the Toronto District School Board from 1997 to 2000. He has also held positions within the City of Toronto, and the Ontario Ministries of Tourism and Recreation and Citizenship.

Acknowledgments

THE W. GARFIELD WESTON



The Fraser Institute gratefully acknowledges the generous support for this project received from The W. Garfield Weston Foundation.

About this publication

Studies in Education Policy are published periodically throughout the year by the Fraser Institute.

Distribution

These publications are available from <<http://www.fraserinstitute.org>> in Portable Document Format (PDF) and can be read with Adobe Acrobat® or with Adobe Reader®, which is available free of charge from Adobe Systems Inc. To down-load Adobe Reader, go to this link: <<http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html>> with your Browser. We encourage you to install the most recent version.

Ordering publications

For information about ordering the printed publications of the Fraser Institute, please contact the publications coordinator

- e-mail: sales@fraserinstitute.ca
- telephone: 604.688.0221 ext. 580 or, toll free, 1.800.665.3558 ext. 580
- fax: 604.688.8539.

Media

For media enquiries, please contact our Communications Department

- 604.714.4582
- e-mail: communications@fraserinstitute.org.

Disclaimer

The authors of this publication have worked independently and opinions expressed by them are, therefore, their own, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the supporters, trustees, or other staff of the Fraser Institute. This publication in no way implies that the Fraser Institute, its trustees, or staff are in favor of, or oppose the passage of, any bill; or that they support or oppose any particular political party or candidate.

Copyright

Copyright© 2009 by the Fraser Institute. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief passages quoted in critical articles and reviews.

ISSNs

1707-2395 Studies in Education Policy (English online edition)
1492-1863 Studies in Education Policy (English print edition)
Printed and bound in Canada.

Date of issue

May 2010

Editing & typesetting

Lindsey Thomas Martin

Cover design

Bill Ray

Images for cover

Boys in school ©Steve Stone; iStock
Girl solving a math problem on blackboard ©Bart Coenders; iStock
Attentive reading ©Grigory Bibikov; iStock
Library series ©Willie B. Thomas; iStock
8-year old schoolgirl doing homework ©mamahooohooba; iStock
Student working in class ©Bonnie Jacobs; iStock

Supporting the Fraser Institute

To learn how to support the Fraser Institute, please contact

- Development Department, Fraser Institute
Fourth Floor, 1770 Burrard Street
Vancouver, British Columbia, V6J 3G7 Canada
- telephone, toll-free: 1.800.665.3558 ext. 586
- e-mail: development@fraserinstitute.org.

About the Fraser Institute

Our vision is a free and prosperous world where individuals benefit from greater choice, competitive markets, and personal responsibility. Our mission is to measure, study, and communicate the impact of competitive markets and government interventions on the welfare of individuals. Founded in 1974, we are an independent research and educational organization with locations throughout North America and international partners in over 70 countries. Our work is financed by tax-deductible contributions from thousands of individuals, organizations, and foundations. In order to protect its independence, the Institute does not accept grants from government or contracts for research.

菲沙研究所的願景乃一自由而昌盛的世界，當中每個人得以從更豐富的選擇、具競爭性的市場及自我承擔責任而獲益。我們的使命在於量度、研究並使人知悉競爭市場及政府干預對個人福祉的影響。

Nous envisageons un monde libre et prospère, où chaque personne bénéficie d'un plus grand choix, de marchés concurrentiels et de responsabilités individuelles. Notre mission consiste à mesurer, à étudier et à communiquer l'effet des marchés concurrentiels et des interventions gouvernementales sur le bien-être des individus.

تمثل رؤيتنا في وجود عالم حر ومزدهر يستفيد فيه الأفراد من القدرة على الاختيار بشكل أكبر، والأسواق التنافسية، والمسؤولية الشخصية. أما رسالتنا فهي قياس، ودراسة، وتوصيل تأثير الأسواق التنافسية والتدخلات الحكومية المتعلقة بالرفاه الاجتماعي للأفراد.

Nuestra visión es un mundo libre y próspero donde los individuos se beneficien de una mayor oferta, la competencia en los mercados y la responsabilidad individual. Nuestra misión es medir, estudiar y comunicar el impacto de la competencia en los mercados y la intervención gubernamental en el bienestar de los individuos.

Editorial Advisory Board

Prof. Armen Alchian	Prof. James Gwartney
Prof. Terry Anderson	Prof. H.G. Johnson*
Prof. Robert Barro	Prof. Ronald W. Jones
Prof. Michael Bliss	Dr. Jerry Jordan
Prof. James M. Buchanan†	Prof. David Laidler**
Prof. Jean-Pierre Centi	Prof. Richard G. Lipsey**
Prof. Thomas J. Courchene**	Prof. Ross McKitrick
Prof. John Chant	Prof. Michael Parkin
Prof. Bev Dahlby	Prof. F.G. Pennance*
Prof. Erwin Diewert	Prof. Friedrich Schneider
Prof. Stephen Easton	Prof. L.B. Smith
Prof. J.C. Herbert Emery	Prof. George Stigler* †
Prof. Jack L. Granatstein	Mr. Vito Tanzi
Prof. Herbert G. Grubel	Sir Alan Walters
Prof. Friedrich A. Hayek* †	Prof. Edwin G. West*

* deceased; ** withdrawn; † Nobel Laureate